National Freight Data Hub: Options Discussion Paper - Response Template

Please note: Submissions close on 11 September 2020 at 17:00, and should be emailed to freightdatahub@infrastructure.gov.au.

We welcome all responses. You may use this template, or simply email your response. You may address all questions, or you may choose to respond to selected questions of interest to you.

Your submission will be published on the website unless you request otherwise. Information collected during this consultation process may be provided to persons making an application under freedom of information laws. Personal details will not be published in any report.

Respondent details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact details (to whom any correspondence in relation to this submission can be addressed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why your organisation is interested in the National Freight Data Hub</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions for discussion – Design Principles

1. Please share your organisation’s perspectives on the proposed design principles, including any which are not represented here.
Questions for discussion – Data

2. What specific benefits would each data priority provide to your organisation?
3. What level of data fidelity (i.e. transaction level data or aggregated data) and frequency (i.e. near real-time, weekly, monthly, quarterly) would be required to make the data priorities you’ve identified be of value?

Questions for discussion – Technology

4. If a centralised or federated architecture model were pursued, what would be the benefits and challenges to your organisation to participate in the Hub?
5. What are the preferred methods and technologies to integrate with the data exchange platform?

Questions for discussion – Governance

6. Which governance structure could enable the Hub to be established quickly and generate quick wins, and should it change over time?
7. Which governance structure is most likely to facilitate the greatest use and participation?
Questions for discussion – Funding

8. What funding arrangements could ensure users gain the value they are seeking from the Hub?
9. What services could the Hub provide that could be paid for by users?

Questions for discussion – Regulatory

10. To support the Hub’s governance, ability to collect and share data, setting of standards and funding model, which regulatory option is best suited?
11. Would there be significant costs or benefits for your organisation associated with each of the regulatory options?
12. Are there additional circumstances to those outlined above, that may warrant a change, introduction or removal of a regulatory mechanism?