



## Review of Australia Classification Regulation

1. Yes, the classification categories are useful. I think they should remain as they are, although they shouldn't be used for online content that isn't actual films or computer games (YouTube and websites etc.)

2a. I think themes are well understood, although ALL types of themes no matter how gratuitous or obscene should be allowed in Australia and not Refused Classification.

2b. I think violence is well understood, although ALL types of themes no matter how gratuitous or obscene should be allowed in Australia and not Refused Classification.

2c. I think sex is well understood, although ALL types of themes no matter how gratuitous or obscene should be allowed in Australia and not Refused Classification.

2d. I think language is well understood, although ALL types of themes no matter how gratuitous or obscene should be allowed in Australia and not Refused Classification.

2e. I think drugs are well understood, although ALL types of themes no matter how gratuitous or obscene should be allowed in Australia and not Refused Classification.

2f. I think nudity is well understood, although ALL types of themes no matter how gratuitous or obscene should be allowed in Australia and not Refused Classification.

3a. The hierarchy classification system works very well, it's a good way for a parent to understand what to let media to let their child consume.

3b. Yes, the primary issue with Australian classification is censorship. All across the world and especially USA laugh at us because countless times we have not allowed games or movies to be sold here and the developer/director have had

to edit out small things that shouldn't have been censored. Film and games are art, art should never be censored.

No matter how disgusting, obscene or ridiculous some content is, it should never be censored. A person over the age of 18, an adult, who can legally consume pornography, drink alcohol and smoke tobacco SHOULD be able to consume any type of content they want IF the making of the content didn't harm any person directly. Sure, put as many warnings as you want on the packaging and enforce strict ID identification at stores but do NOT restrict law abiding adults of content. I know as a country we want to be on the same level as the US, but the government controlling what an adult can watch or play makes us far behind them.

4. Classifying online content will be extremely difficult, the Netflix tool and IARC tool are great and similar things should be implemented. But the Review Board cannot accurately and efficiently review online content, it's simply impossible. I say, keep creating tools for developers to make their own ratings, and keep the Review Board on games/films.

5. Yes, but there should not be restrictions on what adults can watch on either of them.

6. Definitely government-approved classifications tools, the other option is costs too many resources and isn't nearly as efficient.

7. I'm not sure, but make sure they review the fact that adults are being harmed by not allowing certain content and ridiculous censorship.

8. I'd say so.

9. Not at all.