[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, 15 March 2017 1:44 AM
To: vemissions
Subject: Draft regulation impact statements
Attachments: doe.jpg

Dear Sir/Madam,

An alternative way exists of improving fuel efficiency that the impact statement has not taken into account.

Friction may be reduced instead.

US Dept Of Energy (document attached)

Found that with a 5% increase in fuel economy, approximately 100 million barrels of fuel would be saved annually. Their test on Xcelplus Permanent Engine Protection concluded the following increase in fuel economy:

Fuel Economy, mpg change
Urban +6.9%
Highway +5.31%

http://www.xcelplus.com.au/docs/doe/doe.jpg

We have been in existence since 1975 and our claims are well tested and verified.

Please check the website for more tests and information.

You may be able to avoid requiring drastic efficiency improvements in vehicles using this product... or you may be able to improve the required efficiency further as our product is usually synergistic with whatever approach is used.

:-)
[redacted]

[redacted]

Department of Energy
Bartlesville Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 1398
Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74003

August 4, 1980

Mr. Lonnie Schwem
5353 West Alabama
Suite 302
Houston, TX 77056

Dear Mr. Schwem:

As per your telephone request of July 30, 1080, the following is a brief summary of the results of our work with Formula 101 using a 1980 Pontiac Phoenix (2.5 ℓ, 4-cylinder, automatic transmission, and air conditioning) in the Federal Test Procedure:

Fuel economy, mpg	Base oil	Base oil + Formula 101	Percent change
Urban	18.98	20.30	+6.95
Composite	22.43	23.86	+6.38
Highway	28.83	30.36	+5.31

These results are based on duplicate testing with 0.5 percent repeatability in fuel economy measurement. As per your recommendation, the vehicle was conditioned for 3,000 miles on Formula 101 prior to testing.

I have contacted Avis Rent-a-Car and asked them to contact you through their Houston office to arrange for the delivery of the Pontiac Phoenix upon completion of our testing and termination of our lease.

Sincerely,

Ted M. Naman

Ted M. Naman
Mechanical Engineer
Division of Utilization