#### REFERENCES

- AGENT, K.R. (1980). Transverse pavement markings for speed control and accident reduction, <u>Transportation Research Record</u> 773, 11-14.
- AGENT, K.R. & DEEN, R.C. (1975). Relationships between roadway geometrics and accidents, <u>Transportation Research Board</u>, <u>541</u>, 1-11.
- ALLEN, M.J. (1969). Vision and driving, <u>Traffic Safety</u>, September 1969, 8-11.
- AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY OFFICIALS (1965). A policy on Geometric Design of Rural Highways, Washington DC: AASHO.
- ARMOUR, M. (1986). The effects of police presence on urban driving speeds, <u>Institute of Transportation Engineers Journal</u>, 56(2), 40-45.
- BABKOV, V.F. (1970). What constitutes a safe road, <u>Traffic</u> <u>Engineering and Control</u>, <u>12</u>, 321-326.
- BLAAUW, G.J. & RIEMERSMA, J.B.J. (1975). Interpretation of roadway designs by analysis of drivers' visual scanning and driving behaviour on straight and curved roadway sections, Report IZF1975-C5, Institute for Perception TNO, Soesterberg, the Netherlands.
- CAIRNEY, P.T. (1981). A Pilot Study of Estimates of the Riskiness of Driving Situations, Australian Road Research Board, Internal Report, AIR 1106-3.
- CALVERT, E.S. (1954). Visual judgements in motion, of Navigation, 7(3), 233-251.
- COHEN, A.S. & STUDACH, H. (1977). Eye movements while driving cars around curves, <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, <u>44</u>, 683-689.
- COLQUHOUN, P. (1982). Biological rhythms and performance, in W.B. Webb (Ed), <u>Biological Rhythms</u>, <u>Sleep</u>, <u>And Performance</u>, John Wiley & Sons.
- COOPER, P. (1980). Analysis of roadside encroachments single vehicle run-off accident data analysis for five provinces, Report 5-07-793, Transport Canada Road Safety Branch, Vancouver.

- COWL, R.R. & FAIRLIE, M.B. (1970). An analysis of fatal and total accidents on rural state highways in N.S.W., <u>Proceedings of the 5th Australian Road Research Board Conference</u>, 319-337.
- COWLEY, J.E. (1980). A review of rural speed limits in Australia, Report CR20, Federal Office of Road Safety, Commonwealth Department of Transport, Australia.
- DELUCA, F.D. (1985). Effects of lane width reduction on safety and flow, Proceedings of a conference on effectiveness of highway safety improvements, Highway Division of American Society of Civil Engineers, Tennessee, March 1985.
- DENTON, G.G. (1971). The Influence of visual pattern on perceived speed, Transportation and Road Research Laboratory Report LR409, Crowthorne, Berkshire.
- DENTON, G.G. (1973). The Influence of visual pattern on perceived speed at Newbridge MB Midlothian, Transport and Road Research Laboratory Report LR531, Crowthorne, Berkshire.
- DRUMMOND, A.E. (1985). Driver licensing age and accident involvement rates of young drivers, Unpublished Report RSB 85/48, Road Traffic Authority of Victoria, Australia.
- ELLIOT, B.J. (1981). Attitudes to exceeding the speed limits. Report to Road Traffic Authority of Victoria, Australia.
- EMERSON, J.W. and WEST, L.B. (1985). Shoulder rumble strips at narrow bridges, Proceedings of a conference on the effectiveness of highway safety improvements, Highway Divison of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Tennessee, March 24-26, 1985.
- ENUSTUN, N. (1972). Final Report: Three experiments with transverse pavement stripes and rumble bars, Report TSD-RD-21672, Department of State Highways, Michigan.
- FARBER, E.I. (1982). Driver eye-height trends and sight distance on vertical curves, <u>Transportation Research Record</u>, <u>855</u>, 27-33.
- FERGUSON, G.A. (1971). <u>Statistical Analysis in Psychology and Education (3rd Edition)</u>, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- FILDES, B.N. (1979). Delineation of rural roads at night; The effect of visual blurring and luminance changes on estimating curve direction, Unpublished BSc (Honours) Dissertation, Monash University, Australia.

- FILDES, B.N. (1986). The perception of geometric road curves, Unpublished Ph.D dissertation, Monash University, Australia.
- FILDES, B.N., FLETCHER, M.R. & CORRIGAN, J.McM. (1987). Speed perception 1: Drivers' judgements of safety and speed on urban and rural straight roads. Report CR 54, Federal Office of Road Safety, Department of Transport & Communication, Canberra.
- FILDES, B.N. & TRIGGS, T.J. (1982). The effects of road curve geometry and approach distance on judgements of curve exit angle, Proceedings of the 11th Australian Road Research Board Conference, Melbourne, 135-144.
- FILDES, B.N. & TRIGGS, T.J. (1984). The effect of road curve geometry on curvature matching judgements, <u>Proceedings of the 12th Australian Road Research Board Conference</u>, Hobart, 63-70.
- GATES, A.I. (1916). Variation in efficiency during the day, together with practice effects, sex differences, and correlations, <u>University of California Publications in Psychology</u>, 2, 1-156.
- GIBSON, J.J. (1950). The Perception of the Visual World, Boston: Riverside Press.
- GIBSON, J.J. (1958). Visually controlled locomotion and visual orientation in animals, <u>British J. Psychology</u>, <u>49</u>, 182-194.
- GIBSON, J.J. (1968). What gives rise to the perception of motion, <u>Psychological Review</u>, <u>75</u>, 335-346.
- GIBSON, J.J. & CROOKE, L.E. (1938). A theoretical field analysis of automobile driving, American J. Psychology, 51, 453-471.
- GOOD, M.C. & JOUBERT, P.N. (1973). A review of roadside objects, Report No. NR/12, Australian Department of Transport, Government Publishing Service.
- GOOD, M.C. & JOUBERT, P.N. (1977). Driver-vehicle behaviour in restricted path turns, <a href="Ergonomics"><u>Ergonomics</u></a>, <a href="20(3)">20(3)</a>, <a href="217-248">217-248</a>.
- GORDON, D.A. (1966). Experimental isolation of drivers' visual input, <u>Public Roads</u>, <u>33</u>, 266-273.
- GORDON, D.A. & SCHWAB, R.N. (1979). The applicability of visibility research to roads and highways, <u>Public Roads</u>, 43, 15-22.

HARRINGTON, T.L., HARRINGTON, M.K., WILKINS, C.A. & KOH, Y.O. (1980). Visual orientation by motion-produced blur patterns: Detection of divergence, <u>Perception & Psychophysics</u>, <u>28(4)</u>, 293-305.

HAUER, E., AHLIN, F.J. & BOWSER, J.S. (1982). Speed enforcement and speed choice, <u>Accident Analysis and Prevention</u>, <u>14(14)</u>, 267-278.

HAVELL, D.F. (1983). Control of speed by illusion at Fountains Circle, Pretoria, Report RF/7/83, National Institute for Transport and Road Research, Republic of South Africa.

HELLIAR-SYMONS, R.D. (1981) Yellow bar experimental carriageway markings accident study, Transportation and Road Research Laboratory, Report LR1010, Crowthorne, Berkshire.

HOGG, R. (1977). A study of male motorists' attitudes to speed restrictions and their enforcement, Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Supplementary Report 276, Crowthorne, Berkshire.

HUGHES, P.K. & COLE, B.L. (1984). Search and attention conspicuity of road traffic control devices, <u>Australian Road</u> <u>Research Board</u>, 14(1), 1-9.

HUNGERFORD, J.C. & ROCKWELL, T.H. (1980). Modification of driver behaviour by use of novel roadway delineation systems, <a href="Proceedings of the Human Factors Society 24th Annual Meeting">Proceedings of the Human Factors Society 24th Annual Meeting</a>, 147-151.

IVES, H.C. & KISSAM, P. (1964). <u>Highway Curves (4th Edition)</u>, New York: John Wiley and Sons.

JOHANSSON, G. (1977). Studies on visual perception of locomotion, <u>Perception</u>, <u>6</u>, 365-376.

JOHANSSON, G. (1982). Visual space perception through motion, in Wertheim, A.H., Wagenaar, W.A. and Liebowitz, H.W. (eds), <u>Tutorials on Motion Perception</u>, New York, Plenum Press.

JOHANSSON, G. (1985). About visual event perception, in Warren, W.H. and Shaw, R.E. (eds), <u>Persistence and Change: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Event Perception</u>, New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum.

- JOHNSTON, I.R. (1981). Night time, single-vehicle accidents on rural road curves; the problem and potential countermeasures, Australian Road Research Board Internal Report, AIR, 361-1.
- JOHNSTON, I.R. (1982a). Modifying driver behaviour on rural roads a review of recent research, <u>Proceedings of the 11th Australian Road Research Board Conference</u>, Melbourne, 115-124.
- JOHNSTON, I.R. (1982b). The role of alcohol in road crashes, <a href="Ergonomics">Ergonomics</a>, <a href="25(10)">25(10)</a>, 941-946.
- JOHNSTON, I.R. (1983). The effects of roadway delineation on curve negotiation by both sober and drinking drivers, Australian Road Research Report, ARR, 128.
- JOHNSTON, I.R., WHITE, G.R. & CUMMINGS, R.W. (1973). The role of optical expansion patterns in locomotor control, <u>American J. Psychology</u>, <u>86</u>, 311-324.
- JOSCELYN, K.B. & ELSTON, P.A. (1970). Maximum speed limits, Volume IV: An implementation method for setting a speed limit based on the 85th percentile speed, Report FH-11-7275, Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Washington, DC.
- JOSCELYN, K.B., JONES, R.K. & ELSTON T.A. (1970). Maximum speed limits. Report FH11-11-7275, University Institute for Research in Public Safety, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Washington D.C.
- KADIYALIA, L.R., VISWANATHAN, E., JAIN, P.K. & GUPTA, R.K. (1981). Effect of curvature and sight distance on the free of vehicles on curves on 2-lane roads, <u>Highways Research Bulletin</u>, 16, Indian Roads Congress, New Delhi.
- KEPPEL, G. (1982). <u>Design and Analysis: A Researcher's Handbook 2nd Edition</u>, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- KLEIN, D. & WALLER, J.A. (1970). Causation, culpability and deterrence in highway crashes, Report for the Department of Transportation, Automobile Insurance and Compensation Study, Washington D.C.
- KOSTYNIUK, L.P. & CLEVELAND, D.E. (1986). Sight distance, signing and safety on vertical curves. <u>J. Institute of Transportation Engineers</u>, <u>56(5)</u>, 25-28.

- KOZIOL, J.S. & MENGERT, P.H. (1978). Evaluation of dynamic sign systems for narrow bridges, Report DOT-TSC-FHWA-78-3, US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Washington DC.
- LAPPIN, J.S. (1985). Reflections on Gunnar Johansson's perspective on the visual measurement of space and time, in Warren, W.H. and Shaw, R.E. (eds), <u>Persistence and Change:</u> <u>Proceedings of the First International Conference on Event Perception</u>, New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- LEE, D.N. & LISHMAN, R. (1977). Visual control of locomotion, Scandinavian J. Psychology, 18, 224-230.
- LUM, H.S. (1984). The use of road markings to narrow lanes for controlling speed in residential areas, <u>Institute of Transportation Engineers</u>, <u>54(6)</u>, 50-53.
- MACE, W.M. (1985). Johansson's approach to visual event perception in Gibson's perspective, in Warren, W.H. and Shaw, R.E. (eds), Persistence and Change: Proceedings of the First ion, New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- MARSH, B.W. (1985). The driving situation and drivers 55 plus: Comments by a long-interested retired traffic engineer, in Malfetti, J.L. (Ed.), Needs and Problems of Older Drivers: Survey Results and Recommendations: Proceedings of the Older Driver Colloquium, AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, Orlando, Florida.
- McGEE, H.W. (1979). Decision sight distance for highway design and traffic control requirements, <u>Transportation Research Record</u>, 736, 11-13.
- McLEAN, J.R. (1977a). The inter-relationship between accidents and road alignment, Australian Road Research Board Internal Report, AIR 000-68.
- McLEAN, J.R. (1977b). Review of the design speed concept, Australian Road Research Board Internal Report, AIR 1029-2.
- McLEAN, J.R. & HOFFMAN, E.R. (1972). The effects of lane width on driver steering control and performance. <u>Proceedings of the Sixth Australian Road Research Board Conference</u>, 418-440.
- McMENOMY, L.R. (1984). Deterrence and detection, <u>Proceedings of</u> the National Road Safety Symposium, Canberra, Australia.

MICHELS, T. & VAN DER HEIJDEN, T.G.C. (1978). The influence of some road characteristics on speed on non-motorways, Report PB 13283, Verheershundel's - Guavenhage, The Netherlands.

MOORE, R.L. (1968). Some human factors affecting the design of vehicles and roads, <u>Proceedings of a Symposium on Vehicle and Road Design for Safety</u>, Crowthorne, Berkshire.

MOSTYN, B.J. & SHEPPARD, D. (1980). A national survey of drivers' attitudes and knowledge about speed limits, Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Supplementary Report 548, Crowthorne, Berkshire.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF AUSTRALIAN STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES, (1980). Policy for Geometric Design of Rural Roads. 4th Edition, Sydney: NSW Department of Main Roads.

NEMETH, Z.A., ROCKWELL, T.H. & SMITH, G.L. (1985). Recommended delineation treatments at selected situations on rural state highways - Part 2, Report FHWA/85/002, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highways Administration, Ohio.

OLSON, P.L., CLEVELAND, D.E., FANCHER, P.S., KOSTYNIUK, L.P. & SCHNEIDER, L.W. (1984). Parameters affecting stopping sight distance, Report No. 270, National Co-operative Highway Research Program, Transport Research Board, Washington D.C.

ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT. (1980). Road safety at night, Paris; OECD.

ORME, J.E. (1969). <u>Time, Experience and Behaviour</u>, London: Iliffe Books Ltd., New York: Elsevier Pub. Co.

PARKER, M.R. & TSUCHIYAMA, K.H. (1985). Methods for reducing large speed differences in traffic streams, Volume 1 - Inventory of methods, Report FHWA/RD-85/103, Office of Safety and Traffic Operations, Research and Development, Federal Highways Administration, Washington D.C.

POTTER INDUSTRIES. (1981). Road markings as an alcohol countermeasure in traffic safety: A field test of standard and wide edgelines, Report 011481, Potter Industries, New Jersey.

QUIMBY, A.R. (1988). In-car observation of unsafe driving actions, Australian Road Research Report ARR 153, Australian Road Research Board, Melbourne, Australia.

REGAN, D. & BEVERLEY, K.I. (1978). Illusionary motion in depth: After-effect of adaption to changing size, <u>Vision Research</u>, <u>18</u>, 209-212.

REGAN, D. & BEVERLEY, K.I. (1982). How do we avoid confounding the direction we are looking and the direction we are moving, Science, 215, 194-196.

RIEMERSMA, J.B.J. (1982). Perception and control of deviations from a straight course; A field experiment, Report 12F 1982 C-20, Institute of Perception TNO, Soesterberg, the Netherlands.

RIEMERSMA, J.B.J. (1984). The perception of speed during car driving, Report IZF 1984 C-11, Institute of Perception TNO, Soesterberg, the Netherlands.

ROAD TRAFFIC AUTHORITY. (1987). A speed management strategy for Victoria, A report to the Board of the Road Traffic Authority, March 1987, Australia.

ROCKWELL, T.H. & HUNGERFORD, J.C. (1979). Use of delineation systems to modify driver performance on rural curves, Report FHWA/OH/79/007, Ohio Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Washington DC.

ROCKWELL, T.H., MALECKI, J. & SHINAR, D. (1974). Improving driver performance on rural curves through perceptual changes, Final Report, Project EES 428, Systems Research Group, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.

ROSS, H.G. (1974). <u>Behaviour and Perception in Strange</u> <u>Environments</u>, London: Alten & Unwin.

ROYALAUTO. (1983). What annoys you most, Royalauto, 50(10), 4-5.

ROYALAUTO. (1987). Driving into old age (Part Two), Royalauto, 54(9), 4-5.

ROYALAUTO. (1988). Dangerous driving - not what you think, Royalauto, 55(5), 4-5.

RUSSAM, K. (1979). Improving user behaviour by changing the road environment, <u>The Highway Engineer</u>, August/September 1979, 18-24.

RUTLEY, K.S. (1975). Control of drivers' speed by means other than enforcement, <u>Ergonomics</u>, <u>18</u>, 89-100.

- SABEY, B.E. (1980). Road safety and value for money, Transport and Road Research Laboratory Supplementary Report SR581, Crowthorne, Berkshire.
- SALVATORE, S. (1972). The perception of real motion: A literature review, Report ICRL-RR-70-7, Providence, Rhode Island: US Public Health Service Injury Control Research Laboratory.
- SANDERSON, J.T. (1985). An analysis of accidents on freeways with or without lighting. Report TS85/2, Royal Automobile Club of Victoria, Australia.
- SANDERSON, J.T., CAMERON, M.H. & FILDES, B.N. (1985). Identification of hazardous road locations: Final Report, Report CR37, Federal Office of Road Safety, Canberra, ACT.
- SANDERSON, J.T. & CORRIGAN, J. McM. (1984). Arterial road speed survey, Report TS84/3, Royal Automobile Club of Victoria, Australia.
- SANDERSON, J.T. & CORRIGAN, J. McM. (1986). Arterial road speed survey; undivided roads. Report TS86/1, Royal Automobile Club of Victoria, Australia.
- SANDERSON, J.T. & FILDES, B.N. (1984). Run-off-the-road accidents in rural areas, Report No. TS84/6, Royal Automobile Club of Victoria, Australia.
- SHINAR, D., McDOWELL, E.D. & ROCKWELL, T.H. (1974). Improving driver performance on curves in rural highways through perceptual changes, Interim Report, Project EES428, Systems, Research Group, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.
- SHINAR, D., McDOWELL, E.D. & ROCKWELL, T.H. (1977). Eye movements in curve negotiation, <u>Human Factors</u>, <u>19</u>, 63-71.
- SHINAR, D. & STIEBEL, J. (1986). The effectiveness of stationary versus moving police vehicles on compliance with the speed limit,  $\underline{\text{Human Factors}}$ ,  $\underline{28(3)}$ , 365-371.
- SILCOCK, D.T. & WALKER, R.T. (1982). The evaluation of accident countermeasures for application in residential streets, Research Report No.44, Transpo Operations Research Group, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, gland.
- STEWART, D. (1977). The case of the the left hand bend, The Highway Engineer, 24, 12-24.

- STOCKTON, W.R., BRACKETT, R.Q. & MOUNCE, J.M. (1981). Stop, yield and no control at intersections, Report FHWA/RD-81/084, Federal Highways Administration, Washington D.C.
- SUMMALA, H. & HIETAMAKI, J. (1984). Driver's immediate responses to traffic signs, <u>Ergonomics</u>, <u>27(2)</u>, 205-216.
- SUMMALA, H. & NAATANEN, R. (1974). Perception of highway traffic signs and motivation, <u>J. Safety Research</u>, 6, 150-154.
- TRIGGS, T.J. (1986). Speed estimation, in Peters G.A. and Peters B.J. (Eds), <u>Automotive Engineering and Litigation, Vol.1</u> (Supplement), Garland Press, 95-124.
- TRIGGS, T.J. (1987). Lateral displacement in the presence of on-coming vehicles on two-lane roads, Australian Road Research Board Internal Report AIR 383-1, Vermont, Australia.
- TRIGGS, T.J. & BERENYI, J.S. (1982). Estimation of automobile speed under day and night conditions, <u>Human Factors</u>, <u>24</u>, 111-114.
- TRIGGS, T.J. & FILDES, B.N. (1985). Roadway delineation at night, in Gale, A.G., Freeman, M.H., Haslegrave, C.M., Smith, P. and Taylor, S.P. (Eds), <u>Vision in Vehicles</u>, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science publishers.
- TRIGGS, T.J., HARRIS, W.G. & FILDES, B.N. (1979). Delineation cues on rural roads at night: A laboratory-based study of curve direction estimation, Australian Road Research Board Internal Report, AIR 266-2.
- TRIGGS, T.J., MEEHAN, J.W. & HARRIS, W.G. (1982). Rural road delineation at night: The influence of roadside post location and frequency on curve direction estimates, <u>Proceedings of Human Factors Society 26th Annual Meeting</u>, Seattle; Washington, 233-236.
- TRIGGS, T.J. & WISDOM, P.H. (1979). Effects of pavement delineation marking on vehicle lateral position keeping, Human Factors Report HFR-10, Department of Psychology, Monash University.
- VEY, A.H. & FERRERI, M.G. (1968). The effect of lane width on traffic operation, <u>Traffic Engineering</u>, 38(8), 22-27.
- VULCAN, P. (1986). Letter to Mr. E. Drinkwater, Chief General Manager, RACV Limited, on mandatory disqualification (of licences) for excessive speeding, 30 October 1986.

- WALDRAM, J.M. (1976). Safety on the road at night: seeing to drive, <u>Light and Lighting</u>, 69(5), 184-187.
- WARREN, W.H. & SHAW, R.E. (1985). Events and encounters as units of analysis for ecological psychology, in Warren, W.H. and Shaw, R.E. (eds), Persistence and Change: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Event Perception, New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- WEBSTER, K. & SCHNERRING, F. (1986). 40 km/h speed limit trials in Sydney, Research Note RN 6/86, Traffic Authority of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.
- WILLIS, P.A., SCOTT, P.P. & BARNES, J.W. (1984). Road edgelines and accidents: an experiment in south-west England, TRRL Laboratory Report LR117, Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Department of Transport, Crowthorne, Berkshire, England.
- WITT, H. & HOYOS, C.G. (1976). Advance information on the road; a simulation study of the effects of road markings, <u>Human</u> Factors, 18, 521-532.
- WRIGHT, C.C. & BOYLE, A.J. (1987). Road accident causation and engineering treatments: a review of some current issues, <u>Traffic nd Control</u>, <u>28</u>, 475-479.
- WRIGHT, P.H. & ZADOR, P. (1981). Study of fatal roll-over crashes in Georgia, <u>Transportation Research Record</u>, <u>819</u>, 8-17.
- ZWAHLEN, H.T. (1982). Drivers' eye scanning on curves and on straight sections of rural highways, <u>Proceedings of the Human 26th Annual Meeting</u>, 227.

								_		,	_
SITE NUMBER	S 17 P 1 ON NO.	AREA	ROAD	SPEED LINIT	FREE SPEED (kph) (mean / 85% )	FREE SPEED (otd dev)	SEAL WIDTH (m)	LANK WIDTH (a)	ROADSIDE	SHOULDER MIDTH	SIGHT
1	Western Freeway, Ballan 255 D1*	rural	4-lane Divided, (Freeway)	110kph	107.2/	9.3	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	4.0m	>800m
2	South Gippsland Hwy., Kooweerup 256 R7	rural	4-lane Divided	100kph	102.3	12.3	7.3	3.65	spacious - open farming	16.0m	>800m
3	Princes Freeway, (Geelong Rd.) Werribee 255 H4	rural	4-lane Divided, (Freeway)	110kph	105.8	10.6	7.5	3.75	walled - treed	3.0m	>800m
4	Western Freeway, Pykes Creek 255 El	rural	4-lane Divided, (Freeway)	110kph	101.1	12.4	7.4	3.7	walled - cutting	3.0m	>800m
5	Calder Highway, Kyneton 253 F9	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	100.8	9.9	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	3.0m	>800m
6	Ballan-Daylesford Rd. Ballan 253 D12	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	98.0/ 111	13.2	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	4.0m	>800m
7	South Gippsland Hwy., The Gurdies 256 R9	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	96.7/ 108	10.8	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	4.5m	>800m
8	Trentham Road, Daylesford 253 D10	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	87.1/ 101	13.9	7.4	3.7	walled - forest	2.0m	>800я
9	Kitty Millers Bay Rd. Phillip Is. 256 Nll	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph	-	-	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	3.0m	>600m
10	Hoppers Lane, Werribee 206 H5	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph	67.2/ 6u	11.8	7.5	3.75	spacious - open farming	6.0m	> 600n
11	Kitty Millers Bay Rd. Phillip Is. 256 Nll	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph		-	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	3.0m	> 60 0 m
12	Reef Hills Road, Benalla 254 Ul	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph	-	-	7.4	3,7	walled - forest	1.4m	>600n

\*Map references from MELWAY - GREATER MELBOURNE, edition No. 17, 1987.

### APPENDIX A - 2 DAY/NIGHT STUDY - 12 RURAL STRAIGHT ROADS - NIGHT VISION.

SITE NUMBER	SITE DESCRIPTION	АЯЕА	ROAD	SPEED LIMIT	FREE SPEED (Kpin) (mean / 85%)	PREE SPEED (std dev)	SEAL WIDTH (m)	LANE WIDTH (A)	ROADSIDE	SHOULDER WIDTH	SIGHT
1	Western Freeway, Ballan 255 D1	rural	4-lane Divided, (Freeway)	110kph	104.1/	11.09	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	4.0m	
2	South Gippsland Hwy., Kooweerup 256 R7	rural	4-lane Divided	100kph	100.7/ 112	11.88	7.3	3.65	spacious - open farming	16.0m	
3	Princes Freeway, (Geelong Rd.) Werribee 255 H4	rural	4-lane Divided (Freeway)	110kph	104.7/	9.69	7.5	3,75	walled - treed	3.0m	
4	Western Freeway, Pykes Creek 255 El	rural	4-lane Divided, (Freeway)	110kph	109.3/ 123	14.27	7.4	3.7	walled - cutting	3.0m	
5	Calder Highway, Kyneton 253 F9	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	99.0/ 112	10.82	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	3.0m	
6	Ballan-Daylesford Rd. Ballan 253 D12	rural	2-lane Undivided	. 100kph	95.9/ 105	11.06	7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	4 . Om	
7	South Gippsland Hwy., The Gurdies 256 R9	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	93.7/ 104	8.66	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	4.5m	
8	Trentham Road, Daylesford 253 D10	rural	2-lane Undivided	100kph	89.6/ 103	13.03	7.4	3.7	walled - forest	2.0m	
9	Kitty Millers Bay Rd Phillip Is. 256 N11	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph			7.4	3.7	spacious - open farming	3.0m	
10	Hoppers Lane, Werribee 206 H5	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph	-	-	7.5	3.75	spacious - open farming	6.0m	
11	Ritty Millers Bay Rd. Phillip Is. 256 N11	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph	-	-	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	3.0m	
12	Reef Hills Road, Benalla 254 Ul	rural	2-lane Gravel	75kph	-		7.4	3.7	walled - forest	1.4m	

\*Map references from MELWAY - GREATER MELBOURNE, EDITION No. 17, 1987.

 $\frac{\text{APPENDIX A} - 3}{\text{RURAL SITES USED IN THE CURVATURE VALIDATION STUDY.}}$ 

		SITE DESCR	IPTION			SIT	E DETAILS			SPEED DE	TAILS	
SITE NUMBER	ROAD/LANE CATEGORY	ROADSIDE GEOMETRY	SITE	ROAD SEAL MIDIN	ROAD LANE WIDTH	SHOULDER WIDTH	ROADSIDE	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE 5 SEC INTO CURVE.	SPEED LIMIT (Kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	FREE SPEED ( 85% kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
1	2-lane	vertical curve	LYSTERFIELD Wellington Road Before Cornish Rd. 82 J3	7.4m	3.7m	3m	walled (treed)	50m	100	04.36	94	9.55
2	2-lane	(crest) vertical curve (sag)	LYSTERFIELD Wellington Road After Lysterfield Rd.83 F5	7.4n	3.7m	4m	walled (treed)	800m	100	07.66	98	9.96
3	-2-lane	horiz. LH-curve	NARRE WARREN EAST Wellington Road After Edebohls Rd. 84 K12	7.4m	3.7m	3m	walled (treed)	120m	100	92.29	103	
4	2-lane	horiz. LH-curve (flat)	CARDINIA Reservoir Wellington Road 126 C10	7.4m	3.7m	4m	spacious	500m	100	86.29	94	8.54
5	2-lane	horiz. LH-curve (flat)	CARDINIA RESERVOIR Wellington Road. 126 E6 After Aura Vale Rd.	7.4m	3.7m	4m	walled (cutting)	200m	100	93.62	103	9.12
6	2-lane	horiz. RH-curve (flat)	CARDINIA RESERVOIR	7.4m	3.7m	4m	walled (cutting)	200m	100	91.34	99	9.91
7	2-lane	horiz. RH-curve	CARDINIA RESERVOIR Wellington Road After Aura Vale Rd.126 B9	7.4m	3.7m	5m	spacious .	500m	100	91.55	100	8.95 B.86
8	2-lane	(flat) vertical curve (crest)	NARRE MARREN EAST Wellington Road 84 D10 After Hallam-Belgrave Rd.	7.4m	3.7m	4m	walled (treed)	75m	100	79.94	91	
9	2-lane	,	LYSTERFIELD Wellington Road After Ryans Rd. 83 J8	7.4m	3.7m	3m	walled (treed-cutting)	120m	100	91.32	100	8.66
10	2-lane	-	LYSTERFIELD Wellington Road Before Glen Rd. 73 H10/11	7.4m	3.7m	4m	walled (treed)	500m	100	86.03	97	11.97
11	2-lane	-	FERNTREE GULLY Napolean Road 73 H10/11 After Kelletts Rd.	7.4n	3.7m	4m	spacious	500m	100	79.51	88	7.50
12	2-lano		PERNTREE GULLY Napolean Road 73 K8/9 Before Blackwood Pk. Rd.	7.4m	3.7m	4m	spacious	50m	100	86.32	95	10.22

\*Map references from MELMAY-GREATER MELBOURNE, EDITION NO. 17, 1987.

APPENDIX A - 4

#### LARGE RADIUS, DIVIDED ROADS - HORISONTAL SITES.

Barren.	SIT	E DESCRIPT	MOT			SI	TE DETAILS			SPEED D	ETAILS	_
SITE NUMBER	ROADSIDE CATEGORY	ROAD GEOMETRY	SITE DESCRIPTION	ROAD SEAL WIDTH (m)	ROAD LANE WIDTH (m)	SHOULDER WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE AFTER TRAVELLING 5 SEC INTO CURVE (m)	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	FREE SPEED (85% kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
1	spacious	LH R=1040	Sth. Gippsland Hwy., (Phillip Is. bound) Tooradin 144 E4	7.4	3.7	10	ing tri	550	100	94.3	105	1 2
2	spacious	f.III ft=1720	Western Hwy., (Ballan bound) Ballan 253 D12	7.6	3.8	25	orchard	700	110	102 1	110	8.84
3	spacio⊎s	RH R= 1160	Sth. Gippsland Hwy., (After Rawlins Rd.) Cranbourne 138 F3	7.4	3.7	5	open farming (wedian strip)	550	100	98.2	108	10.8
4	spacious	RH R=1720	Western Highway, (Melbourne bound) Ballan 253 D12	7.6	3.8	25	orchard	700	110	101.	L: a	9.36
5	walled	1.H R-1160	Princes Highway, East of Deep Crk., (Melbourne bound) Pakenham 256 R6	7.6	3.7	5	cutting	350	110	95.0	105	10.5
6	walled	LH R=1200	Hume Highway (M40) Wallan 254 L11	7.5	3.75		cutting	400	110	100.2	112	11.2
7	walled	RH R=1040	Hume Highway (M80) Broadford 254 M8	7.5	3.75	5	treed	350 ·	110	101.6	109	8.60
8	walled	RH R=1046	Hume Highway (M107) Avenel 254 N5	7.5	3.75	3,5	shrubs/trees	350	110	99.5	109	8.81

RH= right hand \*Map references from MELWAY - GREATER MELBOURNE, EDITION NO. 17, 1987.

155

Γ	SIT	E DESCRIPT	PION			SI	FE DETAILS			SPEED [	ETAILS	
SITE NUMBER	ROADSIDE CATEGORY	ROAD GEOMETRY	SITE DESCRIPTION	ROAD SEAL WIDTH (m)	ROAD LANE WIDTH (m)	S ULDER WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE AFTER TRAVELLING 5 SEC INTO CURVE (m)	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	FREE SPEED (85% Kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
9	spacious	LH ·· R=575	Sth. Gippsland Hwy., Before M Donalds Rd. (Phillip Is. bound) Koo Wee Rup 256 R7	7.4	3.7	10	open farming (median strip)	400	100	98.4	110	11,43
10	spacious	LH R= 750	Western Hwy. (M50) (Ballarat bound) Baccus Marsh 216A DI	7.6	3.8	20	crops/farming	450	110	97.5	106	8.94
11	spacious	RH R≈720	Sth. Gippsland Hwy., (Dandenong bound) Koo Wee Rup 256 R7	7.4	3.7	10	open farming (median strip)	450	100	97.2	106	9.51
12	spacious	RH R= 760	Princes Hwy. (Melb. bound) W. of Morwell 252 All	7.4	3.7	10	grazing	450	110	98.6	106	8.21
13	walled.	LH R=650	Princes Hwy. East of Gumscrub Crk, Officer 215 B5	7.4	3.7	5	treed •	400	100	97.1	105	9.39
14	walled	LH R= 580	Princes Hwy. (Melb. bound) before Moe~Newbgh. 252 All	7.4	3.7	4	cutting	400	110	97.4	105	9.00
15	walled	RH R= 480	Princes Hwy. (Melb. bound) East of Kennedy Crk. Pakenham 256 R6	7.4	3.7	3	treed	450	100	90.6	99	8.37
16	walled	RH R=500	Sth. Gippsland Hwy., btw. Abbotts-Knowles Rds. (Dand. bound) Lyndhurst 96 AB	7.4	3.7	5	treed	350	100	99.0	111	12.35

APPENDIX A - 6

LARGE RADUIS, 2-LANE ROADS - HORIZONTAL CURVE SITES.

	SIT	E DESCRIPT	PION			S1	TE DETAILS			SPEED (	DETAILS	_
SITE NUMBER	ROADSIDE CATEGORY	ROAD GEOMETRY	SITE DESCRIPTION	ROAD SEAL WIDTH (m)	ROAD LANE WIDTH (m)	SHOULDER WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE AFTER TRAVELLING 5 SEC INTO CURVE (m)	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	FREE SPEED (85% kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
17	spacious	t# R=870	MIdland Hwy. (South bound) Sth. of Morwell <sub>252</sub> All	7.6	3.8	20	grazing	200	100	98.0	106	11.70
18	spacious	LH R= 750	Northern Hwy. (M156) Near Belt Road Runnymede 253 H1	7.4	3.7	5	grazing	650	100	90.9	99	8.92
19	spacious	RH R= 1100	Midland Hwy. (South bound) South of Morwell 252 All	7.6	3.8	20	grazing	200	100	97.0	105	8.56
20	spacious	R# R= 870	Midland Hwy. (North bound) South of Morwell 252 All	7.6	3.8	20	grazing	200	100	96.6	109	11.86
21	walled	LH R= 720	Wellington Road After Aura Vale Rd. Menzies Crk. 126 F7	7.4	3.7	3.5	cutting	200	100	93.6	103	9.12
22	walled	LH R= 650	Bass Hwy. Before Ullathorne Rd. Inverloch 256 S12	7.0	3.5	5.5	treed	200	100	82.5	92	3.83
23	walled	RH 720	Wellington Road Before Aura Vale Rd. Menzies Crk. 126 F7	7.4	3.7	3.5	cutting	200	100	91.3	99	).91
24	walled	RH R=650	Bass Highway Before Ullathorne Rd. Inverloch 256 S12	7.0	3.5	5.5	treed	200	100	88.5	98	9.00

APPENDIX A - 7

### SMALL RADIUS, 2-LANE ROADS - HORIZONTAL CURVE SITES.

			TON.			SIT	E DETAILS			SPEED DE	TAILS	
SITE NUMBER	ROADSIDE CATEGORY	ROAD GEOMETRY	SITE DESCRIPTION	ROAD SEAL WIDTH (m)	ROAD LANE WIDTH (m)	SHOULDER WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE AFTER TRAVELLING 5 SEC INTO CURVE (m)	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	FREE SPEED (85% Kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
25	spaciou	LH R=400	Maroondah Hwy. Near Growlers Gully Rd Merton 254 T6	7.4	7	6	grazing	350	100	97.6	106	9.89
26	spacious	LH R=480	Melba Hwy. Near Devlins Bridge. Glenmore Prop. 254 Q10	7.4	3.7	8	grazing	400	100	94.5	104	9.02
27	spacious	RH R>400	Maroondah Hwy. Near Growlers Gully Rd Merton 254 T6	7.4	3.7	6	grazing	350	100	96.6	103	9.53
28	spacious	RH R=450	Maroondah Hwy. Near Kubeils Road Mondfield 254 T6	7.4	3.7	5	grazing	400	100	92 1	102	9.85
29	walled	LH R= 360	Helba Hwy. After Kinglake Road Mt. Slide 254 Q11	7.4	3.7	2.5	heavily t eed	200	100		-	
30	walled	LH R-450	Goulbourn Valley Hwy. Near Native Dog Crk. Yea 254 R8	7.4	3.7	3.5	cutting	250	100	102.0	112	9.39
31	walled	RH R=360	Melba Hwy. After Kinglake Road Mt. Slide 254 Qll	7.4	3.7	2.5	heavily treed	200	100	-	-	-
32	walled	RH R=450	Goulbourn Valley Hwy. Near Native Dog Crk. Yea 254 RB	7.4	3.7	3.5	cutting	250	100	94.2	106	9.43

LARGE RADIUS, GRAVEL ROADS - HORIZONTAL CURVE SITES.

APPENDIX A - 8

	S	DESCRI	ИС			SI	TE DETAILS			SPEED	DETAILS	
SITE NUMBER	ROADSIDE CATEGORY	ROAD GEOMETRY	SITE DESCRIPTION	ROAD SEAL WIDTH (m)	ROAD LANE WIDTH (m)	SHOULDER WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE AFTER TRAVELLING 5 SEC INTO CURVE (m)	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	PREE SPEED (85% kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
33	spacious	LH R=300	EDE Track (2-way) Monegeeta 253 J10	8.5	4.25	10+	farming	300	75	-	-	-
34	spacious	LH R=260	Colbinabbin - Lake Cooper Road, Colbinabbin 253 J1	7.1	3.55	10	grazing	300	75	-	-	-
35	spacious	RH R=300	EDE Track (2-way) Monegeeta 253 J10	8.5	4.25	10+	farming	300	75		-	-
36	spacious	RH R=260	Colbinabbin - Lake Cooper Road, Colbinabbin 253 Jl	7.1	3.55	10	grazing	. 300	75	-	-	-
37	walled	LH R=540	Whroo-Negambie Rd. Reedy Lake 254 M3	7.5	3.75	2	light forest	250	75		-	-
38	walled	LH R=250	Cumberland-Woods Pt. Road. (W59) Cumberland 254 V12	7.1	3.55	2	forest	150	75			-
39	walled	RH R=540	Whroo-Negambie Rd. Reedy Lake 254 M3	7.5	3.75	2	light forest	250	75			
40	walled	RH R=250	Cumberland-Woods Pt. Road. (W59) Cumberland 254 V12	7.1	3.55	2	forest	150	75	-		-

### SMALL RADIUS, GRAVEL ROADS - HORIZONTAL CURVE SITES.

	SIT	E DESCRIP	TION			SIT	E DETAILS			SP ED		
SITE NUMBER	ROADSIDE CATEGORY	ROAD GEOMETRY	SITE DESCRIPTION	ROAD SEAL WIDTH (m)	ROAD LANE WIDTH (m)	SHOULDER WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	REMAINING SIGHT DISTANCE AFTEN TRAVELLING 5 SEC INTO CURVE (m)	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (mean kph)	FREE SPEED (85% Kph)	STANDARD DEVIATION
41	spacious	LH R=160	Colbinabbin-Lake Cooper Road Colbinabbin 253 Ji	7.1	3.55	7	grazing	200	75	-	_	-
42	spacious	LH R=140	EDE Track (Riddells Rd) Monegeeta 253 J10	7.0	3.5	104	grazing	200	75	-	-	-
43	spacious	RH R=160	Colbinabbin-Lake Cooper Road Colbinabbin 253 J1	7.1	3.55	7	grazing	- 200	75	-	-	-
44	spacious	RH R=140	EDE Track (Riddells Rd) Monegeeta 253 J10	7.0	3,5	10+	grazing	200	75	-	-	-
45	walled	LH R=180	Reef Hills Road Benalla 254 Vl	7.4	3.7	3	forest	200	75	-	15# 10%	-
46	walled	LH R=190	Watts Rd. Off River- View Drive Shepparton 251 J6	7.5	3.75	2	forest	200	75	-	-	-
47	walled	RH R= 180	Reef Hills Road Benalla 254 VI	7.4	3.7	3	fore t	200	75		-	
48	walled	RH R=190	Watts Rd. Off River- View Drive Shepparton 251 J6	7.5	3.75	2	fore t	200	75		-	-

### APPENDIX A - 10 LABORATORY VALIDATION STUDY

CLOSE POLICHING TEST SITES.

SITE NUMBER	SITE DESCRIPTION	AREA	ROAD CATEGORY	SPEED LIMIT (kph)	FREE SPEED (kph) (means / 85%)	FREE SPEED (std dev)	SEAL WIDTH (B)	LANE WIDTH (m)	ROADSIDE	SHOULDER MIDTH	SIGHT
1	Dandenong 90 HJ	semi - rural	4-lane Divided Arterial.	75	74.8/ 84	9.5	7.4	3.7	spacious - grazing land	5.Om	500m
2	Mulgrave Freeway, Dandenong 91 Af	semi - rural	4-lane Urban Freeway.	110	97 / 105	8.0	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	3.Om	1000m
3	Thompson Road, Cranbourne 129 K10	Rural	2-lane Undivided Collector.	100	84.2/ 93	9.6	7.4	3.7	spacious - market gardens	2.5m	1000m
4	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Cranbourne 130 B12	Rural	2-lane Gravel Collector.	75	62.9/ 74	10.3	7.2	3.6	spacious - open paddocks	5.0m	1000m
5	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Cranbourne 134 B1	semi - rural	2-lane Gravel Collector.	75	62.3, 72	10.4	7.2	3.6	walled - treed	2.5m	500m
6	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Cranbourne 134 B1	semi - rural	2-lane Gravel Collector.	75	65 - 5 <sub>1</sub> 74	12.8	7.2	3.6	walled - treed	2.5m	500m
7	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Cranbourne 130 B1	Rural	2-lane Gravel Collector.	75	66.5 79	12.2	7.2	3.6	spacious - open paddocks	5.0m	500m
8	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Cranbourne 130 C7	Rural	2-lane Undivided Collector.	100	90.6 101	10.3	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	5.0m	750m
9	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Narre Warren 130 C4	Rural	2-lane Undivided Collector.	100	90.1 100	10.9	7.4	3.7	spacious - open paddocks	5.0m	1000m
10	Narre Warren- Cranbourne Road, Narre Warren 110 D9	Rural	2-lane Undivided Collector.	100	89.1 98	9.7	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	2.5m	1000m
11	Mulgrave Freeway, Dandenong 91 A6	semi - rural	4-lane Urban Freeway.	110	98.4 108	9.3	7.4	3.7	walled - treed	3.0m	1000m
12	Heatherton Road, Dandenong 90 H3	semi - rural	4-lane Divided Arterial.	75	77.5	8.4	7.4	3.7	spacious - grazing land	5.0m	750m

\*Map references from MELMAY - GREATER MELBOURNE, Biltion Nº, 17, 1987.

#### APPENDIX B - 1

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ROAD SPEED EXPERIMENT

The purpose of the driving test today is to measure how safe you consider driving to be in a variety of road and traffic situations. You will be asked to make a series of judgements about whether you feel the speed you are travelling at in a particular road situation is too fast or too slow. There is no need to be unduly concerned about your safety as you wil not be put through any dangerous exercises. We are only interested in your perceptions of speeds over a range of different travel speeds and road environments.

The pad on your knees is for recording your responses. You will note that each page has a line on it marked at each end as either too fast or too slow. For each site, you make your speed assessment by simply scribing across the response line at a position indicating your judgement. You may not wish to use either of the two extreme positions. However, you should try to use a <u>range</u> of responses somewhere between them. There will be differences in travel speed and your feeling of safety, for each of the sites you will be tested on.

A second response is also required at each site. Immediately following the slashline response, would you please estimate to the nearest 5 kilometres per hour what speed you think you are travelling at and record it in the box in the right-hand corner of the response page. Remember, however, that the slash-line response should always be your first response and that the speed estimate response is secondary.

The course we will be travelling on has 12 sites for assessment. In addition, we will give you some practice before we start the main experiment. There will be plenty of warning when a site is approaching. When instructed, look down at the response pad and only look up when asked to do so. You will be given 5 seconds to view the road and then instructed to look down again and make your response. Please do not respond until after the full 5 seconds of viewing time.

When viewing the road during a test trial, try to concentrate on looking straight ahead and not be distracted by objects in any of the side windows. Also, try not to use any car cues about travel speed but rely entirely on the road and the environment immediately ahead of you.

#### APPENDIX B - 2

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE LABORATORY SPEED EXPERIMENT

The purpose of this experiment today is to measure how safe you consider driving is in a variety of road and traffic situations. You will be shown a series of road scenes as viewed from the driving position of a moving car. Your task is to judge whether the speed you are travelling at is too fast or too slow compared to what you consider is a safe operating speed. There is no need to be concerned about speed limits when making your judgements. We are not interested in knowing what speed limit is appropriate but rather what you believe is a safe operating speed for a range of different travel speeds and road environments.

The pad in front of you is for recording your responses. You will note that each page has a line on it marked at each end as either too fast or too slow. For each site, you make your speed assessment by simply scribing across the response line at a position indicating your judgement. You may not want to use either of the two extreme positions, however, you should try to use a range of responses somewhere between them. There will be differences in travel speed and your feelings of safety for each of the road scenes you will be tested on.

A second response is also required for each scene. Immediately following the slash-line response, would you please estimate to the nearest 5kph what speed you think you are travelling at and then record that in the box in the right hand corner of the response page. Remember, however, that the slash-line response should always be your first response and that the speed estimate response is secondary.

You will be shown a range of road scenes for assessment. Each road scene will be displayed on the screen in front of you for 5 seconds followed by 10 seconds of blank screen. During each road presentation, you should concentrate on looking only at the screen. When the road scene disappears, then look down at your response book and quickly make your assessments. We will give you warning when another scene is about to appear. In addition, you will also be given practice at making these judgements before we start the main experiment.

And finally, when viewing the road during a test trial, try to concentrate at looking straight ahead as you would if you were driving. Try not to be distracted by anything happening around you during a test trial.

#### APPENDIX - B3

#### "CLOSE FOLLOWING" STUDY - ONROAD INSTRUCTIONS

The purpose of the driving test today is to measure how safe you consider driving to be in a variety of road and traffic situations. You will be asked to make a series of judgements about how safe you feel about the distance between you and the vehicle in front. There is no need to be unduly concerned about your safety as you will not be put through any dangerous exercises. We are only interested in your feelings of safety for a range of different travel speeds and road environments.

The pad on your knees is for recording your responses. You will note that each page has a line on it marked at each end as either very safe or very unsafe. For each site, you make your safety assessment by simply scribing across the response line at a position indicating your judgement. You may not want to use either of the two extreme positions. However, you should try to use a range of responses somewhere between them. There will be differences in travel speed and your feelings of safety for each of the road sites you will be tested on.

A second response is also required for each site. Immediately following the slash line response would you please estimate to the nearest 5 kph what speed you think you are travelling at, and then record that in the box in the right hand corner of the response page. Remember, however, that the slash line response should always be your first response and that the speed estimate response is secondary.

The course we will be travelling on has 12 sites for assessment. In addition we will give you some practice before we start the main experiment. There will be plenty of warning when a site is approaching. When instructed, look down at the response pad and only look up when asked to do so. You will be given 5 seconds to view the road and then instructed to look down again and make your response. Please do not respond until after the full 5 seconds of viewing time.

When viewing the road during a test trial try to concentrate on looking straight ahead and not be distracted by any objects in any of the side windows. Also try not to use any car cues but rely entirely on the road and the environment immediately ahead of you.

#### APPENDIX - B4

#### "CLOSE FOLLOWING" STUDY - LABORATORY INSTRUCTIONS

The purpose of this experiment today is to measure how safe you consider driving is in a variety of road and traffic situations. You will be shown a series of road scenes as viewed from the driving position of a moving car. Your task is to judge how safe you feel about the distance between you and the vehicle in front for a range of different travel speeds and road environments.

The pad in front of you is for recording your responses. You will note that each page has a line on it marked at each end as either very safe or very unsafe. For each site you make your safety assessment by simply scribing across the response line at a position indicating your judgement. You may not want to use either of the two extreme positions. However, you should try to use a range of responses somewhere between them. There will be differences in travel speed and your feelings of safety for each of the road scenes you will be tested on.

A second response is also required for each scene. Immediately following the slash line response would you please estimate to the nearest 5 kph what speed you think you are travelling at, and then record that in the box in the right hand corner of the response page. Remember, however, that the slash line response should always be your first response and that the speed estimate response is secondary.

You will be shown 12 different road scenes for assessment. Each road scene will be displayed on the screen in front of you for 5 seconds, followed by 10 seconds of blank screen. When the road scene disappears, then look down at your response book and quickly make your assessments. We will give you warning when another road scene is about to appear. In addition you will also be given practice at making these judgements before we start the main experiment.

And finally, when viewing the road during a test trial, try to concentrate on looking straight ahead as you would if you were driving. Try not to be distracted by anything happening around you during the test trial.

# TABLE C-1 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUPPLARY TABLE DAY & NIGHT VISION EXPERIMENT SAFETY ESTIMATE DATA SIGNIFICANT & NOTEWORTHY EFFECTS

EFFECT	SS	df	иѕ	F	v~
SPEED	52,400	1	52,400	104.5	.0918
TYPE OF ROAD	13,485	2	13,485	23.8	.0229
SEX OF THE DRIVER	15,425	1	15,425	3.7	.0199
ROADSIDE ENVIRONMENT	7,836	1	7,836	72.3 <sup>***</sup>	.0137
SPEED X FILMTIME	4,574	1	4,574	46.9***	.0079
FILHTIME	3,817	1	3,817	8.8	.0060
FILMTIME X ROADSIDE	3,221	1	3,221	31.2***	.0055
TESTTIME	7,018	1	7.018	1.7	.0055
ROAD X FTIME X SIDE	2,232	2	1,116	15.6***	.0037
SPEED X ROAD	1,891	2	946	13.1***	.0031
SPEED X ROAD X FTIME X SIDE	1,179	2	590	6.8**	.0018
SPEED X ROAD X SIDE X SEX	1.025	2	512	5.4**	.0015
SPEED X SIDE X EXP X SEX	826	1	826	9.1**	.0013
SPEED X ROAD X FTIME X SIDE X EXP	875	2	438	5.1**	.0012
SPEED X FTIME X EXP	656	1	656	6.7	.0010
ROAD X FILMTIME	795	2	397	3.6	.0010
SPEED X ROAD X EXP X TTIME	693	2	347	4.8	.0009
ROAD X ROADSIDE	758	2	379	3.4	.0009
SPEED X ROAD X SIDE	579	2	290	3.6	.0007
FTIME X SIDE X EXP X TTIME	424	1	424	4.1	.0006
FILMTIME X TESTTIME	20	1	20	<1	.0000

prob <.001

prob <.01

prob <.05

### TABLE C-2 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE DAY & NIGHT VISION EXPERIMENT SPEED ESTIMATE ERROR DATA SIGNIFICANT & NOTEWORTHY EFFECTS

EFFECT	SS	df	жs	F	w <sup>2</sup>
SPEED	30,876	1	30,876	118.4	.0809
ROAD	17,632	2	8,816	56.3	.0458
FILM TIME	15,334	1	15,334	59.5***	.0398
SEX X TEST TIME	10,853	1	10,853	2.5	.0170
EXPERIENCE	7,386	i	7,386	1.7	.0078
SEX	7,100	1	7,100	1.6	.0071
ROAD X SEX	1,715	2	857	5.5**	.0037
SPEED X FILMTIME	1,431	1	1,431	20.9***	.0036
SPEED X ROAD	1,404	2	702	13.8***	.0034
ROAD X FILMTIME	1,103	2	551	7.1**	.0025
FILMTIME X SEX	1,160	1	1,160	4.5	.0023
SPEED X ROADSIDE	582	1	582	14.1***	.0014
SPEED X ROAD X SIDE X EXP	498	2	249	5.8**	.0010
SPEED X ROAD X FTIME X SIDE X EXP	516	2	258	3.7*	.0010
ROADSIDE	369	1	369	7.4**	.0008
ROADSIDE X SEX	354	1	354	7.1*	.0008
ROAD X FTIME X SIDE	394	2.	197	4.6	.0008
ROAD X FTIME X SIDE X EXP X SEX	350	2	175	4.1	.0007
SPEED X ROAD X SIDE X SEX	306	2	153	3.6	.0005
ROAD X FTIME X SIDE X SEX	300	2	150	3.5	.0006
SIDE X EXP X SEX X TTIME	209	1	209	4.2*	.0000
TESTTIME X FILMTIME	1	1	1	<1	.0000

prob < .001

prob <.01

prob <.05

# TABLE C-3 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE CURVATURE VALIDATION STUDY SAFETY ESTIMATE DATA

	** prob <.01		* prob <.05		
EXPERIMENT	87	1	87	<b>&lt;1</b>	.0000
EXPERIMENT X SITE	3,975	11	3,975	3.4**	.0595
SITE	4,266	11	388	3.7**	.0657
EFFECT	SS	df	MS	F	w <sup>2</sup>

# TABLE C-4 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE CURVATURE VALIDATION STUDY SPEED ESTIMATE ERROR DATA

EFFECT	ss	đf	Ms	F	w <sup>2</sup>
EXPERIMENT X SITE	1,530	11	139	1.2	.0040
SITE	1,454	11	132	1.1	.0030
EXPERIMENT	5	1	5	<b>&lt;1</b>	.0000
*** prob <.001	** prob	<.01	* pr	ob <.05	

### TABLE C-5 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE BORIZONTAL CURVATURE EXPERIMENT SAFETY ESTIMATE DATA SIGNIFICANT & NOTEWORTHY EFFECTS

FFECT	SS	đf	M\$	F	2
SPEED	352,251	1	352,251	310.5***	. 2380
TYPE OF ROAD	178,670	2	89,335	104.1	.1199
RADIUS	66,781	1	66,781	232.0	.045
SEX OF THE DRIVER	48,637	1	48,637	10.2**	.029
SPEED X EXPERIENCE	15,394	1	15,394	13.6	.009
ROAD X ROADSIDE	10,354	2 •	5,177	41.1	.006
RADIUS X ROADSIDE	5,658	1	5,658	42.5	.003
ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	4,630	2	2,315	33.6***	.003
ROAD X EXPERIENCE	4,938	2	2,468	2.9	.002
SPEED X SEX X EXPERIENCE	3,661	1	3,661	3.2	.001
RADIUS X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	2.484	ı	2,484	34.3	.001
ROAD X RADIUS	2,076	2	1.038	9.2	.001
SPEED X ROAD X RADIUS	2,030	2	1,015	9.7	.001
ROAD X RADIUS X DIRECTION	1,990	2	995	8.3	.001
ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE X EXPERIENCE	1,590	2	795	7.8	.001
RADIUS X EXPERIENCE	1,495	1	1.495	5.2	.000
RADIUS X DIRECTION	1.259	1	1.259	13.4***	.000
SPEED X ROAD X ROADSIDE	1.356	2	678	9.9***	.000
ROAD X ROADSIDE X SEX	606	2	303	5.1	.000
SPEED X ROAD	1.255	2	628	5.1**	. 000
SPEED X RADIUS X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	882	ı	882	4.8	.000
SPEED X DIRECTION X EXPERIENCE	752	1	752	5.8*	.000
ROADSIDE X DIRECTION X SEX X EXP	684	1	684	9.1	.000
ROAD X RADIUS X SIDE X SEX X EXP	771	2	385	3.8	.000
ROAD X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	721	2	360	4.7	.000
Direction	763	1	763	4.3	.000
SPEED X RADIUS X ROADSIDE	586	1	586	7.0	.000
ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE X SEX	695	2	347	3.4	.000
SPEED X RADIUS	344	1	344	4.6	.000
ROAD X DIRECTION	651	2	326	3.1*	.000
SPEED X ROADSIDE X EXPERIENCE	241	1	241	4.0*	.000

\*\*\* prob <.001

\*\* prob <.01

\* prob <.05

### TABLE C-5 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE HORIZONTAL CURVATURE EXPERIMENT SPEED ESTIMATE ERROR DATA SIGNIFICANT 4 NOTEWORTHY EFFECTS

effect	SS	đf	MS	F	w <sup>2</sup>
ROAD	185,753	2	92,876	228.9***	.159
SPEED	123,272	1	123,272	533.1***	.106
EXPERIENCE	67,261	1	67,261	9.76**	.052
SEX X EXPERIENCE	56,199	1	56,199	8.2	.042
ROAD X SEX	7,976	2	3,988	9.8	.006
ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE	6,760	2	3,380	73.9***	.005
RADIUS	5,461	1	5,461	43.8	.004
ROAD X RADIUS	2,456	2	1,228	21.4	.002
DRIVER SEX	9,742	1	9,742	1.4	. 002
ROAD X RADIUS X DIRECTION	1,307	. 2	653	15.1***	.001
SPEED X ROAD	1,061	2	530	11.7***	.000
ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	921	1	921	38.1***	.000
ROAD X DIR X SEX X EXP	658	2	329	6.8**	.000
ROADSIDE	560	1	560	7.2**	.000
RADIUS X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	547	1	547	15.6***	.000
ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	517	2	258	6.9**	.000
DIRECTION X EXPERIENCE	420	1	420	5.9	.000
ROADSIDE X DIRECTION X EXPERIENCE	326	1	326	13.5	.000
SPEED X ROAD X ROADSIDE	471	2	235	6.3	.000
SPEED X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	427	1	427	12.8	.000
SPEED X ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE	366	2	183	5.6 <sup>**</sup>	.000
ROAD X ROADSIDE	329	2	154	3,2*	.000
ROAD X DIRECTION	347	2	173	3.6	.000
ROAD X RADIUS X ROADSIDE X EXPERIENCE	392	2	196	4.3*	.000
ROAD X ROADSIDE X DIRECTION	318	2	159	4.5	.000
SPEED X ROAD X RADIUS X SIDE X DIR	247	2	123	3.1	.000
SPEED X ROADSIDE	222	1	222	8.4	.000

prob <.001

prob <.01

### TABLE C-7 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE CLOSE FOLLOWING VALIDATION STUDY SAFETY ESTIMATE DATA

		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN			
SFFECT	SS	df	MS	F	<sub>w</sub> 2
:ITE	322,113	11	29 283	54.2 <sup>***</sup>	6235
EXPERIMENT	20 133	1	20,133	4 9*	0315
EXPERIMENT X SITE	20,677	11	1,879	3.5***	0290
*** prob < 001	prob	< 01	* pı	rob < 05	

# TABLE C-8 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE CLOSE FOLLOWING VALIDATION STUDY SPEED ESTIMATE ERROR DATA

EFFECT	ss	df	MS	F	<sub>w</sub> 2
SITE	65,785	11	5,980	74.0***	. 5581
EXPERIMENT	7,411	1	7,411	8.7**	.0564
EXPERIMENT X SITE	3,942	11	358	4.4***	.0262
*** prob <.001	** prob <.01		• p	rob (.05	