

Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development



Passenger security screening enhancements – case studies on financial impacts at six regional airports

November December 2019

© Commonwealth of Australia 2019 November December 2019

Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia (referred to below as the Commonwealth).

Disclaimer

The material contained in this publication is made available on the understanding that the Commonwealth is not providing professional advice, and that users exercise their own skill and care with respect to its use, and seek independent advice if necessary.

The Commonwealth makes no representations or warranties as to the contents or accuracy of the information contained in this publication. To the extent permitted by law, the Commonwealth disclaims liability to any person or organisation in respect of anything done, or omitted to be done, in reliance upon information contained in this publication.

Creative Commons licence

With the exception of (a) the Coat of Arms; and (b) the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development's photos and graphics, copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia Licence.

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia Licence is a standard form licence agreement that allows you to copy, communicate and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work to the Commonwealth and abide by the other licence terms.

Further information on the licence terms is available from https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ This publication should be attributed in the following way: © Commonwealth of Australia 2019

Use of the Coat of Arms

The Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet sets the terms under which the Coat of Arms is used. Please refer to the Commonwealth Coat of Arms - Information and Guidelines publication available at http://www.dpmc.gov.au.

Contact us

This publication is available in hard copy or PDF format. All other rights are reserved, including in relation to any Departmental logos or trade marks which may exist. For enquiries regarding the licence and any use of this publication, please contact:

Director - Publishing and Communications Communications Branch Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia

Email: publishing@infrastructure.gov.au Website: www.infrastructure.gov.au

Table of contents

Contents

TABLE OF CONTR	ENTS	1
CHAPTER 1: INTE	RODUCTION	2
1.1	Regional Aviation	2
1.2	Aviation Security	2
1.3	Case Studies	3
1.4	Stakeholders	4
1.5	Methodology and assumptions	4
CHAPTER 2: CAS	SE STUDIES	
2.1	Rockhampton	6
2.2	Wagga Wagga	
2.3	Geraldton	14
2.4	Whyalla	
2.5	Kingscote	
2.6	Longreach	
CHAPTER 3: SUN	/MARY	
REFERENCE LIST	٢	

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Regional Aviation

Regional aviation plays a key role in economic and social connectivity for regional Australia. Aviation supports regional communities by providing essential access to health care, education, legal and financial services.

It is vital in supporting economic growth in these communities by connecting regionally-based businesses to domestic and international markets, and has been a key enabler of growth in mining, agriculture and tourism in many of Australia's regions.

The House of Representatives Standing Committee on Infrastructure, Transport and Cities noted in its inquiry into the role of transport connectivity on stimulating development and economic activity (2016) that transport connectivity is integral to the liveability, economic opportunities and competitiveness of a region.¹ It underpins the role of regional cities and towns as service access hubs and nodes in the national transport network; raising competition in markets to both stimulate and shift economic activity.

The Senate Standing Committee on Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport examined the challenges faced by regional airports and aviation service providers in its report on the operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities.² The key issues considered included: the remoteness of rural and regional communities, and the importance of access to key infrastructure and support services; a deregulated and privatised aviation sector, and the effect on participation of airlines in regional markets; the challenges of funding regional airports; and the challenges to economic viability for airlines operating in remote areas, due to economies of scale and market forces.

1.2 Aviation Security

The Australian Government is committed to strengthening Australia's comprehensive and strong aviation security system to ensure safe and secure air travel. The evolving nature of terrorism continues to test and shape Australia's aviation security standards and regulatory settings. The disrupted terrorist plot at Sydney Airport in July 2017 highlighted the innovation and determination of individuals seeking to inflict harm on the travelling public. It also reinforced that aviation remains a high profile and ongoing target for terrorists.

Through the Department of Home Affairs (Home Affairs), the Government continues to review security settings in the context of evolving threats and, if required, adjusts security settings accordingly. In 2018, to keep ahead of the evolving threat environment, the Government announced that major and regional airports will upgrade their security screening technology.

Once implemented, Home Affairs estimates that 99 per cent, or 80.5 million departing passengers, will depart from an airport which undertakes security screening with X-ray advanced technology and body scanners.

Home Affairs applies security settings in the aviation sector based on its assessment of the level of security risk at each airport, and the aircraft it hosts. Home Affairs works to ensure that security requirements are commensurate with risk, particularly in regional areas, where security costs are generally higher. Based on the evolving threat, Home Affairs is amending the security screening threshold from aircraft over 20,000 kg maximum take-off weight (MTOW) to aircraft with seating

capacity of 40 or more and which operate from an airport with more than 30,000 annual departing passengers.

One result of a screening threshold based on aircraft size (weight or seating capacity), is that airports may have some flights which require screening and some flights which do not require screening.

Home Affairs, in consultation with aviation industry stakeholders, is continuing to consider the issues associated with managing the segregation of screened and unscreened passengers. This is a contentious issue for industry stakeholders, with conflicting views on the best regulatory approach, noting different approaches can cause significant variation in the cost implications for different stakeholders. Some stakeholders suggest spreading fixed costs across a broader passenger base would minimise passenger impacts, while other stakeholders argue additional costs for operators of smaller aircraft impact the viability of their operations. Costs associated with managing potential co-mingling of screened and unscreened passengers are also relevant considerations.

Airports must implement security screening in accordance with regulatory requirements. An airport may choose to implement security arrangements beyond the regulatory requirement, such as screening all passengers, even when not required by the regulator. Business decisions like this are a matter for the airport, although stakeholders presented strong and divergent views on the merits of this approach.

The challenge is to maintain the integrity of the entire aviation security network while recognising the differences in risk across international and major domestic aviation operations in comparison to regional and remote aviation. Maintaining a risk based, proportionate security framework is critical to ensuring public confidence in aviation and to support a viable and sustainable regional aviation industry.

It has been a longstanding policy of successive governments that industry is responsible for the cost of security, including operating costs, <u>although some stakeholders have queried the long term</u> <u>sustainability of this approach</u>. The majority of regional airports required to upgrade screening equipment already conduct security screening and are responsible for managing the associated costs.

The Australian Government has committed \$50.1m through the Regional Airport Security Screening Fund to support the purchase of new screening equipment at eligible regional airports.

1.3 Case Studies

The case studies presented in this paper examine the costs of security screening in a representative range of regional airports. This examination has been brought about by the Aviation, Air Cargo and International Mail Security Package announced as part of the 2018-19 Budget which includes changes to strengthen passenger screening requirements at a number of regional airports. The focus is on providing an estimate of the cost of passenger screening at regional airports that is as transparent as possible, noting the need to restrict the release of sensitive information regarding aviation security arrangements.

This paper summarises potential costs and provides further context for the operation of case study airports, but does not presuppose the decisions airport owners may make about managing or recovering costs of security screening. The costings presented are based on providing the mandated level of passenger and baggage screening.

The effect of changing passenger screening costs on airfares, <u>service frequency or routes</u> depends on commercial decisions made by aviation businesses. Regional airport operators, usually local government, make decisions about whether and how their operating costs are passed on to airport customers. Direct customers can include airlines, retailers, passengers and other air operators. Airlines determine ticket prices on the basis of a broad range of factors, including passenger screening costs passed on by airports. Some screening cost estimates in the paper are represented on a per passenger basis, but this does not equate to a change in airfare prices.

Airports were selected to cover a range of regional airport locations, community compositions, flight departure profiles and passenger volumes and existing screening requirements. The selected airports are Rockhampton QLD, Wagga Wagga NSW, Geraldton WA, Whyalla SA, Kingscote SA and Longreach QLD.

1.4 Stakeholders

In preparing the case studies, the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development (Infrastructure) engaged with key stakeholders, including the case study airports and relevant airlines, to ensure the projected changes to the ongoing costs of security screening are robust.

While stakeholders have been closely involved in preparing the report, they do not necessarily endorse its contents.

Information and estimates to inform the security screening costings were gathered from both the Department of Home Affairs and the six case study airport operators. Data on regional aviation and communities was gathered from the Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics (BITRE), the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), the Australian Trade and Investment Commission (Austrade) and local government reports.

s. 47C(1)



s. 47C(1)

2.4 Whyalla

2.4.1 Regional profile

Whyalla is located 385 kilometres northwest of Adelaide on the Upper Spencer Gulf. The local economy is focused around the Whyalla steelworks, production of oil, LPG and salt, as well as shipping of steel products and ore. The town's industrial history and nearby marine environments have also created opportunities for tourism.



Figure 10: Whyalla routes in September 2019



Community

- Council land area: 1,072 square kilometres¹³
- Population 2018: 21,766¹³
- Average population growth 2013-2018: -0.8%¹³
- Council revenue 2018: \$31.1 million¹⁴
- Council expenditure 2018: \$29.9 million¹⁴



Economy

- Number of businesses 2018: 674¹³
- Unemployment rate 2016: 12.4%¹³
- Annual tourist visits 2018 (all transport modes): 147,000¹⁵
- Annual tourist expenditure 2018: \$68 million¹⁵



Aviation

- Airport operator: Whyalla City Council
- Annual outbound RPT passengers 2018: 38,437⁶
- Annual outbound RPT flights 2018: 1,841⁶
- Flight destinations: Adelaide
- Airlines: Qantas Airways, Regional Express Airlines
- Aircraft types commonly serving airport: Saab 340, Dash 8 300
- BITRE airfare spot check July 2018:^u
 - Whyalla to Adelaide \$143 & \$149 (231 kilometres)
- Security screening does not currently take place at Whyalla Airport

^u BITRE conducted spot checks of all city pair airfares on 5 July 2018. The cheapest outbound airfare on 9 August 2018 was collected for each outbound Whyalla route.



Figure 11: Top 10 Industries by Employment - Whyalla¹³



Figure 12: Aviation trends - Whyalla Airport⁶





2.4.2 Security screening

In September 2019, there were 44 outbound flights scheduled each week from Whyalla Airport. Of these, no flights were over the current 20,000 kg MTOW screening threshold and Whyalla Airport is not currently required to conduct screening. However, 16 of these flights are over the proposed 40 seat screening threshold, so Whyalla will be required to implement passenger and check baggage screening for the first time.

Operating costs for the new security settings represented in Section 2.4.2.1 have been estimated on the basis of advice provided by Whyalla Airport.

Whyalla Airport has advised that Whyalla Council will oversee the provision of aviation security screening and this is expected to be delivered by a contracted provider.

2.4.2.1 Proposed security arrangements

The Australian Government has committed \$50.1m through the Regional Airport Security Screening Fund to support the purchase of new screening equipment at eligible regional airports and on this basis the capital costs associated with equipment purchase have not been considered.

Whyalla is the only airport represented in these case studies which will be required to implement security screening for the first time. Whyalla Airport has indicated it will conduct substantial terminal works to accommodate the new screening equipment and update the terminal at an estimated cost of s.47G

Like s.47G Whyalla will serve a mix of aircraft where only some of the aircraft are required to be screened. The case presented here only examines the new security requirements for passenger and baggage screening mandated under the new regulatory requirements.

s.47G	
Table 2.7	Estimated annual cost of screening under the new arrangements for Whyalla - only flights with more than 40 seat capacity are screened

Maintenance of equipment ^v	Number of staff to conduct screening ^w	Daily hours of operation ^x	Staff cost per hour ^y	Total security operating cost ^z
s.47G	I			

On an outbound passenger basis, this equates to a cost per screened passenger of s.47G based on Whyalla Airport only screening passengers required to be screened by the regulations. Data on airline passenger numbers is commercially sensitive, so this assumes all flights have the same load factor (i.e. how full a plane is) to estimate the number of screened passengers at about 17,500 per year.

^v Maintenance costs are projected figures provided by Whyalla Airport

[&]quot; Number of required staff at any one time has been advised by Whyalla Airport

^{*} Average daily hours of operation has been estimated based on daily flight and screening timing variances, per seven days, as advised by Whyalla Airport

^y Hourly personnel costs for screening staff have been averaged based on daily flight schedule across seven days and associated hourly cost schedule information advised by Whyalla Airport

^z Total security operating cost estimate has been provided by Whyalla Airport.



2.4.2.2 Summary

The commencement of security screening at Whyalla Airport is expected to create significant new and ongoing security operating costs for the airport, estimated at s.47G per annum.

The estimated additional s.47G cost per screened passenger, if passed on in full by the airport and, subsequently, passed on in full by the relevant airline may represent a ^{s.47G} increase in ticket price (see footnote u in Section 2.4.1).

Chapter 3: Summary

s.47G

The proposed changes to the aircraft screening threshold and airport categorisation will require a few airports to conduct screening for the first time. Whyalla Airport is the only case study airport where this applies, and the case study estimates presented here describe the significant additional ongoing operating costs for these airports to provide the security services. This can be expected to result in considerable additional pass-on costs from the airport to airline clients. How this pass-on may ultimately impact ticket prices is a business decision for the airlines in consultation with the respective airport.

The airport categorisation changes will remove the requirement for some lower passenger volume airports to conduct screening, including s.47G The removal of mandatory screening gives these airports greater flexibility in business planning, including the option to remove security screening costs passed-on to airlines entirely and, potentially, for airlines to deliver ticket price savings to passengers.

These case studies illustrate that the cost of operating passenger and baggage screening at regional airports varies considerably with passenger volumes and flight schedules. The cost of personnel to conduct screening tends to be the largest contributor to screening costs and is closely linked to departure schedules. Flights scheduled evenly across a day require screening to be operated for a longer period, leading to a corresponding increase in expenditure on screening staffing costs.

Airports with higher passenger volumes have greater flexibility in accommodating security screening costs. The amount of screening equipment at regional airports tends to be similar as the low passenger volumes mean equipment is operated below the capacity of a single screening unit. When comparing airports like s.47G and Whyalla, the equipment costs are similar but the much smaller passenger base at Whyalla results in a significantly higher screening cost per passenger. Some stakeholders raised concerns that the funding available for security equipment upgrades under the Regional Airport Security Screening Fund would not be sufficient at all airports.

As the Productivity Commission notes in its draft report on Economic Regulation of Airports, many regional airports do not have sufficient demand to cover the costs of running the airport, which means the efficient charge for aeronautical services is more than passengers are prepared to pay.²¹ Airports and councils in this situation may not pass on the full cost of providing airport services, including passenger screening, to airlines and passengers. s.47G

Airlines have raised concerns that additional costs associated with security screening may reduce the financial viability of regional routes, potentially leading to airlines reducing the frequency of flights or exiting routes.

The case study estimates provide an indication of the financial impact of screening changes, but any change to airfares, service frequency or routes will be dependent on business decisions made by councils, airports and airlines.

Chapter 3 of the report on the operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities examines the social and economic importance of regional aviation services, including the effects of high airfares.²

Reference List

¹ House of Representatives Standing Committee on Infrastructure, Transport and Cities, 2016, *Harnessing Value, Delivering Infrastructure: Inquiry into the role of transport connectivity on stimulating development and economic activity*

² Senate Standing Committee on Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport, 2019, Operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities

³ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Rockhampton R 36370,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

⁴ Rockhampton Regional Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017/18

⁵ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Rockhampton (R), Queensland*

⁶ Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics, 2019, Airport Traffic Data 1985 to 2018

⁷ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Wagga Wagga C 17750,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

⁸ City of Wagga Wagga, 2018, Annual Report 2017/2018

⁹ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Wagga Wagga (C), New South Wales*

¹⁰ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Greater Geraldton C 53800,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

¹¹ City of Greater Geraldton, 2018, Annual Report 2017-2018

¹² Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Greater Geraldton (C), Western Australia*

¹³ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Whyalla C 48540,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

¹⁴ Whyalla City Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017/2018

¹⁵ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Whyalla (C), South Australia*

¹⁶ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Kangaroo Island DC 42750,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

¹⁷ Kangaroo Island Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017-18

¹⁸ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Kangaroo Island (DC), South Australia*

¹⁹ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Longreach R 34710,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

²⁰ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Longreach* (*R*), *Queensland*

²¹ Productivity Commission, 2019, *Economic Regulation of Airports (draft report)*



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development

Attachment A: Aircraft types servicing case study airports

Saab 340



Maximum take-off weight 13,155 kg Seats 34 Cruise speed 505 km/h Range 1,732 km Servicing: Kingscote, Longreach, Wagga Wagga, Whyalla

Dash 8 300



Maximum take-off weight 19,505 kg Seats 50 Cruise speed 505 km/h Range 1,520 km Servicing: Kingscote, Wagga Wagga, Whyalla

Dash 8 400



Maximum take-off weight 28,998 kg Seats 74 Cruise speed 667 km/h Range 1,668 km Servicing: Longreach, Rockhampton, Wagga Wagga

Fokker 100



Maximum take-off weight 45,810 kg Seats 100 Cruise speed 845 km/h Range 3,170 km Servicing: Geraldton, Rockhampton

Boeing 737-800



Maximum take-off weight 78,240 kg Seats 176 Cruise speed 834 km/h Range 5,449 km Servicing: Rockhampton

s.47G





Calculationa and thinking on airfare comparisons - very difficult to draw conclusio	S	
Airfares for reference (BITRE 2018) S. 22(1)(a)(ii)	Whyalla to Adelaide \$143 & \$149 (231 kilometres) S. 22(1)(a)(ii)	
1st flight 2nd flight Security cost proportion of airfare Old mandatory	\$143	
Old all		
New mandatory	S.47G	
New all		

DOCUMENT 4

Screening hours	Rules				
1 October 2019	Start = first flight time -2.75 hours		0.11		
	Time between flights 2.75 hours		0.11		
	Time after flights 0.25 hours		0.01		
See XXX Timetable sheet for details -	rounded to the nearest half hour				
S	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)			Whyalla	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
All screened					11.0
> 20 MTOW screened					0.0
> 40 pax screened					6.0
Number of seats					
Saab 340	3	34			
Dash 8 300	Į.	50			
Dash 8 400	7	74			
Fokker 100	10	05			
Boeing 737-800	17	74			
	22(1)(2)(3)				s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
In the second	S. 22(1)(a)(1)			Whyalla	
Flights - > 20 MTOW screened					0
Seats - > 20 MTOW screened					0
Flights - > 40 pax screened					16
Seats - > 40 pax screened					800
Flights - all screened					44
Seats - all screened					1/52
Portion of flights over 20 MTOW					0%
Portion of flights over 40 seats					36%
Portion of seats over 20 MIOW					0%
Portion of seats over 40 seats					46%
Very rough load factor					42%

Community

Council land area Population 2018 Average population growth 2013-2018 Council revenue 2018 Council expenditure 2018 <u>s. 22(1)(a)(ii)</u>

Economy

Number of businesses 2018 Unemployment rate 2016 Annual tourist visits 2018 (all transport modes) Annual tourist expenditure 2018

Aviation

Airport operator Annual outbound RPT passengers 2018 Annual outbound RPT flights 2018 Flight destinations Airlines Aircraft types commonly serving airport BITRE airfare spot check July 2018

Infrastructure airfare spot check September 2019

Security Costs See security tab



wnyana	Time Carrier 8:00 ZL 8:30 ZL 8:50 QF 10:30 ZL	Dest ADL ADL ADL ADL	Aircraft Saab 340 Saab 340 Dash 8 300 Saab 340	Screened old Screened new	Mon 1	day Tues 1 1 1	day Wed 1 1 1	Inesday Thu 1 1 1	ursday Friday 1 1 1	Saturd 1 1	lay Sunday 1 1	/ Flights	Seats 4 136 1 34 6 300 5 170	>20 MTOV Flights C C C C C C C	V Seats) 0) 0) 0) 0	>40 pax Flights Seats 0 0 0 0 6 300 0 0
	12:40 ZL 15:10 ZL 15:20 QF 17:10 ZL 17:30 QF 19:10 ZL	ADL ADL ADL ADL ADL	Saab 340 Saab 340 Dash 8 300 Saab 340 Dash 8 300		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1		1 1 1	4 136 3 102 4 200 5 170 6 300 6 204			0 0 0 0 4 200 0 0 6 300 0 0
	19.10 ZL	ADL	3480 340			1	1	1	1	1		1	0 204 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Total						8	7	7	8	8	2	4	0 0 0 0 44 1752			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 800
Total				All screened		8:00	8:00 0:00	8:00	8:00	0:00 8:30	0:00	0:00	44 1752			10 800
						8:50 10:30 12:40 0:00 15:20	8:50 10:30 12:40 0:00 0:00	8:50 10:30 0:00 15:10 0:00	8:50 10:30 0:00 15:10 15:20	8:50 0:00 12:40 15:10 15:20	8:50 10:30 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 12:40 0:00 15:20				
						17:10 17:30 19:10 0:00 0:00	17:10 17:30 19:10 0:00 0:00	17:10 17:30 19:10 0:00 0:00	17:10 17:30 19:10 0:00 0:00	17:10 17:30 19:10 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 17:30 19:10 0:00 0:00				
						0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00				
					1	0:00 0:00 8:00	0:00 0:00 8:00	0:00 0:00 8:00	0:00 0:00 8:00	0:00 0:00 8:30	0:00 0:00 8:50	0:00 0:00 12:40				
					3 4 5 6 7	10:30 12:40 15:20 17:10 17:30	10:30 12:40 17:10 17:30 19:10	10:30 15:10 17:10 17:30 19:10	10:30 15:10 15:20 17:10 17:30	12:40 15:10 15:20 17:10 17:30	10.30	17:30 19:10				
					8 9 10 11 12	19:10			19:10	19:10						
					13 14 15											
					1 2 3 4 5	2:45 0:50 1:40 2:10 2:40	2:45 0:50 1:40 2:10 3:00	2:45 0:50 1:40 3:00 2:00	2:45 0:50 1:40 3:00 0:10	2:45 0:20 3:00 2:30 0:10	2:45 1:40	2:45 2:40 2:10 1:40				
					6 7 8 9 10	1:50 0:20 1:40	0:20 1:40	0:20 1:40	1:50 0:20 1:40	1:50 0:20 1:40						
					11 12 13 14 15											
				Total time for the day Average daily time		13:55 11:00	12:25	12:15	12:15	12:35	4:25	9:15				
				Over threshold screened old		0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00				
						0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00				
						0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00				
						0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00	0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00 0:00				
					1 2 3	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00				
					4 5 7 8											
					10 11 12 13											
					15 1 2	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00				
					- 3 4 5 6 7											
					8 9 10 11 12											
				Total time for the day	13 14 15	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00				

Full schedule 1 October 2019 Whyalla

ver threshold screened new 0.00 <th< th=""><th>Average daily time</th><th></th><th>0:00</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	Average daily time		0:00						
0.00 0.00 <th< th=""><th>Over threshold screened new</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	Over threshold screened new								
0.00 0.00 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>0:00</th><th>0:00</th><th>0:00</th><th>0:00</th><th>0:00</th><th>0:00</th><th>0:0</th></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:0
850 850 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
000 000 <td></td> <td></td> <td>8:50</td> <td>8:50</td> <td>8:50</td> <td>8:50</td> <td>8:50</td> <td>8:50</td> <td>0:00</td>			8:50	8:50	8:50	8:50	8:50	8:50	0:00
1 2.45 2.			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
1520 000 000 1520 1520 000 1530 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
1 2.45 2.			15:20	0:00	0:00	15:20	15:20	0:00	15:20
1730 1730			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
000 000 <td></td> <td></td> <td>17:30</td> <td>17:30</td> <td>17:30</td> <td>17:30</td> <td>17:30</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>17:30</td>			17:30	17:30	17:30	17:30	17:30	0:00	17:30
000 000 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0:00 0:00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0:00 0:00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0:00 0:00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></td<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
000 030 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td> <td>0:00</td>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
0000 0300 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td><td>0:00</td></th<>			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
1 2.45 2.45 2.45 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 15.20 3 17:30			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
1 850 850 850 850 850 850 1520 3 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 4 5 7			0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00	0:00
2 1520 1730 1730 1520 1520 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1011 122 1011 122 1011 122 1011 121 1011 121		1	8:50	8:50	8:50	8:50	8:50	8:50	15:20
a 17:30 17:30 17:30 a 5 5 5 7 a 9 10 11 12 11 2:45 <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>15:20</td> <td>17:30</td> <td>17:30</td> <td>15:20</td> <td>15:20</td> <td></td> <td>17:30</td>		2	15:20	17:30	17:30	15:20	15:20		17:30
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 12 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 1 2.45 <		3	17:30			17:30	17:30		
5 7 9 1 11 2:45 <		4							
6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 1 2.45 </td <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		5							
7 9 9 10 12 13 14 15 1 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.10 2.10 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 11 12 13 11 12 13 14 15 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55 ptal time for the day 7.55 5.45 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55		6							
9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		7							
3 1 2.45 1.0 <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		0							
1 2:45 2:		10							
1 2:45 1:45 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 1:12 1:11 <t< td=""><td></td><td>11</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		11							
13 1 2.45 4.55 values dally time 6.07 5.45 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55		12							
14 15 1 2:45 1:45 1:1		13							
15 1 245 245 245 245 245 245 245 245 245 245		14							
1 2:45 2:		15							
1 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45									
2 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 2:10 3 2:10 2:10 2:10 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 vtal time for the day 7.55 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:55 verage daily time 607		1	2:45	2:45	2:45	2:45	2:45	2:45	2:45
3 2:10 2:10 2:10 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 7:55 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:55 werage daily time 607		2	3:00	3:00	3:00	3:00	3:00		2:10
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 5 stat time for the day 7,55 5,45 7,55 7,55 2,45 4,55 stat time for the day 6,07		3	2:10			2:10	2:10		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5 stal time for the day 7.55 5.45 5.45 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55 stal time for the day 607		4							
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 xtal time for the day 7.55 5.45 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55 yrtad time for the day 6.07		5							
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5rd5 5rd5 7r55 7r55 2rd5 4r55 serate daily time 607		6							
s 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 20 245 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:55 verage daily time 6:07		7							
10 11 12 13 14 15 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21		0							
11 12 13 14 15 otal time for the day 7.55 5.45 5.45 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55		10							
12 13 14 15 otal time for the day 7.55 5.45 5.45 7.55 7.55 2.45 4.55 weraze daily time 6.07		11							
13 14 15 otal time for the day 7:55 5:45 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:55 verzaze dalik time 6:07		12							
14 15 otal time for the day 7:55 5:45 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:59 verage daily time 6:07		13							
15 stal time for the day 7:55 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:53 verzaze daily time 6:07		14							
tal time for the day 7:55 5:45 5:45 7:55 7:55 2:45 4:55		15							
verage daily time 6:07	Total time for the day		7:55	5:45	5:45	7:55	7:55	2:45	4:55
	Average daily time		6:07						

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:

s.47F

Tuesday, 26 November 2019 11:36 AM s.47F

RE: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED] [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

<mark>ні</mark>s.47F

Many thanks for turning this around quickly.

In terms of next steps, we'll start sharing text with airlines soon, with a view to finalising and providing a copy of the report to the Senate committee (my understanding is the report will become public shortly thereafter).

We will be in touch if there is anything further.

s.47F

OFFICIAL

From:S.47F@whyalla.sa.gov.au>Sent:Thursday, 21 November 2019 4:22 PMTo:S.47F@infrastructure.gov.au>Subject:RE:IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED][SEC=OFFICIAL]

нis.47F

Please see responses below,

Good afternoon S.47F

Thank you for the input you provided to S.47F regarding the security screening case studies. S.47F has moved to a new position and I'm now taking the case studies forward.

My Department is looking to submit the case studies to the Senate Committee shortly and I would value any comments you may have, particularly regarding the Whyalla section. I'm sorry for the short time frame again, but it would be greatly appreciated if you are able to provide any comments by COB Friday 22 November 2019.

In addition to your comments, I would like to seek clarification to ensure that we present the information that . Whyalla Airport considers most representative of your estimated costs:

1. These case studies are only comparing the case where airports screen at the regulatory minimum (but we do note where airports are taking a different approach). Under the new arrangements, could you please confirm if you anticipate screening to the regulatory minimum (only passengers on flights with a nominal capacity over 40 seats) or do you expect to conduct screening on more passengers?

s.47G

1

Could you please confirm you are satisfied with the presentation of the terminal works figure of ^{s.47G} I understand there have been ongoing discussions around this figure and I note the Whyalla Airport Upgrade Business Case presents similar figures with a slightly different breakdown.



Any further information please don't hesitate to contact me.

Regards



Consultation with the other airports is also underway, so the attached draft does not include the detail related to other airports.

I am happy to discuss further.

Kind regards,

s.47F

Director | Trade and Aviation Market Policy Section Aviation Industry Policy Branch | Aviation and Airports Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594, Canberra ACT 2601 S.47F

@infrastructure.gov.au | w

www.infrastructure.gov.au

The Department proudly acknowledges the Traditional Owners and Custodians of Australia, and their continuing connections to the land, waters and communities. We pay our respects to them and to their Elders past, present and emerging.



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development

Great Cities, Strong Regions, Connecting Australians,

OFFICIAL



Manager - Airport Operations



w. whyalla.sa.gov.au

Whyalla Airport PO Box 126 Whyalla SA 5600 s.47F

f. 08 8645 0155



The information transmitted in this message and its attachments (if any) is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this e-mail may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this e-mail and any attached files; with the permission of the sender.

From: S.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au>

Sent: Wednesday, 9 October 2019 11:16 AM

To: s.47F <u>@infrastructure.gov.au</u>>

Cc: s.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au>

Subject: FW: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

His.47F

Information as requested, any further information please contact me, 1.Estimates of screening costs:

s.47G

Regards S.47F

Manager - Airport Operations

s.47F

From: Sent: To: Subject:

s.47F

Thursday, 21 November 2019 4:30 PM

s.47F

FW: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED] [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

 From:
 S.47F
 @whyalla.sa.gov.au>

 Sent:
 Thursday, 21 November 2019 4:22 PM

 To:
 S.47F
 @infrastructure.gov.au>

 Subject:
 RE:
 IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security

 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
 [SEC=OFFICIAL]



Please see responses below,

Good afternoon S.47F

Thank you for the input you provided to S.47F regarding the security screening case studies S.47F has moved to a new position and I'm now taking the case studies forward.

My Department is looking to submit the case studies to the Senate Committee shortly and I would value any comments you may have, particularly regarding the Whyalla section. I'm sorry for the short time frame again, but it would be greatly appreciated if you are able to provide any comments by COB Friday 22 November 2019.

In addition to your comments, I would like to seek clarification to ensure that we present the information that Whyalla Airport considers most representative of your estimated costs:

1. These case studies are only comparing the case where airports screen at the regulatory minimum (but we do note where airports are taking a different approach). Under the new arrangements, could you please confirm if you anticipate screening to the regulatory minimum (only passengers on flights with a nominal capacity over 40 seats) or do you expect to conduct screening on more passengers?

s.47(3
2.	Could you please confirm you are satisfied with the presentation of the terminal works figure of ^{s.47G} I understand there have been ongoing discussions around this figure and I note the Whyalla Airport Upgrade Business Case presents similar figures with a slightly different breakdown.
s.47G	

s.47G

Any further information please don't hesitate to contact me.

Regards



Consultation with the other airports is also underway, so the attached draft does not include the detail related to other airports.

I am happy to discuss further.

Kind regards,



Director | Trade and Aviation Market Policy Section Aviation Industry Policy Branch | Aviation and Airports Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594, Canberra ACT 2601 S.47F

@infrastructure.gov.au | w

www.infrastructure.gov.au

The Department proudly acknowledges the Traditional Owners and Custodians of Australia, and their continuing connections to the land, waters and communities. We pay our respects to them and to their Elders past, present and emerging.



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development

Great Cities. Strong Regions. Connecting Australians.

OFFICIAL





The information transmitted in this message and its attachments (if any) is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this e-mail may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this e-mail and any attached files, with the permission of the sender.

From:S.47F@whyalla.sa.gov.au>Sent:Wednesday, 9 October 2019 11:16 AMTo:S.47F@infrastructure.gov.au>Cc:S.47F@whyalla.sa.gov.au>

Subject: FW: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

His.47F

Information as requested, any further information please contact me, 1.Estimates of screening costs:

s.47G

S.47F Manager - Airport Operations

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From:	s 47F
Sont	Tuesday, 19 November 2019 4:26 PM
Sent.	Tuesday, 19 November 2019 4.20 PM
То:	s.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au
Cc:	s.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au;S.47F
Subject:	RE: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation
	security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED] [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Attachments:	Regional Aviation Security Screening Case Studies Whyalla.docx

OFFICIAL

Good afternoon S.47F

Thank you for the input you provided to S.47F regarding the security screening case studies. S.47F has moved to a new position and I'm now taking the case studies forward.

My Department is looking to submit the case studies to the Senate Committee shortly and I would value any comments you may have, particularly regarding the Whyalla section. I'm sorry for the short time frame again, but it would be greatly appreciated if you are able to provide any comments by COB Friday 22 November 2019.

In addition to your comments, I would like to seek clarification to ensure that we present the information that Whyalla Airport considers most representative of your estimated costs:

s.47G

Consultation with the other airports is also underway, so the attached draft does not include the detail related to other airports.

I am happy to discuss further.

Kind regards,

s.47F

Director | Trade and Aviation Market Policy Section Aviation Industry Policy Branch | Aviation and Airports Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594, Canberra ACT 2601 S 47F



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development

@infrastructure.gov.au | w www.infrastructure.gov.au

The Department proudly acknowledges the Traditional Owners and Custodians of Australia, and their continuing connections to the land, waters and communities. We pay our respects to them and to their Elders past, present and emerging.

Great Cities. Strong Regions. **Connecting Australians.**

OFFICIAL

From: S.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au> Sent: Wednesday, 9 October 2019 11:16 AM **To:**s.47F @infrastructure.gov.au> **Cc:**S.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au> Subject: FW: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

His.47F

Information as requested, any further information please contact me, 1.Estimates of screening costs:

s.47G



Regards

s.47F

Manager - Airport Operations





f. 08 8645 0155

s.47F





The information transmitted in this message and its attachments (if any) is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this e-mail may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this e-mail and any attached files, with the permission of the sender.





The information transmitted in this message and its attachments (if any) is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this e-mail may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this e-mail and any attached files, with the permission of the sender.

From:S.47E

@infrastructure.gov.au>

Sent: Monday, 7 October 2019 10:33 PM

To: Customer Service <<u>customer.service@whyalla.sa.gov.au</u>>

Subject: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED] Importance: High

FOR URGENT ATTENTION OF THE WHYALLA AIRPORT MANAGER

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development (Infrastructure) is conducting case studies of six regional airports, examining the ongoing operational security costs at these airports. Whyalla Airport has been selected as one of the case study airports and your assistance in providing information to inform discussion around airport security would be greatly appreciated.

I regret that I was unable to reach you at Whyalla Airport late last week by phone to explain this matter personally, hence this email.

Our case studies have a particular focus on the impact that the new passenger screening requirements and airport categories will have on the cost of screening. The case studies will be provided to the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee.

The Department of Home Affairs has provided some guidance to us on equipment that will likely be required at your airport for the enhanced screening requirements. Home Affairs has also provided some other approximations around screening staff and we are seeking further insight from the estimates that you provide.

My deep apologies, but we are working with some incredibly tight time frames created by Federal Senate Estimates requirements later this month.

It would be greatly appreciated if you could provide responses by **COB Wednesday 9 October**. I understand there may be limitations on how much information or detail you're able to provide in this timeframe. Could you please provide the following information:

1. Estimates of security screening costs under the new arrangements in the following categories:

- a. Annual equipment maintenance. If you are able to include an estimate in terms of a proportion of purchase cost, it would be much appreciated. (for example, average annual maintenance cost is in the vicinity of 15% of equipment purchase price)
- b. Screening personnel costs. Note that this is all the personnel required to provide the screening service, so it's not limited to just those directly processing passengers and baggage. It would be very helpful if you are able to present in the following format:
 - i. Average number of staff required to operate the screening at any one time.
 - ii. Average cost of a screening staff member per hour.
 - iii. Average number of hours that screening is required to run each day.
- 2. Are you able to provide an indication of what proportion of the cost of passenger screening you may look to pass on to airlines?
- 3. Based on the cost estimates above, what proportion of annual airport operating expenditure do you expect will be spent on operation of your passenger and checked baggage screening under the new arrangements?
- 4. Do you expect there will be any significant terminal works required to accommodate the new screening requirements?

Thank you for contributing to these case studies. I will seek to contact you by phone tomorrow (Tuesday 8 Oct) to discuss further and to answer any queries you may have.

Kind regards

S.47F Director | International-Regional Aviation and Airports Division Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594, Canberra ACT 2601

s.47F

s.47F @infrastructure.gov.au | w www.infrastructure.gov.au

Disclaimer

This message has been issued by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development. The information transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged material.

Any review, re-transmission, disclosure, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons

or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited and may result in severe penalties.

If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the Department on (02) 6274-7111

and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments.

OFFICIAL



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development



Passenger security screening enhancements – case studies on financial impacts at six regional airports

November 2019



© Commonwealth of Australia 2019 November 2019

Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia (referred to below as the Commonwealth).

Disclaimer

The material contained in this publication is made available on the understanding that the Commonwealth is not providing professional advice, and that users exercise their own skill and care with respect to its use, and seek independent advice if necessary.

The Commonwealth makes no representations or warranties as to the contents or accuracy of the information contained in this publication. To the extent permitted by law, the Commonwealth disclaims liability to any person or organisation in respect of anything done, or omitted to be done, in reliance upon information contained in this publication.

Creative Commons licence

With the exception of (a) the Coat of Arms; and (b) the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development's photos and graphics, copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia Licence.

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia Licence is a standard form licence agreement that allows you to copy, communicate and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work to the Commonwealth and abide by the other licence terms.

Further information on the licence terms is available from https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ This publication should be attributed in the following way: © Commonwealth of Australia 2019

Use of the Coat of Arms

The Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet sets the terms under which the Coat of Arms is used. Please refer to the Commonwealth Coat of Arms - Information and Guidelines publication available at http://www.dpmc.gov.au.

Contact us

This publication is available in hard copy or PDF format. All other rights are reserved, including in relation to any Departmental logos or trade marks which may exist. For enquiries regarding the licence and any use of this publication, please contact:

Director - Publishing and Communications Communications Branch Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia

Email: publishing@infrastructure.gov.au Website: www.infrastructure.gov.au

Table of contents

Contents

TABLE OF CONT	ENTS	1
CHAPTER 1: INT	RODUCTION	2
1.1	Regional Aviation	2
1.2	Aviation Security	2
1.3	Case Studies	3
1.4	Stakeholders	4
1.5	Methodology and assumptions	4
CHAPTER 2: CAS	SE STUDIES	6
2.1	Whyalla	6
CHAPTER 3: SUM	MMARY	10
REFERENCE LIS	т	11


Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Regional Aviation

Regional aviation plays a key role in economic and social connectivity for regional Australia. Aviation supports regional communities by providing essential access to health care, education, legal and financial services.

It is vital in supporting economic growth in these communities by connecting regionally-based businesses to domestic and international markets, and has been a key enabler of growth in mining, agriculture and tourism in many of Australia's regions.

The House of Representatives Standing Committee on Infrastructure, Transport and Cities noted in its inquiry into the role of transport connectivity on stimulating development and economic activity (2016) that transport connectivity is integral to the liveability, economic opportunities and competitiveness of a region.¹ It underpins the role of regional cities and towns as service access hubs and nodes in the national transport network; raising competition in markets to both stimulate and shift economic activity.

The Senate Standing Committee on Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport examined the challenges faced by regional airports and aviation service providers in its report on the operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities.² The key issues considered included: the remoteness of rural and regional communities, and the importance of access to key infrastructure and support services; a deregulated and privatised aviation sector, and the effect on participation of airlines in regional markets; the challenges of funding regional airports; and the challenges to economic viability for airlines operating in remote areas, due to economies of scale and market forces.

1.2 Aviation Security

The Australian Government is committed to strengthening Australia's comprehensive and strong aviation security system to ensure safe and secure air travel. The evolving nature of terrorism continues to test and shape Australia's aviation security standards and regulatory settings. The disrupted terrorist plot at Sydney Airport in July 2017 highlighted the innovation and determination of individuals seeking to inflict harm on the travelling public. It also reinforced that aviation remains a high profile and ongoing target for terrorists.

Through the Department of Home Affairs (Home Affairs), the Government continues to review security settings in the context of evolving threats and, if required, adjusts security settings accordingly. In 2018, to keep ahead of the evolving threat environment, the Government announced that major and regional airports will upgrade their security screening technology.

Once implemented, Home Affairs estimates that 99 per cent, or 80.5 million departing passengers, will depart from an airport which undertakes security screening with X-ray advanced technology and body scanners.

Home Affairs applies security settings in the aviation sector based on its assessment of the level of security risk at each airport, and the aircraft it hosts. Home Affairs works to ensure that security requirements are commensurate with risk, particularly in regional areas, where security costs are generally higher. Based on the evolving threat, Home Affairs is amending the security screening

threshold from aircraft over 20,000 kg maximum take-off weight (MTOW) to aircraft with seating capacity of 40 or more and which operate from an airport with more than 30,000 annual departing passengers.

One result of a screening threshold based on aircraft size (weight or seating capacity), is that airports may have some flights which require screening and some flights which do not require screening. Airports must implement security screening in accordance with regulatory requirements. An airport may choose to implement security arrangements beyond the regulatory requirement, such as screening all passengers, even when not required by the regulator. Business decisions like this are a matter for the airport.

The challenge is to maintain the integrity of the entire aviation security network while recognising the differences in risk across international and major domestic aviation operations in comparison to regional and remote aviation. Maintaining a risk based, proportionate security framework is critical to ensuring public confidence in aviation and to support a viable and sustainable regional aviation industry.

It has been a longstanding policy of successive governments that industry is responsible for the cost of security, including operating costs. The majority of regional airports required to upgrade screening equipment already conduct security screening and are responsible for managing the associated costs.

The Australian Government has committed \$50.1m through the Regional Airport Security Screening Fund to support the purchase of new screening equipment at eligible regional airports.

1.3 Case Studies

The case studies presented in this paper examine the costs of security screening in a representative range of regional airports. This examination has been brought about by the Aviation, Air Cargo and International Mail Security Package announced as part of the 2018-19 Budget which includes changes to strengthen passenger screening requirements at a number of regional airports. The focus is on providing an estimate of the cost of passenger screening at regional airports that is as transparent as possible, noting the need to restrict the release of sensitive information regarding aviation security arrangements.

This paper summarises potential costs and provides further context for the operation of case study airports, but does not presuppose the decisions airport owners may make about managing or recovering costs of security screening. The costings presented are based on providing the mandated level of passenger and baggage screening.

The effect of changing passenger screening costs on airfares depends on commercial decisions made by aviation businesses. Regional airport operators, usually local government, make decisions about whether and how their operating costs are passed on to airport customers. Direct customers can include airlines, retailers, passengers and other air operators. Airlines determine ticket prices on the basis of a broad range of factors, including passenger screening costs passed on by airports. Some screening cost estimates in the paper are represented on a per passenger basis, but this does not equate to a change in airfare prices.



Airports were selected to cover a range of regional airport locations, community compositions, flight departure profiles and passenger volumes and existing screening requirements. The selected airports are Rockhampton QLD, Wagga Wagga NSW, Geraldton WA, Whyalla SA, Kingscote SA and Longreach QLD.

1.4 Stakeholders

In preparing the case studies, the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development (Infrastructure) engaged with key stakeholders, including the case study airports and relevant airlines, to ensure the projected changes to the ongoing costs of security screening are robust.

While stakeholders have been closely involved in preparing the report, they do not necessarily endorse its contents.

Information and estimates to inform the security screening costings were gathered from both the Department of Home Affairs and the six case study airport operators. Data on regional aviation and communities was gathered from the Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics (BITRE), the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), the Australian Trade and Investment Commission (Austrade) and local government reports.

. 47C(1)



s. 47C(1)

5



Chapter 2: Case Studies

- 2.1 Whyalla
- 2.1.1 Regional profile

Whyalla is located 385 kilometres northwest of Adelaide on the Upper Spencer Gulf. The local economy is focused around the Whyalla steelworks, production of oil, LPG and salt, as well as shipping of steel products and ore. The town's industrial history and nearby marine environments have also created opportunities for tourism.



Figure 1: Whyalla routes in September 2019



Community

- Council land area: 1,072 square kilometres³
- Population 2018: 21,766³
- Average population growth 2013-2018: -0.8%³
- Council revenue 2018: \$31.1 million⁴
- Council expenditure 2018: \$29.9 million⁴

Economy

- Number of businesses 2018: 674³
- Unemployment rate 2016: 12.4%³
- Annual tourist visits 2018 (all transport modes): 147,000⁵
- Annual tourist expenditure 2018: \$68 million⁵



Aviation

- Airport operator: Whyalla City Council
- Annual outbound RPT passengers 2018: 38,437Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Annual outbound RPT flights 2018: 1,841Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Flight destinations: Adelaide
- Airlines: Qantas Airways, Regional Express Airlines
- Aircraft types commonly serving airport: Saab 340, Dash 8 300
 - BITRE airfare spot check July 2018:^a
 - Whyalla to Adelaide \$143 & \$149 (231 kilometres)
- Security screening does not currently take place at Whyalla Airport

^a BITRE conducted spot checks of all city pair airfares on 5 July 2018. The cheapest outbound airfare on 9 August 2018 was collected for each outbound Whyalla route.



Figure 2: Top 10 Industries by Employment - Whyalla³



Figure 3: Aviation trends - Whyalla AirportError! Bookmark not defined.





2.1.2 Security screening

In September 2019, there were 44 outbound flights scheduled each week from Whyalla Airport. Of these, no flights were over the current 20,000 kg MTOW screening threshold and Whyalla Airport is not currently required to conduct screening. However, 16 of these flights are over the proposed 40 seat screening threshold, so Whyalla will be required to implement passenger and check baggage screening for the first time.

Operating costs for the new security settings represented in Section 2.1.2.1 have been estimated on the basis of advice provided by Whyalla Airport.

Whyalla Airport has advised that Whyalla Council will oversee the provision of aviation security screening and this is expected to be delivered by a contracted provider.

2.1.2.1 Proposed security arrangements

The Australian Government has committed \$50.1m through the Regional Airport Security Screening Fund to support the purchase of new screening equipment at eligible regional airports and on this basis the capital costs associated with equipment purchase have not been considered.

Whyalla is the only airport represented in these case studies which will be required to implement security screening for the first time. Whyalla Airport has indicated it will conduct substantial terminal works to accommodate the new screening equipment and update the terminal at an estimated cost of s.47G

Like s.47G Whyalla will serve a mix of aircraft where only some of the aircraft are required to be screened. The case presented here only examines the new security requirements for passenger and baggage screening mandated under the new regulatory requirements.

s.47G				
Table 2.1 Es	timated annual cost of so th more than 40 seat cap	creening under the n acity are screened	ew arrangements for W	/hyalla - only flights
Maintenance of equipment ^b	Number of staff to conduct screening ^c	Daily hours of operation ^d	Staff cost per hour ^e	Total security operating cost ^f
s.47G	1			

On an outbound passenger basis, this equates to a cost per screened passenger of ^{s.47G} based on Whyalla Airport only screening passengers required to be screened by the regulations. Data on airline passenger numbers is commercially sensitive, so this assumes all flights have the same load factor (i.e. how full a plane is) to estimate the number of screened passengers at about 17,500 per year.

^b Maintenance costs are projected figures provided by Whyalla Airport

[°] Number of required staff at any one time has been advised by Whyalla Airport

^d Average daily hours of operation has been estimated based on daily flight and screening timing variances, per seven days, as advised by Whyalla Airport

^e Hourly personnel costs for screening staff have been averaged based on daily flight schedule across seven days and associated hourly cost schedule information advised by Whyalla Airport

^f Total security operating cost estimate has been provided by Whyalla Airport.



2.1.2.2 Summary

The commencement of security screening at Whyalla Airport is expected to create significant new and ongoing security operating costs for the airport, estimated at s.47G per annum.

The estimated additional s.47G per screened passenger, if passed on in full by the airport and, subsequently, passed on in full by the relevant airline may represent a ^{s.47G} increase in ticket price (see footnote a in Section 2.1.1).



Chapter 3: Summary

s.47G

The proposed changes to the aircraft screening threshold and airport categorisation will require a few airports to conduct screening for the first time. Whyalla Airport is the only case study airport where this applies, and the case study estimates presented here describe the significant additional ongoing operating costs for these airports to provide the security services. This can be expected to result in considerable additional pass-on costs from the airport to airline clients. How this pass-on may ultimately impact ticket prices is a business decision for the airlines in consultation with the respective airport.

The airport categorisation changes will remove the requirement for some lower passenger volume airports to conduct screening, including s.47G The removal of mandatory screening gives these airports greater flexibility in business planning, including the option to remove security screening costs passed-on to airlines entirely and, potentially, for airlines to deliver ticket price savings to passengers.

These case studies illustrate that the cost of operating passenger and baggage screening at regional airports varies considerably with passenger volumes and flight schedules. The cost of personnel to conduct screening tends to be the largest contributor to screening costs and is closely linked to departure schedules. Flights scheduled evenly across a day require screening to be operated for a longer period, leading to a corresponding increase in expenditure on screening staffing costs.

Airports with higher passenger volumes have greater flexibility in accommodating security screening costs. The amount of screening equipment at regional airports tends to be similar as the low passenger volumes mean equipment is operated below the capacity of a single screening unit. When comparing airports like s.47G and Whyalla, the equipment costs are similar but the much smaller passenger base at Whyalla results in a significantly higher screening cost per passenger. Some stakeholders raised concerns that the funding available for security equipment upgrades under the Regional Airport Security Screening Fund would not be sufficient at all airports.

As the Productivity Commission notes in its draft report on Economic Regulation of Airports, many regional airports do not have sufficient demand to cover the costs of running the airport, which means the efficient charge for aeronautical services is more than passengers are prepared to pay.⁶ Airports and councils in this situation may not pass on the full cost of providing airport services, including passenger screening, to airlines and passengers. s.47G

The case study estimates provide an indication of the financial impact of screening changes, but any change to airfares will be dependent on business decisions made by councils, airports and airlines.

Chapter 3 of the report on the operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities examines the social and economic importance of regional aviation services, including the effects of high airfares.²

Reference List

¹ House of Representatives Standing Committee on Infrastructure, Transport and Cities, 2016, *Harnessing Value, Delivering Infrastructure: Inquiry into the role of transport connectivity on stimulating development and economic activity*

² Senate Standing Committee on Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport, 2019, Operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities

³ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Whyalla C 48540,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

⁴ Whyalla City Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017/2018

⁵ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Whyalla (C), South Australia*

⁶ Productivity Commission, 2019, Economic Regulation of Airports (draft report)

s.47G

Aviation Security case studies project plan

- 1. Department to provide airlines and airports two weeks to provide feedback on case studies
 - Airlines to be consulted on their understanding of the current costs and the expected changes on cost for the airport/s they utilise.
 - Qantas s.47F to be asked about Rockhampton, Wagga Wagga, Geraldton, Whyalla, Kingscote and Longreach
 - Virgin S.47F to be asked about Rockhampton
 - REX (contact to be provided by Home Affairs) to be asked about Wagga Wagga, Whyalla, Kingscote and Longreach
 - o Airports provided relevant extracts of draft text for comment and views
 - Current timeline allows for phone hook up to be scheduled between
 Department and airlines to further discuss any issues (week of 4 November)
- 2. Department to reconcile views and follow up with airlines and airports on any final details week of 11 November
 - May need to review some numbers depending on what airports provide (noting current gaps in data)
- 3. Two weeks to prepare briefing and clear internally
 - o Summary of case studies as well as the final case study document
- 4. To provide clear through office and prepare and provide defensive points to Office
 - o Q&As, QTB and possible media release
- 5. Department officials to conduct briefing week of 25 November

w/c	21-Oct	28-Oct	4-Nov	11-Nov	18-Nov	25-Nov
Department of						
Home Affairs						
to provide						
input						
Provide to						
airports for						
comment						
Provide to						
airlines for						
comment						
Reconcile						
feedback and						
views						
Prepare						
briefing						
Prepare						
defensive						
points and						
brief						
exec/Office						
Provide						Department to
briefing						provide
						briefing to
						Senators

4

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: S.47F @whyalla.sa.gov.au> Wednesday, 9 October 2019 11:16 AM S.47F

FW: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]



Information as requested, any further information please contact me,

1.Estimates of screening costs:

s.47G

Regards S.47F Manager - Airport Operations







Ø

The information transmitted in this message and its attachments (if any) is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this e-mail may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this e-mail and any attached files, with the permission of the sender.

s.47F Manager - Airport Ope	erations		WHYALLA
Whyalla Airport PO Box 126 Whyalla SA 5600	s.47F f. 08 8645 0155	e. <mark>s.47F</mark> w. whyalla.s	@whyalla.sa.gov.au sa.gov.au
Ø			

The information transmitted in this message and its attachments (if any) is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this e-mail may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this e-mail and any attached files, with the permission of the sender.

From: S.47F

m: S.47F <u>@infrastructure.gov.au</u>>

Sent: Monday, 7 October 2019 10:33 PM

To: Customer Service <<u>customer.service@whyalla.sa.gov.au</u>>

Subject: IE78334 - 3-32 - For Urgent Attention of Whyalla Airport Manager - aviation security [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED] Importance: High

FOR URGENT ATTENTION OF THE WHYALLA AIRPORT MANAGER

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development (Infrastructure) is conducting case studies of six regional airports, examining the ongoing operational security costs at these airports. Whyalla Airport has been selected as one of the case study airports and your assistance in providing information to inform discussion around airport security would be greatly appreciated.

I regret that I was unable to reach you at Whyalla Airport late last week by phone to explain this matter personally, hence this email.

Our case studies have a particular focus on the impact that the new passenger screening requirements and airport categories will have on the cost of screening. The case studies will be provided to the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee.

The Department of Home Affairs has provided some guidance to us on equipment that will likely be required at your airport for the enhanced screening requirements. Home Affairs has also provided some other approximations around screening staff and we are seeking further insight from the estimates that you provide.

My deep apologies, but we are working with some incredibly tight time frames created by Federal Senate Estimates requirements later this month.

It would be greatly appreciated if you could provide responses by **COB Wednesday 9 October**. I understand there may be limitations on how much information or detail you're able to provide in this timeframe. Could you please provide the following information:

- 1. Estimates of security screening costs under the new arrangements in the following categories:
 - a. Annual equipment maintenance. If you are able to include an estimate in terms of a proportion of purchase cost, it would be much appreciated. (for example, average annual maintenance cost is in the vicinity of 15% of equipment purchase price)

- b. Screening personnel costs. Note that this is all the personnel required to provide the screening service, so it's not limited to just those directly processing passengers and baggage. It would be very helpful if you are able to present in the following format:
 - i. Average number of staff required to operate the screening at any one time.
 - ii. Average cost of a screening staff member per hour.
 - iii. Average number of hours that screening is required to run each day.
- 2. Are you able to provide an indication of what proportion of the cost of passenger screening you may look to pass on to airlines?
- 3. Based on the cost estimates above, what proportion of annual airport operating expenditure do you expect will be spent on operation of your passenger and checked baggage screening under the new arrangements?
- 4. Do you expect there will be any significant terminal works required to accommodate the new screening requirements?

Thank you for contributing to these case studies. I will seek to contact you by phone tomorrow (Tuesday 8 Oct) to discuss further and to answer any queries you may have.

Kind regards

s.47F

Director | International-Regional

Aviation and Airports Division

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development

GPO Box 594, Canberra ACT 2601

s.47F

es.47F @infrastructure.gov.au | w www.infrastructure.gov.au

Disclaimer

This message has been issued by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development.

The information transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged material.

Any review, re-transmission, disclosure, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons

or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited and may result in severe penalties.

If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the Department on (02) 6274-7111

and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments.



Red text is editable - black text is calculated with	hin the spreadsheet and changing it is not recommended Items highlighted orange are of	directly referenced in the report text (se	e table to right for copy/paste table data)					
Appual BBT passangers outbound 2019	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)	Whyalla	s, 22(1)(a)(ii)	F	or pasting into the report	tables		
Annual RPT passengers outbound 2018		38,437		I г			an Daile	Chaff anat Tab
(or GST)						o of of sta	ff to bours of	stall cost Tota
(ex (31)						equinment condu	into nours of	per nour cosi
				e 22(1)	(a)(ii)			
Screening Costs Old				3. 22(1)				
Equipment		Not required						
Annual maintenance								
Staff required to operate								
Staff cost per hour								
Only >20 MTOW screened								
Proportion weekly seats screened (old)		0%						
Screened pax (old)				* V	VYA new 40 pax	\$194,000	9 (5 \$52
Unscreened pax (old)				v	VYA new all	\$194,000	9 1:	1 \$52
Kingscote weeks of operation per year				0.00(1)				
Daily hours of operation				S. ZZ(T)(a)(II)			
Annual staffing cost								
Total annual operating cost (rounded)								
Cost per screened passenger								
All pax screened								
Daily hours of operation		11.0						
Annual starting cost								
Total annual operating cost (rounded)								
Cost per screened passenger								
Screening Costs New								
Equipment		cabin MVX-ray, checked MVX-		0	$22(1)(_{2})(_{1})$	١		
		ray, ETD x2, BDS, WTMD		5.	. ZZ(1)(a)(11)		
Capital cost (only required new equipment)		\$970.000						
Annual maintenance		\$194,000						
Staff required to operate		9						
Staff cost per hour		\$52						
Only >40 pax screened								
Proportion weekly seats screened new		46%						
Screened pax (new)		17,551						
Unscreened pax (new)		20,886						
Daily hours of operation		6.0						
Annual staffing cost		\$1,024,920						
Total annual operating cost (rounded)		\$1,219,000						
Cost per screened passenger		<u>\$69.5</u>						
All pax screened								
Daily hours of operation		11.0						
Annual staffing cost		\$1,879,020						
Cost per careened percenter		\$2,073,000						
cost per screened passenger		\$53.9						
Comparisons								
Mandatory screened old vs new total		\$1,219,000						
Mandatory screened old vs new per pax		\$69.5						
Mandatory screened old vs new % increase		çosis						
All pax screened old vs new total		\$2,073.000						
All pax screened old vs new per pax		\$53.9						
All pax screened old vs new % increase								
				_				

Calculationa and thinking on airfare comparison	ns - very difficult to draw conclusions		
Airfares for reference (BITRE 2018)	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)	Whyalla to Adelaide \$143 & \$149 (231 kilometres)	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
1st flight 2nd flight Security cost proportion of airfare Old mandatory		\$143	
Old all			
New mandatory		49%	
New all		38%	

DOCUMENT 12

Total operating cost

> \$1,219,000 \$2,073,000



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development



Passenger security screening enhancements – case studies on financial impacts at regional airports

October 2019



© Commonwealth of Australia 2019 October 2019

Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia (referred to below as the Commonwealth).

Disclaimer

The material contained in this publication is made available on the understanding that the Commonwealth is not providing professional advice, and that users exercise their own skill and care with respect to its use, and seek independent advice if necessary.

The Commonwealth makes no representations or warranties as to the contents or accuracy of the information contained in this publication. To the extent permitted by law, the Commonwealth disclaims liability to any person or organisation in respect of anything done, or omitted to be done, in reliance upon information contained in this publication.

Creative Commons licence

With the exception of (a) the Coat of Arms; and (b) the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development's photos and graphics, copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia Licence.

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia Licence is a standard form licence agreement that allows you to copy, communicate and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work to the Commonwealth and abide by the other licence terms.

Further information on the licence terms is available from <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> This publication should be attributed in the following way: © Commonwealth of Australia 2019

Use of the Coat of Arms

The Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet sets the terms under which the Coat of Arms is used. Please refer to the Commonwealth Coat of Arms - Information and Guidelines publication available at http://www.dpmc.gov.au.

Contact us

This publication is available in hard copy or PDF format. All other rights are reserved, including in relation to any Departmental logos or trade marks which may exist. For enquiries regarding the licence and any use of this publication, please contact:

Director - Publishing and Communications Communications Branch Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development GPO Box 594 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia

Email: publishing@infrastructure.gov.au Website: www.infrastructure.gov.au

Table of contents

Contents

TABLE OF CONT	ENTS 1
CHAPTER 1: INT	RODUCTION2
1.1	Regional Aviation2
1.2	Aviation Security2
1.3	Case Studies
1.4	Stakeholders4
1.5	Methodology4
CHAPTER 2: CA	SE STUDIES5
2.1	Rockhampton5
2.2	Wagga Wagga9
2.3	Geraldton13
2.4	Whyalla17
2.5	Kingscote21
2.6	Longreach25
CHAPTER 3: SU	MMARY
REFERENCE LIS	Т



Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Regional Aviation

Regional aviation plays a key role in economic and social connectivity for regional Australia. Aviation supports regional communities by providing essential access to health care, education, legal and financial services.

It is vital in supporting economic growth in these communities by connecting regionally-based businesses to domestic and international markets, and has been a key enabler of growth in mining, agriculture and tourism in many of Australia's regions.

The House of Representatives Standing Committee on Infrastructure, Transport and Cities noted in its inquiry into the role of transport connectivity on stimulating development and economic activity (2016) that transport connectivity is integral to the liveability, economic opportunities and competitiveness of a region.¹ It underpins the role of regional cities and towns as service access hubs and nodes in the national transport network; raising competition in markets to both stimulate and shift economic activity.

The Senate Standing Committee on Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport examined the challenges faced by regional airports and aviation service providers in its report on the operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities.² The key issues considered included: the remoteness of rural and regional communities, and the importance of access to key infrastructure and support services; a deregulated and privatised aviation sector, and the effect on participation of airlines in regional markets; the challenges of funding regional airports; and the challenges to economic viability for airlines operating in remote areas, due to economies of scale and market forces.

1.2 Aviation Security

The Government is committed to strengthening Australia's comprehensive and robust aviation security system to ensure safe and secure air travel. The evolving nature of terrorism continues to test and shape Australia's aviation security standards and regulatory settings. The disrupted terrorist plot at Sydney Airport in July 2017 highlights the innovation and determination of individuals seeking to inflict harm on the travelling public. It also reinforced that aviation remains a high profile target for terrorists.

Through the Department of Home Affairs (Home Affairs), the Government continues to review security settings in the context of evolving threats and, if required, adjusts security settings accordingly. In 2018, to keep ahead of the evolving threat environment, the Government announced major and regional airports will upgrade their security screening technology.

Once implemented, Home Affairs estimates that 99 per cent, or 80.5 million departing passengers, will depart from an airport which undertakes security screening with advanced technology and body scanners.

Home Affairs applies security settings in the aviation sector based on its best judgements about the level of security risk to each airport, and the aircraft it hosts. Home Affairs looks to ensure that security requirements are commensurate with risk, particularly in regional areas, where security costs are generally higher. The risk assessments undertaken by Home Affairs have determined



that security screening requirements will be set on the basis of aircraft size and the number of annual outbound passengers at regional airports. The aircraft size threshold will be changed from aircraft over 20,000 kg Maximum Take Off Weight (MTOW) to aircraft with nominal passenger capacity greater than 40 seats.

One result of a screening threshold based on aircraft size, is that airports may have some flights which require screening and some flights which do not require screening. Airports implement screening as they see fit, provided screening meets the regulatory requirements. An airport may choose to implement security arrangements beyond the regulatory requirement, such as screening all passengers, even when not required by the regulator. Business decisions like this are a matter for the airport and its customers.

The challenge is to maintain the security of the entire network while recognising the differences in threat across international and major domestic aviation operations in comparison to regional and remote airports. Maintaining a risk based, proportionate security framework at regional airports is critical to ensuring public confidence in aviation and to support a viable regional aviation industry.

It has been a longstanding policy of successive governments that industry is responsible for the cost of security, including operating costs. The majority of regional airports required to upgrade screening equipment already conduct security screening and are responsible for managing the associated costs.

1.3 Case Studies

The case studies presented in this paper examine the ongoing costs of security screening in a representative range of regional airports. This examination has been brought about by the Aviation, Air Cargo and International Mail Security Package announced as part of the 2018-19 Budget which includes changes to passenger screening requirements at many regional airports. The focus is on providing an estimate of the cost of passenger screening at regional airports that is as transparent as possible, noting the need to restrict the spread of sensitive information regarding aviation security arrangements.

This paper summarises potential costs and provides further context for the operation of case study airports, but does not presuppose the decisions airport owners will make about managing or recovering costs of security screening. The costings presented are based on providing the mandated level of passenger and baggage screening, with an additional estimate for the case where all passengers departing an airport are screened, regardless of whether their aircraft is over the size threshold.

The effect of changing passenger screening costs on airfares depends on commercial decisions made by aviation businesses. Regional airport operators, usually local government, make decisions about whether and how their operating costs are passed on to airport customers. Direct customers can include airlines, retailers, passengers and other air operators. Airlines determine ticket prices on the basis of a broad range of factors, including passenger screening costs passed on by airports. Some screening cost estimates in the paper are represented on a per passenger basis, but this does not equate to a change in airfare prices.

Airports were selected to cover a range of regional airport locations, community compositions, flight departure profiles and passenger volumes. The selected airports were Rockhampton QLD, Wagga Wagga NSW, Geraldton WA, Whyalla SA, Kingscote SA and Longreach QLD.



1.4 Stakeholders

In preparing the case studies, the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development (Infrastructure) engaged with key stakeholders to ensure the estimated changes to the ongoing costs of security screening are robust.

Information and estimates to inform the security screening costings were gathered from Home Affairs and the six case study airport operators. Data on regional aviation and communities were gathered from the Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics (BITRE), the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), the Australian Trade and Investment Commission (Austrade) and local government reports.

1.5 Methodology

The key determinants of operating costs for passenger screening are equipment maintenance costs, hours of operation for screening, number of personnel required to conduct screening and cost of personnel.

For the purpose of this paper, average daily hours of operation and number of screening personnel were estimated on the basis of outbound flight schedules at each airport in September 2019, combined with annual outbound passenger numbers. Flight schedules are subject to change and can have a significant impact on the cost of providing screening.

Schedules and passenger numbers take Regular Public Transport (RPT) flights into account, but do not include charter flights. Advice from BITRE indicates there are very few charter flights on these routes that require screening.

Estimates of the equipment requirements, maintenance costs and number of screening personnel have been provided by Home Affairs for each airport.

2.4 Whyalla

2.4.1 Regional profile

Whyalla is located 385 kilometres northwest of Adelaide on the Upper Spencer Gulf. The local economy is focused around the Whyalla steelworks, production of oil, LPG and salt, as well as shipping of steel products and ore. The town's industrial history and nearby marine environments have also created opportunities for tourism.



Figure 10: Whyalla routes in September 2019



Community

- Council land area: 1,072 square kilometres¹³
- Population 2018: 21,766¹³
- Average population growth 2013-2018: -0.8%¹³
- Council revenue 2018: \$31.1 million¹⁴
- Council expenditure 2018: \$29.9 million¹⁴



Economy

- Number of businesses 2018: 674¹³
- Unemployment rate 2016: 12.4%¹³
- Annual tourist visits 2018 (all transport modes): 147,000¹⁵
- Annual tourist expenditure 2018: \$68 million¹⁵



Aviation

- Airport operator: Whyalla City Council
- Annual outbound RPT passengers 2018: 38,437⁶
- Annual outbound RPT flights 2018: 1,841⁶
- Flight destinations: Adelaide
- Airlines: Qantas Airways, Regional Express Airlines
- Aircraft types commonly serving airport: Saab 340, Dash 8 300
 - BITRE airfare spot check July 2018:^q
 - Whyalla to Adelaide \$143 & \$149

^q BITRE conducted spot checks of all city pair airfares on 5 July 2018. The cheapest outbound airfare on 9 August 2018 was collected for each outbound Whyalla route.



Figure 11: Top 10 Industries by Employment - Whyalla¹³









2.4.2 Security screening

Security screening at Whyalla Airport is provided by XX. Whyalla City Council has indicated that XX% of expenditure related to the airport is spent on passenger and baggage screening.

In September 2019, there were 44 outbound flights scheduled each week, of which no flights were over the 20,000 kg MTOW screening threshold and 16 flights were over the 40 seat screening threshold.

Whyalla Airport is not currently required to conduct screening, due to all flights being under the MTOW threshold. Due to the change to the aircraft size threshold, Whyalla will be required to implement passenger and check baggage screening for the first time.

Operating costs for the new security settings represented in Section 2.4.2.1 have been estimated on the basis of advice from Home Affairs, in consultation with Whyalla City Council.

2.4.2.1 Enhanced security arrangements

Home Affairs estimates the cost of purchasing new equipment at Whyalla Airport to meet mandatory passenger and checked baggage screening to be \$970,000. The Australian Government has committed \$50.1m through Regional Airport Security Screening Fund to support the purchase of new screening equipment at regional airports. Funding may also be available to support modification of terminals to introduce screening at airports like Whyalla, where it is not currently conducted.

Like <u>s.47G</u>, Whyalla will serve a mix of aircraft where only some of the aircraft are required to be screened. The two cases presented here for the new security arrangements are: screening and charging of passengers for whom screening is mandated; and screening and charging of all passengers.

Table 2.9Estimated annual cost of screening under the new arrangements for Whyalla - only
passengers on flights with more than 40 seat capacity are screened

Maintenance of equipment ^r	Number of staff to conduct screening ^s	Daily hours of operation ^t	Staff cost per hour ^u	Total operating cost
\$194,000	9	6	\$52	\$1,219,000

On an outbound passenger basis, this is equivalent to \$69.50 per screened passenger. Data on airline passenger numbers is commercially sensitive, so this assumes all flights have the same load factor (how full a plane is) to estimate the number of screened passengers at 17,500 per year.

In the estimate below, the expected hours of operation have been expanded in alignment with the flight schedule for Whyalla to account for the additional flights that will be screened if all passengers are screened.

^r Maintenance costs are based on 20% of the purchase cost of the equipment, which was estimated by Home Affairs. Actual costs will vary depending on location and equipment service agreements.

^s Number of required staff estimated by Home Affairs. Actual number of screening staff required will vary depending on airport and operational requirements (passenger throughput and flight schedules).

^t Average daily hours of operation estimated on the basis of the 30 September 2019 flight schedules, allowing for a period of 3 hours preparation and screening time around each flight departure.

^u Hourly personnel costs for screening staff can vary widely between different locations. In the absence of detailed local labour cost data, an hourly cost of \$52 was selected as it is the middle of the security staff cost range at major airports.



Table 2.10Estimated annual cost of screening under the new arrangements for Whyalla – all
passengers are screened

Maintenance of equipment	Number of staff to conduct screening	Daily hours of operation	Staff cost per hour	Total operating cost
\$194,000	9	11	\$52	\$2,073,000

On an outbound passenger basis, this is equivalent to \$53.90 per passenger.

2.4.2.2 Summary

The first comparison presented here of screening and charging only passengers on flights that are over the screening threshold results in an estimated additional annual operating cost at Whyalla Airport of \$1,219,000 per year, which equates to an additional cost of \$69.50 per screened passenger.

The second comparison of screening all passengers departing the airport results in an estimated additional annual operating cost at Whyalla Airport of \$2,073,000 each year, which equates to an increase per outbound passenger cost of \$53.90.

The commencement of security screening at Whyalla Airport is expected to create significant new ongoing operating costs for the airport, which will make business decisions about how costs are managed. These costs will be borne by a mix of airport customers and stakeholders, which may include the Whyalla City Council and ratepayers, airlines and passengers, and other airport customers.

The airport, stakeholders and customers may modify their behaviour and business plans in response to the additional costs. This could include adaptation of flight schedules to minimise security screening operating hours, changes in ticket prices and passenger numbers or modification of routes.

These interlinked business decisions by the airport, airlines, passengers and other stakeholders are based on a multitude of factors which make it difficult to predict possible outcomes.



Chapter 3: Summary

These case studies illustrate that the cost of operating passenger and baggage screening at regional airports varies considerably with passenger volumes and flight schedules. The cost of personnel to conduct screening tends to be the largest contributor to screening costs.

s.47G

The proposed changes to the aircraft screening threshold and airport categorisation will require some airports to conduct screening that were not previously required to, including Whyalla. This will create significant additional ongoing operating costs for these airports to provide the security services.

s.47G

Airports with higher passenger volumes often have greater flexibility in accommodating security screening costs. The amount of screening equipment at regional airports tends to be similar as the low passenger volumes mean equipment is operated below the capacity of a single screening unit. When comparing airports like <u>5.47G</u> and Whyalla, the equipment costs are similar but the much smaller passenger base at Whyalla results in a higher cost per passenger.

One of the largest determinants of screening costs is the departing flight schedule. Flights spread evenly across a day require screening to be operated for more of the day than flights which depart in close proximity and lead to a corresponding increase in expenditure on screening personnel.

The airport categorisation changes will remove the requirement for some lower passenger volume airports to conduct screening, including <u>s.47G</u> The removal of mandatory screening gives the airports greater flexibility in business planning, including the option to remove or reduce security screening costs.

The case study estimates provide an indication of the financial impact of screening changes, but any change to airfares will be dependent on business decisions made by councils, airports and airlines.

Chapter 3 of the report on the operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities examines the social and economic importance of regional aviation services, including the effects of high airfares.²

Reference List

¹ House of Representatives Standing Committee on Infrastructure, Transport and Cities, 2016, *Harnessing Value, Delivering Infrastructure: Inquiry into the role of transport connectivity on stimulating development and economic activity*

² Senate Standing Committee on Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport, 2019, Operation, regulation and funding of air route service delivery to rural, regional and remote communities

³ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Rockhampton R 36370,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

⁴ Rockhampton Regional Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017/18

⁵ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Rockhampton (R), Queensland*

⁶ Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics, 2019, Airport Traffic Data 1985 to 2018

⁷ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Wagga Wagga C* 17750, cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

⁸ City of Wagga Wagga, 2018, Annual Report 2017/2018

⁹ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Wagga Wagga (C), New South Wales*

¹⁰ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Greater Geraldton C 53800,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

¹¹ City of Greater Geraldton, 2018, Annual Report 2017-2018

¹² Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Greater Geraldton (C), Western Australia*

¹³ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Whyalla C 48540,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

¹⁴ Whyalla City Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017/2018

¹⁵ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Whyalla (C), South Australia*

¹⁶ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Kangaroo Island DC 42750,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

¹⁷ Kangaroo Island Council, 2018, Annual Report 2017-18

¹⁸ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Kangaroo Island (DC), South Australia*

¹⁹ Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018, *Regional Statistics by LGA Annual 2011 to 2018, Longreach R 34710,* cat. no. 1379.0.55.001

²⁰ Australian Trade and Investment Commission, 2019, *Local Government Area Profiles 2018, Longreach (R), Queensland*





DOCUMENT 16

s.47G

DOCUMENT 17

			_	
	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)	Whyalla	ç	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Community				
LGA land area			1,072	
Population 2018			21.766	
Average population growth 2013-2018			-0.8%	
Council revenue 2018				
Council expenditure 2018				
Economy				
Top industries 2016		Manufacturing		
			67.4	
Number of businesses 2018			6/4	
Appual tourist visits 2018			147.000	
Annual tourist expenditure 2018			68 000 000	
Annual tourist experiature 2010			00,000,000	
Aviation				
Airport operator		Whyalla City Cound	cil	
Security provided by			0	
Annual outbound RPT passengers 2018			38,437	
Annual outbound RPT flights 2018			1,841	
Flight destinations		Adelaide		
Current proportion of airport expenditu				
on security screening				
Security Costs				
Estimate of cost to provide current	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)	\$	- 9	s. 22(1)(a)(II)
mandated passenger screening				
Estimate of cost to provide mandated		\$	1,902,000	
passenger screening under the new				
arrangements				
Estimated change in operating costs for		\$	1,902,000	
mandated passenger screening		I		

_	Airport Annual operating difference	A g cost pi ze oi 2i	Annual RPT Annual revenue assengers estimate from sutbound screening charge (018 (ex GST)	Screening costs Equipment	Old Annual Sta maintenance rea to op	aff Daily quired hour oper erate	r Staff Annual s of cost staffing o ation per hour	Total annual cost operating co (rounded)	New Equipment t	Capital require equipm	cost (only Ani d new mai ent) ce	nual Staff intenan required to operat	Daily 9 hours of 6 e operation 1	Staff Annual cost staffing cost per hour	Total annual operating cost (rounded)	Annual operating cost difference	% increa new v old	Staff ase proportio vs nold	Staff Maint proportio nce n new increa	tena Portio flights ase screen old	in of Portion of flights red screened new	Per Per outgoing out pax old pax	r Per tgoing outgoing x new pax change		Annual outgoing pax	For comparison - al Daily Daily nours of hours of operatio operatic n old new	pax screened Annual operati old n	ng cost Annual o new	perating cost Differenc	e Per Per outgoing outgoin pax old pax new	Per outgoing pax change	Rough approx Proportio Sci n weekly pa screened	mation of per pax cl sened Unscreen f c ed pax	narge for screened onl Daily Daily hours of hours of operation operation	Annual operating cost n old	Annual Differen operating cost new	nce Cost per screened pa old
9	s. 22([*]	1)(a)(ii)																																		
	Whyalla \$ 1,90	102,000 31	18,437	Not required	\$.0	0	\$ 52 \$	· \$ ·	cabin MVX-ray, chec ray, ETD x2, BDS, WT	cked MVX- \$ TMD	970,000 \$1	94,000 9	10	\$ 52 \$ 1,708,200	\$ 1,902,000	\$ 1,902,000	N/A	N/A	89.8% N/A	0%	36%	\$.\$	49.48 \$ 49.48	Whyalla	38,437	0 13	\$	- \$	2,415,000 \$ 2,415,0	000 \$ · \$ 63	.83 \$ 62.83	46% 17	551 20,886	0 10	\$-	\$ 1,902,000 \$ 1,90	02,000 \$ -
Ś	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)																																		

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)



- \$ 108.37 **\$ 108.37**



Screened flight 4-7 times each week Screened flight 1-3 times each week Unscreened flight 4-7 times each week Unscreened flight 1-3 times each week

Note unscreened flights not shown if there is a screened flight in that timeslot

	Number of seats		
Saab 340		34	
Bombardier Dash 8 300		50	
Bombardier Dash 8 400		74	
Fokker 100		105	
Boeing 737-800		174	

	New Capital	Maintenance delta	Staff hours/day (very rough)	Delta (excl. capex)	Flies to	Notes	2018 Annual Outbound PAX	Operator			
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)										
Whyalla	MVX checked, MVX baggage, BDS, FTD*2 WTMD		54		ADL	Approx 51 flights per week (~16 QF, other REX)	38,437	Whyalla City Council	9*6	Whyalla council financial statements outline budget ~\$31m 2018 revenue	
	\$970,000	\$194,000	\$1,024,920	\$1,218,920							
5. 22(1)(0	//··//										
Staffing (assun	nptions need refining on a	a per location bas	is)								

- \$52 per hour
- 365 days per year
 - how many hours per day?

Rockhampton:

_								
	44	Security Charge						
		(a) CBS Infrastructure				Per Arriving or Departing		
	45	(a) CBS Infrastructure	Commercial	GST Applies	\$0.80	Passenger	Local Government Act 2009	Part 6 S262 (3) (c)
	46	(b) All other security activities	Commercial	GST Applies		Cost plus 10%	Local Government Act 2009	Part 6 S262 (3) (c)
		(c) Passenger and Checked Bag Screening				Per Arriving or Departing		
	47		Commercial	GST Applies	\$3.78	Passenger	Local Government Act 2009	Part 6 S262 (3) (c)
							1	1

Annual revenue estimate from screening charge (ex GST)
For Official Use Only

Based on current Airport Category

	Airport	Airport Category	Currently required to screen passengers and baggage using:	Checked baggage screening X-ray	Cabin baggage screening X-ray	Explosive Trace Detection	Walk- though metal detection	Est Equip maintenance/ service cost per annum ⁽¹⁾	Est No. of screening staff ⁽²⁾
9	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)								
	Whyalla	6	Currently not required to conduct security screening	0	0	0	0	\$0	0
	s. 22(1)(a)(ii)								

Based on proposed Airport Tier

	Airport	Proposed Airport Tier	Will be required to screen passengers and baggage using:	Checked baggage screening X-ray	Cabin baggage screening X-ray	Explosive Trace Detection	Body scanner	Walk- though metal detection	Est Equip maintenance/ service cost per annum ⁽¹⁾	Est No. of screening staff ⁽²⁾
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)										
	Whyalla	2	BDS (50%), WTMD, ETD and MVX (EDS) X-ray	1	1	2	1	1	\$194,000	8 - 10
	s. 22(1)(a)(ii))								

(1) Actual costs will vary depending on location and equipment service/maintenance agreements. This figure is based on 20% of the purchase cost of the equipment.
(2) Actual number of screening staff required will vary depending on airport and operational requirements (passenger throughout and flight schedules)