



The scoring matrix used in this process in outlined below.

Table 8.2 : Scoring matrix used in stakeholder consultation

Interest Level

Medium Low High 2 4 6 Low Influence 3 6 9 Medium Level 8 12 High 4

The scoring matrix uses a standard multiplier to develop a total score which combines the overall in influence and interest the stakeholder has in regards to the project. For example, a stakeholder with a low influence and interest level would receive a score of 2.

The higher the score the more importance and rank that was associated with the particular stakeholder for the project.



8.3 Stakeholder Engagement Plan

The following Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) table has been developed. Table 3: Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP)

Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
=1	Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities	TBC	Н	Н	12	Formal updates and presentations at monthly meeting Regular direct communication Invites to public meetings and other key discussions	Formal monthly updates Ad hoc discussions on key matters	Disruption to project delivery Rework and delays to milestones Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	Ongoing constructive communication Share initial findings and seek feedback
=1	Project Steering Committee (TBC)	TBC	Н	Н	12	Weekly telephone update Provision of draft chapters Invited to one-on-one stakeholder meetings & workshops	Weekly updates Draft chapters as per project plan	Disruption to project delivery Rework and delays to milestones Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	Ongoing constructive communication Share initial findings and seek feedback on draft DBC by chapter
=1	Stakeholder Reference Group	ТВС	Н	Н	12	Formal updates and presentations	Semi- Regular meetings to	Disruption to project delivery	•



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
						Invites to public meetings and other key discussions	brief the group and to receive feedback	 Unable to receive support for project Misinformation about the project 	
=1	Councils	Townsville City Council	Н	н	12	Regular communication and meetings with senior executives and Councillors with Steering Committee Chair and Project Manager Offer of project briefings	Monthly or more frequent if required on particular matters	Disruption to project delivery Unable to receive Council support for project Misinformation about the project	Regular contact with senior executives and Councillors Promote the community benefits and positive impact to the region of the project
=1	Landholders	Directly affected landholders	Н	н	12	Regular contact with updates of the project and potential impacts to property	Regular bimonthly contact or more frequent when required	Disruption to project delivery Potential to be obstructive towards the project, including refusal of site access	Regular and honest engagement on the process and potential property impacts Recognising the significant impact on landowners



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
								Misinformation about the project	
=1	Potential customers	Parties that could receive water from the project	Н	Н	12	Regular communication through information sessions, face-to-face meetings and phone conversations Supported with written communication through e-mails and overview documents	Bi-monthly information sessions Continued engagement through the demand and design assessment Bi-monthly information sessions Continued engagement through the demand and and design assessment	Lack of project support Not delivering a project meeting customer requirements Misinformation about the project	Regular engagement on the opportunity of the project Recognising the significant impact on landowners
=1	Traditional owners / Aboriginal cultural heritage	2. TBC	Н	Н	12	A face-to-face meeting with traditional owner representatives and project staff Specific communication and site visit for specific project events (e.g.	Regular updates Specific engagement during specific project tasks	Disruption to project delivery Potential to be obstructive towards the project Misinformation about the project	Regular and meaningful engagement Engaging during potential sensitive stages of the project

Project Management Plan



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
=7	Federal departments and authorities	Department of the Environment and Energy Infrastructure Australia	H/M	Н	10	below ground investigations) Regular updates on project status via Queensland departments Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	Regular project updates On an asneeds basis for specific matters	Disruption to project delivery Rework and delays to milestones Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project
=7	State departments, authorities and corporations	Queensland Treasury Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (including the Office of the	H/M	Н	10	 Regular updates on project status Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance 	Regular project updates On an asneeds basis for specific matters	Disruption to project delivery Rework and delays to milestones Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project

Project Management Plan



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
		Coordinator- General) Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Department of Environment and Science SunWater							
=9	Federal Departmental Ministers	Minister for Agriculture and Water Resources Minister for the Environment and Energy Minister for Infrastructure and Transport	M	Н	8	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	Regular project updates On an asneeds basis for specific matters	 Disruption to project delivery Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations 	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project
=9	Federal elected representatives	Federal Members for Townsville area	М	Н	8	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	Regular project updates On an asneeds basis for specific matters	 Disruption to project delivery Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations 	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project
=9	State Premier and	Premier and Minister for Trade	М	Н	8	Specific and direct engagement on matters of	Regular project updates	Disruption to project delivery	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
	Departmental Ministers	Queensland Treasurer Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning Minister for Natural Resources, Mines and Energy Minister for Agricultural Industry Development and Fisheries Minister for Environment and the Great Barrier Reef				interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	On an as- needs basis for specific matters	Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	
=9	State elected representatives	State Members for Townsville area	М	Н	8	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	Regular project updates On an asneeds basis for specific matters	 Disruption to project delivery Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations 	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
=9	Media	 Townsville Bulletin Other local media The Courier Mail The Australian ABC Radio 	М	M	8	Regular updates on project status Specific updates on project milestones and matters of interest	Bi-monthly updates On an asneeds basis on specific matters	Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	Providing regular updates and presenting an understanding of the opportunities and challenges of the project
=15	Potential contractors	Parties that could tender for the project if it is approved and funded	М	М	6	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	On an as- needs basis on specific matters	Lack of interest or readiness for project Disruption to project delivery Misinformation about the project	Engagement at specific stages of the project Providing a clear understanding of the relevant expectations and opportunities with the project
=15	Community groups	Townsville Water Security Taskforce Other Community Groups (TBC)	М	М	6	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	On an as- needs basis on specific matters	Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations	Engagement at specific stages of the project
=17	Environmental groups	• TBC	М	L	4	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or	On an as- needs basis on specific matters	Misinformation about the project Misalignment of	Engagement at specific stages of the project



Rank	Stakeholder entity	Contact Name	Interest level (H,M,L)	Influence level (H,M,L)	Score	Proposed mechanism and actions	Engagement Plan (frequency and timing)	Risk of not consulting (or risk of consulting)	Risk management strategies
						areas requiring feedback and guidance		project expectations	
=17	Business	Townsville Chamber of Commerce Others TBC	M	L	4	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	On an as- needs basis on specific matters	 Disruption to project delivery Lack of interest or readiness for project Misinformation about the project 	Engagement at specific stages of the project Providing a clear understanding of the relevant expectations and opportunities with the project
=17	Industry peak bodies	• TBC	M	L	4	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	On an as- needs basis on specific matters	 Misinformation about the project Misalignment of project expectations 	Engagement at specific stages of the project Providing a clear understanding of the relevant expectations and opportunities with the project
20	Utility service providers	VodaphoneErgon EnergyTelstraOptusOthers TBC	L	М	3	Specific and direct engagement on matters of interest and/or areas requiring feedback and guidance	On an as- needs basis on specific matters	 Lack of interest or readiness for project Misinformation about the project 	Engagement at specific stages of the project Providing a clear understanding of the relevant expectations and opportunities with the project