

Archived: Friday, 22 November 2019 11:32:37 AM

From: noiseregulation@infrastructure.gov.au

Sent: Thu, 21 Nov 2019 17:32:28

To: Noise Regulation
[REDACTED]

Subject: Submission to noise regulation review [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Sensitivity: Normal

Comment: Firstly, why is this even being discussed before the matter of airspace rights has been established?

The Civil Aviation Authority and DIRDC could be perceived by many as operating outside of the law as it is with no proper, meaningful community consultation in what many also believe are unconscionable, repugnant decision for the benefit of industry stakeholders and the Commonwealth Government to the detriment of local communities throughout Australia.

The question must be asked, what attempt has been made to engage all Australians in whether or not we even need or want these drones?

The Question must also be asked: why would anybody trust the current aviation regulators to operate with any consideration for the residents living beneath this untenable, national and international aviation ruckus?

No decision should be made until a full parliamentary inquiry into aviation and property rights has been carried out.

The incursion into private property and privacy is a major issue. There is no law in Australia that gives the right for aircraft, whether piloted or not, to enter airspace that infringes on private property and the rights thereof that may have material and significant, adverse effects on the enjoyment and privacy of one's home - the current laws that appear to give this permission, are a farce.

The Commonwealth Government, in my opinion, are seen to be inept and incompetent at best when it comes to regulating aviation in the interests of the environment - specifically the people and residents beneath this polluting ruckus. The Drone operators appear to be seeking the protection of our weak, ineffectual noise and pollution regulatory regime that has been protecting aviation interests for far too long.

The Parafield Airport saga is a prime example what happens in this regulatory environment. So what are residents to expect when they are exposed to unconscionable levels of flying nuisance from Parafield Pilot factories, Adelaide Airport Jets and god only knows how many ridiculous drones. Why do we even need these things anyway and why has there not been any broader discussion? What has the Commonwealth Government done to advertise the fact this massively important "property rights" issue, as it were, is being considered?

The Drones and their owners/operators must not have any protection from civil liability for trespass and nuisance as is currently available to aviation as a result of exploitative, weak and unconscionable state and federal laws.

The Drone operators must not be subject to the current, borderline fraudulent regulatory regime for noise and pollution. Each state and territory, must coordinate with local governments and communities to approve or make laws to suit local environments and these nuisance and privacy issues can be debated in the public forum as to the acceptance of drones altogether. They Drones are not operating in local or interstate commerce in the act of flying and the Commonwealth Government is barred by the Constitution from making laws where the states have clear jurisdiction. The same can apply to operations like mass pilot training - e.g. Parafield Airport.

The date for submissions should be extended and I urge the Commonwealth Government to include a national advertising campaign by social media, television, and radio, etc. to ensure that Australia is not destroying its urban environments similar to that of relentless, polluting circuit training for foreign airline cadets.

Sincerely
DS.

Name: Daniel Sorbel



Confidential?: No

submitted via infrastructure.gov.au