

Transport and Infrastructure Net Zero Consultation Roadmap

Take the survey

Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment and Water

Response received at:

June 22, 2024 at 1:19 PM GMT+10

Response ID:

sbm2ed39cf571378d10eb6c1

- 1 Confirm that you have read and understand this privacy notice.
Yes
- 2 Please indicate how and if you want your submission published.
Public and anonymous
- 3 Published name
Not answered
- 4 Confirm that you have read and understand this declaration.
Yes
- 5 First name
█
- 6 Last name
██████
- 7 Email
██

8 Phone

[REDACTED]

9 Who are you answering on behalf of?

Individual or individuals

10 Organisation name

Not answered

11 What best describes you or your organisation?

Not answered

12 What sector do you represent?

Not answered

13 What state or territory do you live in?

Queensland

14 Postcode

4350

15 What area best describes where you live?

Regional area

16 1. Do you support the proposed guiding principles?

No

17 1.1 Please add details to your response.

2. Incentives should not guide industry of what technology to develop

4. Australia was built on providing a fair go, highlighting only Inclusive and equitable outcomes does not provide fair opportunities to all Australians.

No mention of Mining equipment. One of the largest Carbon producers, likely far above road transport vehicles.

- 18 2. Do you support the use of the avoid-shift-improve framework as a tool to identify opportunities for abatement?
No
- 19 2.1 Please add details to your response.
Avoid actually states removing travel. I fought overseas for a country that provides freedom of speech and movement. It is not the governments job or right to force choice on citizens. The framework should state providing alternative choices to Australians for travel. Not removing, which also provides pathway to place financial penalties on citizens for not abiding.
- 20 3. Do you agree the development of a national policy framework for active and public transport will support emissions reduction?
No
- 21 3.1 Please add details to your response.
There needs to be more structure around what will be developed in the policy. Examples overseas are readily available of mass landfills containing rubber Tyre eBikes & other failed government projects in attempt to support such outcomes.
- 22 4. What should be included in a national policy framework for active and public transport and how should it be developed?
Include look at what is currently seeing success in our Cities & across other economies. Provide public sector incentives to support viable business expansion/implementation to provide services. Rather than create an ongoing government budget liability.
- 23 5. What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to ensure the movement of people contributes to transport emissions reduction?
Focus on developing network infrastructure for alternative solutions.
- 24 6.1 What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to ensure that the movement of goods contributes to transport emissions

reduction?

Synchronizing rail assets to provide effective movement of not only goods but personal.
Look at best practices overseas.

- 25 6.2. How would these actions address the identified challenges and opportunities for emissions reduction in the movement of goods?

Ensure most effective solutions are pursued

- 26 7. Do you agree with the proposed net zero pathway for light road vehicles?

No

- 27 7.1 Please add details to your response.

Electric Vehicles although may reduce Carbon emissions at the end point are not net zero at all when looking at end to end including manufacturing, lifespan, & disposal.

I am in support of Vehicle efficiency Standards, however this should not force development of any specific technology including EV. Why EV above hydrogen? Should not be a government choice rather allow industry to develop what is most efficient & viable for solution.

This policy is fundamentally broken & will not provide for industry development of most viable technology.

- 28 8. The Australian Government is currently developing an Australian New Vehicle Efficiency Standard and has already begun to implement actions in the National Electric Vehicle Strategy.8.1 What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to reduce light vehicle emissions?

Stop forcing development & industry into one technology. Remove the word electric & start talking about net carbon impact targets for vehicles.

- 29 8.2 How would these actions address the identified challenges and opportunities to reduce light vehicle emissions?

Allow industry to naturally seek out, identify, & develop the most efficient environmentally friendly solutions.

- 30 9. Do you agree with the proposed net zero pathway for heavy road vehicles?
No
- 31 9.1 Please add details to your response
Australia has one of the largest Natural Gas deposits in the world & no mention of developing industry to support implementation of natural gas into road transport fuel solutions. Let alone the onflow this would create in providing new jobs for Australians.
- 32 10. The proposed pathway for heavy road vehicles relies on a mix of battery electric, hydrogen fuel-cell and low carbon liquid fuels. Rank from 1 to 3, the order in which these should be prioritised for emissions reduction.
1: Low carbon liquid fuels
2: Hydrogen fuel cell
3: Battery electric
- 33 10.1 Please add details to your response. Why did you rank them in that order?
We have an almost unlimited supply of Natural gas, it is astounding how this entire Roadmap has been developed without identifying as first viable solution...
The technology does not currently exist to create Net Zero electric heavy vehicle transport currently so that should not even be on the table for discussion. Are we that stupid to not factor in end to end carbon impact?
- 34 11. What role should low carbon liquid fuels play in the heavy vehicle decarbonisation?
For us in Australia it should be the primary focus for solution given the resource we have. Invest the money to develop Australian owned resources rather than pay a premium to private companies for our own commodity. Plus create Job's!
- 35 12. What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to reduce heavy vehicle emissions?
Stop thinking we know better than allowing industry to seek out the most viable

solutions. Set the Carbon targets, don't legislate how industry gets there.
Government should aim focus on sustainable power solutions like Nuclear for grid & untapped natural resources for transport. It really blows my mind how this Roadmap is so far from what it should have been to ensure Australia's long-term prosperity.

36 13. Do you agree with the proposed net zero pathway for rail?

No

37 13.1 Please add details to your response.

Up front cost should not be a factor in initially deciding most viable solution.

38 14. The proposed pathway for rail relies on a mix of battery electric, hydrogen fuel-cell and low carbon liquid fuels. Rank from 1 to 3, the order in which these should be prioritised for emissions reduction.

1: Low carbon liquid fuels

2: Hydrogen fuel cell

3: Battery electric

39 14.1 Please add details to your response. Why did you rank them in that order?

Natural gas, develop Australian owned assets.

40 15. What role should low carbon liquid fuels play in rail decarbonisation?

Majority

41 16. What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to reduce rail emissions?

Focus on infrastructure maintenance & development.

42 16.1 How would these actions address the identified challenges and opportunities to reduce rail emissions?

If you build financially viable networks that shorten time for goods & services, they will

naturally be adopted.

43 17. Do you agree with the proposed net zero pathway for maritime?

Not answered

44 17.1 Please add details to your response.

Not answered

45 18. The Australian Government is engaging in consultation as part of the development of the Maritime Emissions Reduction National Action Plan and those consultations will also inform the final Roadmap and Action Plan. 18.1 What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to reduce maritime emissions?

Not answered

46 18.2 How would these actions address the identified challenges and opportunities to reduce maritime emissions?

Not answered

47 19. Do you agree with the proposed net zero pathway for aviation?

NO

48 19.1 Please add details to your response.

Just restricting small aircraft flights to regional areas or containing low payloads will have drastic and detrimental impact to not only primary producers but health services.

49 20. The Australian Government has already engaged in consultation on aviation decarbonisation through the development of the Aviation White Paper and those consultations will also inform final Roadmap and Action Plan.

Not answered

50 20.1 What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to reduce aviation emissions?

Actually, support viable alternatives rather than just trying to restrict required services currently in place.

Stop the NDIS minister flying overseas for a Peace Summit in Ukraine. That would reduce unnecessary Jet fuel, a huge atmospheric pollutant contributor. Possibly even develop a policy for political travel since its taxpayer money provided. That would reduce emissions due to personal movement.

51 21. Do you agree with the proposed net zero pathway for transport infrastructure?

No

52 21.1 Please add details to your response.

Camera monitoring of public movement is not aligned with human rights & will lead to dystopian outcomes. Will this be accepted like it was in the UK? One could only hope so. Also developing standards to deal with infrastructure already installed is a disgrace.

53 22. What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to reduce transport infrastructure emissions and ensure that transport infrastructure is ready for and enables low-emission transport modes?

Provide alternative fuels, don't place zoning on public. That's moving towards a communistic government model, is that the real outcome sought in this roadmap? appears so.

54 22.1 How would these actions address the identified challenges and opportunities to reduce transport infrastructure emissions?

Provide real long term sustainable green solutions. Wake up.

55 23. What additional actions by governments, communities, industry and other stakeholders need to be taken now and in the future to ensure the energy mix is ready to support transport emissions

reduction?

Not answered

- 56 24. How should the use of low carbon liquid fuels (LCLFs) be prioritised across different transport modes over time to achieve maximum abatement?

Not answered

- 57 25. What are the best ways for the Australian Government to work collaboratively with industry, business, governments and communities to implement the proposed pathways?

Not answered

- 58 25.1 What are good domestic or international examples of partnership and collaboration on transport and transport infrastructure emissions reduction that could inform the final Roadmap and Action Plan?

Not answered

- 59 25.2 What opportunities can Government leverage to show leadership in Australia and internationally?

Not answered

- 60 26. What measures and metrics should be used to evaluate the final Transport and Infrastructure Net Zero Roadmap and Action Plan?
Ongoing impact to Australian budget, Sustainable fuel solutions of assets we can develop and own within the country thus also creating ongoing jobs for future Australian generations.

- 61 26.1 What other data and evidence could governments use and how could this offer further insights on the pace, scale and location of transport emissions reduction pathways?

Not answered

- 62 27. Do you have any feedback on the proposed review process?
Review needs to be conducted by external authorized body. Zero value in having the review completed inhouse.
- 63 28. Do you have any further feedback on the Consultation Roadmap and proposed pathways?
In is disgusting to see the small-minded targeted outcomes included. Blatantly ignoring a wealth of natural fuels we could develop in house within our country providing reliable, sustained, and clean alternative fuel solutions for transport industries. Instead, it appears focus on direct monitoring & control of Australian public movement which will clearly lead to an attack on human rights. Just like what has occurred in the UK. But apparently the people behind this roadmap are that stupid the attempt is going to be made to follow suit.
- 64 28.1 Is there anything missing? Are the sections appropriately integrated? Is the Roadmap appropriately ambitious?
Humen rights are missing. Standardizing transport including aviation in a fair manner is missing. Targeting low & middle income earners is what this roadmap contains.
- 65 29. Is there any further information or documentation that you wish to be considered with your submission?
Not answered
- 66 Would you like to upload a document?
No
- 67 Have you removed any identifying information from your submission?
Not answered
- 68 Upload a submission
Not answered
- 69 Upload a submission
Not answered

70 Upload supporting file
Not answered

71 Upload supporting file
Not answered