

Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts

To: The Hon Catherine King MP, Minister for Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Local Government (for decision)

Subject: Regional Precincts and Partnerships Program – Batch One

Critical Date: 16 May 2024 S47C

Recommendations:

1. That you **note** the summary of Batch One regional Precincts and Partnerships Program (rPPP) applications at **Attachment A** which includes 18 initial eligible applications, with 15 of these considered meritorious by the Independent Expert Panel.

Noted / Please Discuss

2. That you **note** following departmental policy analysis including consideration of total funding available, 9 of the 15 meritorious applications are recommended by the department to be considered for grant funding.

Noted / Please Discuss

s42

4. That, you note your obligations under the *Public Governance*, *Performance and Accountability Act 2013* and the *Commonwealth Grant Rules and Guidelines 2017* (**Attachment C refers**) and **agree** when you select and approve successful grant proposals you will record the basis for your decisions and any annotations and/or additional terms and conditions within **Attachments B1 – B15**.

Agreed / Not Agreed

s47C

	1/1527 000/01
47C	
1	
22(1)(a)(ii)	
	e Department of
	# O
	ner.
	Department of
	0
	Pe
	36
	# / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
	q
10. That you note no meritorious grants are with	nin your electorate that would necessitate additional
	any grant proposals which are not recommended by
	o the Finance Minister by 31 March 2025 including
a brief statement of reasons (i.e. the basis of	
a other statement of reasons (i.e. the basis of	your approval for each grain).
	Noted / Please Discours
	Noted / Please Discuss
	40
	<u> </u>
	Date:
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	n n
	the
The Hon Catherine King MP	Date:
6	
1	3

Comments:	
Von Doints	
Key Points	
s42	
s47C, s42	
s42, s47C	
	70
	24
	ne i
	The state of the s
47C	
	0
	47
	by the state of th
	ormation Act 1982 by th
	6
	to
	Ac
	mation Act 1982 by th
	atic
	2
	Q.

regional Precincts and Partnerships Program:

- 6. The Government announced the rPPP in the October 2022-23 Budget, with funding of \$400 million over three years from 2023-24. The program is currently administered by the Business Grants Hub (the Hub) in batches based on Independent Expert Panel assessment capacity and date application received, in the Department of Industry, Science and Resources.
- 7. The rPPP is an open, non-competitive grant program, with proposals batched and assessed at least twice per financial year. The program has two funding streams, Stream One to support precinct development and planning (grant value between \$500,000 and \$5 million) and Stream Two (grant value between \$5 million and \$50 million) to support precinct delivery.

8. The objectives of the program and intended outcomes are outlined in the rPPP Grant Opportunity Guidelines **Attachment G**.

Application assessment and policy analysis

- 9. The rPPP opened for applications on 24 August 2023 (MS23-003750 refers), with the first batch of assessments taken as at 31 October 2023. A total of 18 eligible Stream One applications and three eligible Stream Two applications were received by this date.
- 10. As per the approved and published grant opportunity guidelines (MS23-003750 refers), eligible applications were provided to the Independent Expert Panel (the Panel) to assess the merit of applications against the assessment criteria (MS23-003556 refers). The Panel assessed 15 Stream One and two Stream Two proposals as meritorious, with a total funding envelope of \$76 million.
- 11. In line with the process outlined in the Grant Opportunity Guidelines, the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts has undertaken a policy analysis of the 15 meritorious proposals, taking into consideration: The Panel's assessment; Government's approach to regional investment as outlined in the Regional Investment Framework; alignment with Government's priorities; available funding; balance of projects between each Stream; geographic spread; and precinct type.
- 12. The department has identified 9 applications that best align with the above requirements, to be considered for future funding: 8 Stream One applications and 1 Stream Two proposal, amounting to total funding of \$38.56 million. The department has recommended partial funding for the Stream Two proposal.
- 13. The department's assessment for each meritorious proposal is provided in **Attachments B1 to B15**. These include an overview of key precinct information, outcomes of the Panel assessment, and a ministerial decision-making table with space for recording your decision, and whether you wish to fund any of these proposals at this stage.
- 14. As outlined in the Grant Opportunity Guidelines, your decision should consider the department's advice, available funding and where necessary, and may consider advice from relevant Ministers for meritorious proposals relevant to their specific portfolio responsibilities.
- 15. The 2023-24 program allocation of \$100 million allows for funding of all meritorious Batch One proposals (\$76 million) should this be your preference. However, Batch One is a relatively small batch (18 proposals, 15 of which were considered meritorious) and should all 15 applications be funded, the available program funding may be insufficient for the demand of future batches.

Merits of the proposed applications

16. The department is satisfied the recommended projects fall within the available funding envelope, represent value for money and are an efficient, effective, economical and ethical use of resources.

Decision making

- 17. As the decision maker for the program, you have the final decision about which projects will be funded, the level of funding offered to each applicant and any conditions which you may want to apply to the funding offer.
- 18. You must record your decisions and any terms and conditions to be applied in **Attachments B1 B15**, should you wish to progress with selecting successful proposals at this time.

Grants in the Minister's electorate

19. There are no recommended nor meritorious grants within your electorate. Consistent with the CGRGs, you may approve grants within your own electorate. Where you exercise the role of approver for grants awarded in your own electorate, you must write to the Minister for Finance advising of the details.

Approving grants that are not recommended by the department

- 20. As the decision maker you may approve meritorious grants not recommended by the department.
- 21. Under the rPPP Grant Opportunity guidelines, applicants cannot use funding from other Commonwealth funds towards the same project. The department has reviewed the recommended projects against the department's other community infrastructure grants program funding and the Australian Government's Disaster Ready Fund to identify potential funding duplication. If you choose to select grants not recommended by the department, a review of any potential funding duplication will be conducted and provided to your office.
- 22. The department also reviewed meritorious projects against proposed Growing Regions Round 1 applications and no duplication within recommended projects exists. However, there is a risk of duplication of funding should you chose to fund projects not recommended by the department under the rPPP or the Growing Regions program. rPPP projects which have also applied in Growing Regions Round 1 are highlighted in the relevant proposal attachments.
- 23. Consistent with the CGRGs, you are required to report annually to the Minister for Finance on all instances where you have decided to approve a particular grant which was not recommended for funding. The report must include a brief statement of reasons and be provided to the Minister for Finance by 31 March each year for the preceding calendar year.

Released under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 by the Department of Information Act 1982 by the Department of Marstructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Annual Communications and the An

s47C	
s47C	
A/C	
	nt of
	Department of
Ongoing administration s47C	32 by th
33. As at 1 May 2024, an additional 206 applications have been received by the Hub since the first batch was collated. Approximately 50 of these are currently in assessment as 'Batch Two', expected to be provided to you for decision in July 2024 following assessment by the Panel in late June, due to Panel availability. The total funding requested to date for all	Freedom of Information Act 1982 by the
applications submitted (including all in Batch One) is over \$1.3 billion which means the three-year program is \$800 million oversubscribed within the first year of opening.	nforma
s47C	m of Ir

647C		
s22(1)(a)(ii)		

Financial impacts: rPPP funding profile over the forward estimates:

Year	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	Total	
Original	100.0	150.0	150.0	400.0	
Amount (\$m)					
Proposed	0	400.0	0	400.0	
Profile (\$m)					

(' /	1		
s22(1)(a)(ii)			
\$22(1)(a)(II)			
s22(1)(a)(ii)			

		MS24-000701
Stakeholder Implicatio 70	ons:	
they have first been	nt guidelines, you may publicly announce successfu notified through the Business Grants Hub. It would applicants at the same time as successful applicants	d be best practice to
	sessment has not been undertaken. ^{\$47C}	
7C		
7C		
	provide you with a communications pack following his will include a draft media release in accordance	
55. The department will	work with your office to prepare a media pack inc act sheet/FAQs, should this be required.	
47C		

Position: A/g Assistant Secretary

Division: Cities and Suburbs Unit

Mob: \$22(1)(a)(ii)

522(1)(a)(ii)	
Cleared By: Lisa Rauter	Contact Officer: s22(1)(a)(ii)

Position: First Assistant Secretary Group: Partnerships and Projects
Ph: 02 6136 522(1)
Mob: s22(1)(a)(ii)
Mob:

Cleared Date: 3 May 2024

Instructions for MPS: Nil

Do you require a signed hardcopy to be returned: Yes

Responsible Adviser: \$22(1)(a)(ii)

PDMS Distribution List: Jim Betts, David Mackay, Lisa Rauter, \$22(1)(a)(ii) , Clare Chapple



Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts

To: The Hon Catherine King MP, Minister for Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Local Government (for decision)

Subject: Growing Regions Program - Round 1 - Final recommendations

Critical Date: 13 May 2024

Recommendations:	
	Noted / Please Discuss
2. That you approve 54 projects recommended by the Growing Regions Program, up to the value of \$300 r report at Attachment A .	•
	Approved / Not Approved
3. That you note your obligations under the <i>Public Gov Accountability Act 2013</i> and the <i>Commonwealth Gra</i> outlined within Attachment B and that you record y and/or terms and conditions within Attachment A .	ant Rules and Guidelines 2017 as
	Noted / Please Discuss
47C	
	Agreed / Not Agreed
s47C	
	Agreed / Not Agreed

	Agreed / Not Agreed
ne Hon Catherine King MP	Date:
omments:	
y Points:	
rc	

s47C		

Growing Regions Program

- 8. The program was announced in the October 2022-23 Budget with \$600 million for two rounds over three years from 2023-24 for the program to drive regional economic prosperity by providing access to funding for capital works for community infrastructure across Australia's rural and regional areas. The program is currently administered by the Business Grants Hub (BGH) within the Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR).
- 9. The objectives of the program are:
 - constructing or upgrading community infrastructure that fills an identified gap or need for community infrastructure;
 - contributing to achieving a wide range of community socio-economic outcomes; and
 - is strategically aligned with regional priorities.
- 10. The intended outcomes of the program are:
 - delivery of community-focused infrastructure which contributes to local and regional priorities;
 - provision of infrastructure which benefits the community by improving equity and supports diverse social inclusion;
 - to contribute to the achievement of broader Government priorities such as net zero emissions, gender equity, and/or First Nations priorities; and
 - growing local economies and enhancing amenity and liveability in the regions.

s22(1)(a)(ii)			

s47C

	Arts
	nd the
	ons a
	unicati
	Comm
	ment,
	evelop
	nal D
	Regio
	nsport
	e, Trai
	tructure
Re	Infrast

s47C		

Funding recommendations – FFSP Act, PGPA Act and CGRGs

- 26. The CGRGs require we advise you that:
 - a. the spending proposal is a grant;
 - b. the merits of the proposed grants relative to the program guidelines and value for money (**Attachment A**); and
 - c. outline the applicability of the PGPA Act and the CGRGs including any reporting obligations and advise on the assessment and selection process (**Attachment C**).
- 27. In approving these recommendations, you are acting in accordance with section 32B of the FFSP Act which gives the power to spend relevant money for programs listed in Schedule 1AB of the Financial Frameworks (Supplementary Powers) Regulations 1997.
- 28. In approving these recommendations, you are also acting in accordance with section 71 of the PGPA Act which provides that you must not approve a proposed expenditure of relevant money unless you are satisfied, after making reasonable inquiries, that the expenditure would be a proper use of relevant money.

Program application and selection process

- 29. An outline of the application and assessment process including the selection criteria is at **Attachment C.**
- 30. The BGH ranked applications based on individual and aggregate scores and provided the department with a merit assessment summary report listing applications from highest to lowest (**Attachment A**).

MS24-000708

- 31. The department has reviewed the BGH's merit assessment and considered value for money, alignment to program objectives and geographical spread to recommend applications for funding. An outline of this process is at **Attachment C**.
- 32. Table 1 provides a breakdown of the department's recommended funding distribution by geographic area with a comparison to Regional Australia's population distribution.

Table 1: Department recommended funding by geographic area

Area	#	\$	% of Funding department Recommendation	% Regional Australia
NSW	14	\$71,606,864.00	23.9%	33.5%
Vic	8	\$53,004,470.00	17.7%	18.6%
Qld	13	\$72,524,798.00	24.2%	31.6%
WA	6	\$38,911,734.00	13%	6.6%
SA	8	\$23,842,015.00	7.9%	4.7%
Tas	3	\$21,342,837.00	7.1%	3.7%
NT	2	\$18,500,000.00	6.2%	1.2%
Australia	54	\$299,732,718.00	100%	100%

Merits of the proposed grants

33. The department is satisfied the recommended projects fall within the available funding envelope, represent value for money, and are an efficient, effective, economical and ethical use of resources consistent with the policies of the Commonwealth.

Approver's responsibilities

- In accordance with the guidelines you are responsible for making decisions, taking into 34. account the BGH's merit assessment, the department's recommendations and whether the projects can be accommodated within the Round 1 funding envelope of \$300 million.
- 35. As the decision maker you need to be satisfied the spending proposal will be an efficient, effective, economical and ethical use of public resources.
- 36. As the decision maker you need to be satisfied with the results of the BGH's merit assessment, the department's assurance of geographic and socio-economic equity and the department's recommendations total \$299,732,718.00 (Attachment A).

s47C

Grants in the Minister's electorate

38. There are no recommended grants within your electorate. Consistent with the CGRGs, you may approve grants within your own electorate. Where you exercise the role of approver for grants awarded in your own electorate, you must write to Senator the Hon Katy Gallagher, Minister for Finance, advising of the details. Should you require this, a sample letter is provided at Attachment D.

Approving grants that are not recommended by the department

39. As the decision maker you may approve grants not recommended by the department.

- 40. Under the Growing Regions Program Round 1 Grant Opportunity Guidelines, applicants cannot use funding from other Commonwealth grants to fund the same project. The department has reviewed the recommended projects against the department's other community infrastructure grants programs to ensure no duplication of funding. The department also reviewed recommended projects against proposed meritorious Batch One regional Precinct and Partnership Program (rPPP) applications and no duplication of funding exists. However, there is a risk of duplication of funding should you chose to fund projects not recommended by the department in either Growing Regions or rPPP. Projects that applied for both Growing Regions and rPPP are highlighted in **Attachment A**.
 - a. If you choose to select grants not recommended by the department, a review of any potential funding duplication will be conducted and provided to your office.
- 41. Consistent with the CGRGs, you are required to report annually to the Minister for Finance on all instances where you have decided to approve a particular grant which was not recommended for funding. The report must include a brief statement of reasons and be provided to the Minister for Finance by 31 March each year for the preceding calendar year. Should you require this, a sample report is provided at **Attachment E**.

Financial impacts

Round 1 of the Growing Regions Program is allocated \$300 million.

Growing Regions (Rounds 1 and 2) funding profile over the forward estimates:

Year	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	Total
Amount (\$m)	0	210.0	390.0	600.0

Successful project proponents may express concern about their capacity to deliver projects by 31 December 2025 to allow for the program to be acquitted and close on 30 June 2026. To address this issue the department may need to extend the program which would require policy authority from the Prime Minister and a Movement of Funds to move program funding into Financial Year 2026-27.

ı	1	7	-	1
S	4	/	ι	

Communication and Media Opportunities:

The department will work with your office to prepare a media pack including media release, talking points and fact sheet/FAQs, should this be required.

Attachments:

Attachment A: Growing Regions Program - Round 1 assessment report s22(1)(a)(ii)

Rele<mark>ased under the Freedom of In</mark>formation Act 1982 by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts

Attachment H: AGS Advice

Cleared By: David Mackay Contact Officer: Clare Chapple Position: Deputy Secretary Position: First Assistant Secretary

Division: Regions, Cities and Territories Group Section: Regional Development and Local

Government

Ph: 02 6136 (a)(ii) Mob: (a)(iii)

Ph: 02 6274 (2)(1) Mob: s22(1)(a)(ii)(ii) Cleared Date: 4 May 2024

Instructions for MPS: Nil

Do you require a signed hardcopy to be returned: Yes

Responsible Adviser: S22(1)(a)(ii)

PDMS Distribution List: Jim Betts, David Mackay, Clare Chapple, Jennie Hood, \$22(1)(a)(ii)

Appendix A	s22(1)(a)(ii)		OFFICIAL P22/(1)/(ii)	RUNNING TOTAL - APPROVED GRANT AMOUNT \$299,732,718
Applicant Details	Project Title	Project Description	Project Project City/Suburb State	Recommended Grant Amount DITRDCA Recommendation DITRDCA Comment S22(1)(a)(ii)
s22(1)(a)(ii)	The Goonoonoorram Project [Kununurra Airport Runway Extension]	\$22(1)(a)(ii)	Kununurra WA	\$13,389,626 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Noosa Regional Trail Upgrade		Kin Kin Cooran Lake Macdonald	\$1,640,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Seymour Community Wellbeing Hub – Stage 1		Seymour VIC	\$15,000,000 Recommended Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit Recommend to the Arts and the Arts
	Northern Beaches Community Hub Stage 1B		Rural View QLD	Recessed under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 Tansport, Regional Development, Comm.

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Penneshaw Foreshore Upgrade Project	Penneshaw SA	\$1,375,380 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Creation of an inclusive multipurpose Opportunity Hu in Geraldton.	Geraldton WA	\$836,829 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Copper Coast Regional Aquatic Facility Project	Wallaroo SA	\$2,120,837 Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	Yarrabah QLD	\$6,288,648 Recommended on meritwithin \$300m funding limit Recommunications and the Arts
	Upgrade of Community Facilities at the Mullum SEE Eco Hub	Mullumbimby NSW	\$618,869 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
			under 7, Tran

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)				s22(1)(a)(ii)	
Wiradjuri Cultural Tourism Centre and Keeping Place		Dubbo	NSW		\$7,190,505	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit		
Library & Learning Centre. Baw Baw Culture & Connection Precinct Stage		Warragul	VIC		\$14,500,000	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit		
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		Currumbin	QLD		\$6,352,50 0	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit		Department of ons and the Arts
Wanarn Community Farm		anyatjarra La	WA		\$5,201,078	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit		ormation Act 1982 by the Levelopment, Communicatic
Brewarrina PCYC – Youth Hub and Indoor Sports Cent		Brewarrina	NSW		\$10,069,524	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit		eased under the Freedom of Information tructure, Transport, Regional Developme

7G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		NT	\$7,650,000 Recommended Recommended within \$300m funding limit
<u>)(a)(II)</u>	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		
		Byron Bay NSW	\$12,318,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
1)(a), s47E(d)	-s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	
		TAS	\$1,709,827 Recommended Recommended within \$300m funding limit
1)(a)(ii)			10 T
	BAROSSA CREATIVE INDUSTRIES CENTRE	Tanunda SA	\$6,961,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit.
	SATC(AVG), SATE(AV		t 1982 by the Communicati
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		ormation Ac
		Moruya NSW	\$4,022,028 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
			ar the Free

s22(1)(a)(ii)	S	22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)				s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Edithburgh Jetty Diving Platform		Edithburgh	SA		\$551,747	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit	
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		Tewantin	QLD		\$3,108,741	Not Recommended	Not recommended to assure geographic equity	
	Victoria Park Multipurpose Facility: meeting now & future community needs		Echuca	VIC		\$11,356,067	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit	by the Department of unications and the Arts
	Community Food hub for Central Victoria		olden Square	: VIC		\$665,636	Recommended	Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit	ver the Freedom of Information Act 1982 ransport, Regional Development, Comm

2(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Benalla Art Gallery Redevelopment - Stage 1	Benalla VIC	\$2,965,000 Recommended Recommended on merit – within \$300m funding limit
/G(1)(a), s4/E(d)	47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$1,391,625 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
2(1)(a)(ii)			
	Albany Kinjarling Connect : Botanic Walkway	Albany WA	\$3,620,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	ne Department of ations and the Arts
		WA .	\$15,000,000 Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit. Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit.
			dom of Inform

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) ^{\$ZZ(1)(a)(II)}	Parkes NSW	\$3,612,044 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
Curry Kids Early Learning Centre Upgrade	Cloncurry QLD	\$4,123,537 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
Multifunctional OSCH, education and community space for Mossman, Queensland	Mossman QLD	\$743,843 Recommended Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit
Mareeba - Lake and Parkland Upgrade	Mareeba QLD	\$849,197 Recommended Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit within \$300m funding limit
	Multifunctional OSCH, education and community space for Mossman, Queensland	Concept Control Lawring Control Lipscon Control Lipscon New York Start Lawring Contr

22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Boddington Town Centre Revitalisation	Boddington WA		\$864,201	Recommended	Recommended on merit – within \$300m funding limit
S	47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	Barmera SA		\$1,435,960	Recommended	Recommended on merit – within \$300m funding limit
G(1)(a), s47E(d)	547G(1)(a), 547E(d)	s47G(1) WA		\$15,000,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended to assure geographic equity
1)(a)(ii)						ion Act 1982 by the Departm
R	einvigorating Our Riverfront - Creating Places for Everyone	Swan Hill VIC		\$5,076,157	Recommended	Ser the Freedom of Informating Pevelop Street Stree

(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Launceston Community Precinct: housing, health and community services hub	Launceston TAS	\$11,000,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Lords Around the Ground Package	Mansfield VIC	\$1,410,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit.
	Moree Artesian Aquatic Centre (MAAC) Redevelopment	Moree NSW	\$9,641,761 Recommended Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit
	Agnes Water Skate Park Revitalisation	Agnes Water QLD	\$971,850 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
()(a), 541 L(u)	.;47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$840,000 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
			ased und

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Riverland Families Attraction Project	Loxton SA	\$9,285,000 Recommended Recommended on merit—within \$300m funding limit
	Mission Beach Town Centre Revitalisation Project (Stage 2)	ission Beach QLD	\$7,924,508 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Coffin Bay Foreshore Revitalisation Project	Coffin Bay SA	\$1,272,091 Recommended Recommended on merit - within \$300m funding limit
	Northern Peninsula Area Airport Runway Upgrade	Bamaga QLD	\$13,495,190 Recommended on merit within \$300m funding limit. Recommended on merit within \$300m funding limit.
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$10,850,000 Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit
			Freedom of the Region of the R

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	South Boulder Kalgoorlie Somerville	\$13,050,000 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
Boardwalks and Bird hides: Elevating Parkes Wettands	Parkes NSW	\$943,738 Recommended Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit
Heathcote Civic Precinct (Community Hub) incorporating Heathcote Library	Heathcote VIC	\$2,031,610 Recommended on meritwithin \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) TAS	Recommended Recommended Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit Recommended on merit-within \$300m funding limit
Cowra Aquatic Centre	Cowra NSW	\$4,890,218 Recommended Recommended on merit—within \$300m funding limit Recommended On Merit—within \$300m funding limit
	Boardwalks and Bird hidea: Elevating Parkes Wetlands Heathcote Civic Precind. (Commanty Hub) incorporating Heathcote Library 547G(1)(a), s47E(d)	Finished Day No. 1997 Finished Color Record Management of the State o

s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	\$5,508,900 Recommended Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit
3allarat Centra VIC	\$13,545,000 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
Coorong SA.	\$883,176 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
Denman Sandy Hollow	\$8,601,800 Recommended Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit
Gunnedah NSW	\$2,181,534 Recommended Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit
	(a): S47E(d) CLD Corong: SA Demman Sandy Hollow NSW

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)
s22(1)(a)(II) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		hyalla Norrie SA		\$1,500,735	Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
		Loxton SA		\$2,467,500	Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
Lake Albert Water Sp Event Precinc	orts and	Lake Albert NSW		\$4,493,776	Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s4	7E(d)	Hillvue NSW		\$15,000,000	Not Recommended to assure geographic equity Not recommended to assure geographic equity Not recommended to assure geographic equity
		agga Wagga NSW		\$3,819,937	Not recommended to assure geographic equity Not recommended to assure geographic equity Not recommended to assure geographic equity

(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	umay Bridge SA	\$13,497,705 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
7G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) WA	\$2,664,934 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
2(1)(a)(ii)	ailem Bend Meningie Coonalpyn Tintinara	\$1,015,500 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
7G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended to assure geographic equity
Unearth Etheridge: Tourism Developments along the Savannah Way	Georgetown Einasleigh QLD	\$8,235,000 Recommended Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) §22(1)(a)(ii)	ļ	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)
		ort Wakefield	SA	\$1,700,000	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		allarat Centra	VIC	\$8,429,967	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	QLD	\$9,293,997	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
			WA	\$2,150,000	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)			WA	\$724,501	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Z-L(+)(U)(II)		ower Chitterin	WA	\$2,794,085	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Roma QLD	\$10,218,993 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Mylestom /ellow Rock Raleigh Urunga	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Walhallow Community Infrastructure Project (WCIP) \$47G(1)(a), \$47E(d)	Caroona NSW	\$1,539,965 Recommended Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit
		Burra SA	\$6,139,350 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) NSW	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), s22(1)(a)(ii) s47E(d)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	s47E(d)	(a), s47E(d)	\$2,107,100 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		NSW	\$5,690,300 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		WA	S3,749,776 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
)(a)(ii)		Dalyeliup WA	\$13,300,000 Not Recommended Solution and Work Lecommended Solution Act 1982 by the Communication Com
G(1)(a), s47E(d)		\$47G(1) a), \$47E(d)	\$14,408,705 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) _{wa}	\$1,100,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)	Eildon VIC	\$1,380,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Glenburnie SA	\$7,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	offs Harbour NSW	\$14,832,210 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) NT	\$809,642 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		i. reactions and the second se

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), -s22(1)(a s47E(d))(ii) \$4 (a) \$4	7G(1) , 7E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)				_s22(1)(a)(ii)
			v	A	\$2,587,531	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
sŻ2(1)(a)(ii)			v	/A	\$1,854,509	Not Recommended.	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
522(1)(d)(ll)	Narrabri Shire Tourism and ultural Precinct – Stages 2 & 3		Narrabri N	SW .	\$1,483,112	Recommended	Recommended to assure geographic equity - within \$300m funding limit	e Department of tions and the Arts
S47G(1)(a), S47E(0)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	В	ingendore NS	SW.	\$5,000,000	9940 Att 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Project also applied for rPPF funding and was not recommended for funding.	982 by the
		Sc (a)	47G(1) i), 47E(d)	TA	\$1,438,110	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	eleased under the Freedom of Instructure, Transport, Regional L

1)(a)(ii) -s47G(1)(a), s22(1)(a)(ii) -s22(1)(a)(ii) -s22(1)(a)(ii)	
1)(a)(ii) -s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii) s22(1)(a)	
H New Lambton NSW \$15,000,000 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit S47G(1) S47F(d)	
Other \$15,000,000 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	ent of the Arts
OLD \$5.012,745 Not Recommended exceeds \$300m funding limit	Information Act 1982 by the Department of I Development, Communications and the Arts
	m of ional

								1 01 24-401 - Boodmont 2 - Attack	
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii		Grafton	NSW	S22(1)(a)(ii)	\$15,000,000	Not Recommended		s22(1)(a)(ii)	
	E	alperum Static	SA		\$2,292,224	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
		Korora	nsw		\$9,691,182	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		nent of I the Arts
		Wangaratta	VIC		\$4,067,500	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		Information Act 1982 by the Department of Development, Communications and the Arts
		Oberon	NSW		\$3,000,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		eased under the Freedom of Information. tructure, Transport, Regional Developme
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) \$22(1)(a)(ii		Apperum Static Korons Wangaratto	Sperum State: Korona NSW Wengeretta VIC	April 1920	Scoton 1877 Scoto	Southern NEAR St.	From 122 Property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fills and property St. Bull Recommends Mr. 2020 Monthly fill Recommends Mr. 202	Column C

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$6,454,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		WA	\$4,552,865 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)		allarat Centra VIC	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Superior Su
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	Not Recommended Not recommended = exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended = exceeds \$300m funding limit
			eedom of Informa Regional Develo

s22(1)(a)(ii)	G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)					
522(1)(4)(11)		Bega	NSW		\$4,860,000	Not Recommended	Not constructed according	s22(1)(a)(ii)	
		Heywood	VIC		\$4,736,353	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
		Nikenbah	QLD		\$2,000,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		artment of and the Arts
		Renmark	SA		\$3,497,781	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		mation Act 1982 by the Department of elopment, Communications and the Arts
	Po	ort Augusta	SA		\$1,350,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		eased under the Freedom of Informati trudture, Transport, Regional Develop

s22(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Orange NSW	\$11,096,272 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Edge Hill QLD	\$1,220,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit.
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		
	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) wa	\$10,585,590 Not Recommended Solom funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
SZZ(I J(B)(II)	Leeton NSW	\$2,241,414 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Port Pirie SA	Not Recommended Not recommended exceeds \$300m funding limit
		eleasec

7G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$14,986,790 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
2(1)(a)(iī)		
	Katanning WA	\$2,152,729 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Horsham VIC	\$4,805,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Department of ons and the Ar
	Koroit VIC	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		he Freedom of Inf
	Waum Ponds VIC	\$3,978,000 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

2(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	agga Wagga NSW	\$6,543,561 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Kuranda QLD	\$666,162 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Pyramid Hiti VIC	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Mareeba QLD	\$13,411,562 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		e Freedom

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1)(a).	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)	s22(1)(a)(ii)				s22(1)(a)(ii)	
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		(a), s47E(d)	WA	\$15,000,000	Not Recommended	Not managed association		
				ısw	\$7,033,070	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
	_			WA	\$1,740,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		e Department of ations and the Arts
				OLD	\$580,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		nation Act 1982 by th Iopment, Communic
				WA	\$1,860,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		eased under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 by the Department of ructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts

s22(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Albany WA	\$14,693,895 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$8,100,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
523(4)/a)/a)	SA	Not the Department of the Arts and the Arts
s22(1)(a)(ii)	Jindabyne NSW	\$4,913,119 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Gateway Island Wodonga VIC	\$1,384,276 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Yellow A commended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
Keith SA	\$500,000 Not Recommended Source Sourc
Broken Hill NSW	\$6,933,631 Not Recommended Salom funding limit
Mactean NSW	S520,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Straightful Put Straightful Put Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$1,499,148 Not Recommended Studies \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
WA	See the Freedom of Information, Not Lecommended - exceeds \$ 300m funding limit
	Broken Hill NSW Madesan NSW S47G(1) (a), s47E(d)

cA7G(1)(a) cA7E(d) = cA7G(4)(a)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	M7C/4\	s22(1)(a)(ii)				s22(1)(a)(ii)	
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), (s47E(d)		\$1,996,665	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
s22(1)(a)(ii)		Alstonville NS	w	\$5,734,090	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), (s47E(d)	A	\$548,520	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	apartm s and	
s22(1)(a)(ii)		Mullumbimby NS	w	\$810,515	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	om of Information Act 1982 by the De	
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), (s47E(d)		\$3,110,900	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	Released under the Freedonfrastructure. Transport. Rec	

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d);22(1)(a)(ii)		SZZ(1)(a)(ll)			s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Bin		ле	\$630,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
S47G(1)(8), S47E(0)	s47 (a), s47	7G(1) 7E(d) N	SW	\$14,558,056	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)						
	Ber	rham N	ISW /	\$650,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit of the Att State of the Att
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47 (a), s47	E(d)	N.D	\$4,382,461	Not Recommended	mation Act 1982 by the Dependent, Communications
			NT	\$3,677,732	Not Recommended	under the Freedom of Infor

s22(1)(a)(ii)

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	NT	\$5,614,812 Not Recommended Solution funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	QLD	\$862,400 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)		st st
	rfers Paradis QLD	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Solom funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	rlington Poir NSW	\$1,400,710 Not Recommended Not recommended some funding limit Not recommended some funding limit Some funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	547G(1) (a), 547E(d)	e Freedom o
	QLD	\$3,735,096 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit **The commended of the commended
		Releastra

2(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Sale VIC	\$3,500,000 Not Recommended South Recommended South South Recommended South South Recommended South Rec
	Invereil NSW	\$3,859,417 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	nborine Mount QLD	\$741,850 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Breadalbane Devon Hills TAS Perth	\$1,590,902 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
5(1)(a), s4/E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$2,465,400 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		under the Fi

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Newmerella VIC		\$2,610,000	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Yuendumu Ciccone NT		\$2,100,858	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Wangaratta VIC		\$764,166	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended of the Augustian State of the Augus
		Port Augusta SA		\$8,000,000	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommend Development, Communication Act 1982 by the

(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) 322(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	-s22(1)(a)(ii)
	TAS	\$517,251 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
)(ii)		
	ndaberg Cent QLD	\$1,823,335 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	South Hedlanc WA	\$10,704,504 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		7 Act 1982 by the De ent, Communications
	outh Townsvill QLD	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

22(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) ·22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Beaufort VIC	\$1,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
4/G(1)(a), S4/E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$8,994,285 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
⁷ 2(1)(a)(ii)		Not recommended - exceeds
^r G(1)(a), s47E(d)	S47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$3,188,731 Not Recommended State of the Author of the Auth
2(1)(a)(ii)	NSW	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	annon Valley QLD	\$10,993,000 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
The same of the sa		d unde

s22(1)(a)(ii)	247(24)(2) 247(4)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		Bellbridge VIC		\$4,995,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
			earneys Sprin QLD		\$1,600,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
			Ashmore Southport QLD		\$639,375	Not Recommended	\$300m funding limit
			earneys Sprin QLD		\$765,220	Not Recommended	of Information Act 1982 by the Department of al Development, Communications and the Arts
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)			s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) WA		\$1,873,270	Not Recommended	Seleased under the Freedom of Ir. Transport, Regional L.

szz(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
Mannum SA	\$6,731,001 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Kingaroy QLD	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
ockhampton QLD	\$7,100,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$2,248,829 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Robinvale VIC	Vot Lansport, Regional Developme
	ockhaneton OLD s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) NSW

S22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)		\$4,096,737	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Nelson Bay NSW		\$2,997,008	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Armidale Black NSW Mountain		\$1,839,036	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Straight and the Arts Stra
	Yea VIC		\$588,348	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

7G(1)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)
	\$4,795,200	200	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
den Point Sallarat	\$4,661,000		Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Sarbutt		371	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
endigo	\$7,342,281	281	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	en Point VII	#E(d) #in Point VIC \$4,664, \$14,664, \$14,664, \$14,664, \$14,664, \$14,664,	## Point VIC \$4,654,000 S14,655,371 S14,65

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	\$47E(d)	\$936,586 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)	Mulwala NSW	\$10,800,000 Not Recommended Source So
47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	S2,096,410 Not Recommended S300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Style="background-color: red; color: blue;">Style="background-color: blue; color: blue; colo
22(1)(a)(ii)	WA WA	\$746,900 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit April 287 by the second sec
	Maryborough VIC	\$3,981,001 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		under the Fi

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
Roma QLD	\$694,989 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit.
Moree NSW	\$2,001,707 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Portland VIC	\$690,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Ocean Grove VIC	\$626,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
The Lagoon NSW	\$4,112,511 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Moree NSW Portland V/C Ocean Grove V/C

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a),——s22(1)(a)(ii) s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	WA	\$1,780,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)		
	Boddington WA	\$1,817,920 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	
	QLD	\$2,262,894 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Department of ons and the Arts
	WA	\$6,669,200 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		of Informatic
	WA	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Released un frastructure,

(1)(a)(ii) 47G(1)(a), s47E(d)s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Rochester VIC	\$4,244,014 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Greater Hume NSW	\$502,728 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	ort Pirie Souti SA	\$550,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
I)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$3,999,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Atts
(a)(ii)	Whyalla SA	Second of Information Act Second Pevelopment, Commended - exceeds \$300m funding limit.
		under the Fr

s22(1)(a)(ii) 347G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Huskisson NSW	\$658,000 Not Recommended South Process South
	Mt Buller VIC	\$3,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	Tamworth NSW	Not Recommended Not recommended solution and the Arts Not recommended solution in the Arts Not recommended - exceeds solutio
	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Ider the F

)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) ^{s22(1)} (a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	NT	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
(a)(ii)	Paynesville VIC	\$7,500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$8,351,487 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit.
(ii)	Cable Beach Broome WA	\$5,800,500 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Mittagong NSW	\$4,325,558 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Released und

s22(1)(a)(ii)	47G(1)(a), s47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Pingelly WA	\$546,782 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Hamilton VIC	\$900,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Coffis Harbour NSW	\$547,747 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit STR 941 PUB STR 941 PU
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d	i)	Warrawong NSW	**Not Recommended** Not recommended salom funding limit
		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$8,400,000 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
			eased t

s22(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) _{s22(1)} (a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	-s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Kingaroy QLD	\$6,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	
	WA .	\$2,219,052 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	WA	\$1,241,320 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Supplied to the property of the prop
		\$2,490,665 Not Recommended - exceeds Not recommended - exceeds
	NSW	\$2,490,665 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
22(1)(a)(ii)		Sea 2-10. Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds
	Iburra Beacl NSW	\$983,510 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		restructure frastructure

s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
SA	\$557,028 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Edenhope VIC	\$500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Snowtown SA	\$550,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
ape Tribulatio QLD	\$4,913,692 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Showtown SA

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a),	s22(1)(a)(ii)		-s22(1)(a)(ii)				s22(1)(a)(ii)	
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		Inverloch San Remo Cape VIC Paterson Kilcunda		\$3,000,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
			Teesdale VIC		\$1,500,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
			Lismore NSW		\$3,900,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	Department of	ons and the Arts
			Atherton QLD		\$5,851,003	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	nder the Freedom of Information Act 1982 by the Do	ransport, Regional Development, C

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
st Rockhampt QLD	\$1,500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Baimsdale VIC	\$2,700,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Gladstone QLD	\$5,781,400 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Winchelsea VIC	\$2,200,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
Wellington NSW	\$2,500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Reimodale VIC Sladatione QLD Windrichee VIC

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Stuarts Point NSW	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Solution Funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d	1)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$15,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)			
		Newcastle NSW	Not Recommended Not recommended on merit Not recommended on merit
\$47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	mation Act 1982 by the elopment, Communicati
		QLD	Not Recommended South Freedom of The

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)				-00(4)(-)(")
	5.7 S(.7)(a), 577 E(a)		Goulburn NSW		\$1,097,845	Not Recommended		s22(1)(a)(ii)
			Cobram VIC		\$2,000,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)			Keith SA		\$1,100,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	ts.
s22(1)(a)(ii)			s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)		\$667,155	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	982 by the Department of ommunications and the Arts
			Berringama Baranduda VIC		\$1,240,857	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	e Freedom of Information Act 19 port, Regional Development, Co.

(1)(a)(ii) ~47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Kaniva VIC	\$500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Nyirripi Ciccone NT	\$1,271,340 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Toronto NSW	S500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		the Freedom of sport, Regional

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)		T		s22(1)(a)(ii)	
	s47E(d)		Wangaratta	VIC		\$2,416,684	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
			ort Broughtor	SA		\$1,454,700	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
			Berkeley	NSW		\$715,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit		ions and the Arts
			Nelson	VIC		\$848,323	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		evelopment, Communication

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Rutherglen Whitfield	en Vic	\$11,697,630 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Parkes	NSW NSW	\$500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Chiton.	SA	Not Recommended Some funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Yass	NSW	Not Recommended Not recommended on merit Not Recommended Not recommend on merit Not Recommended on merit Not Recommended on merit
		Temora	a NSW	Released under the Free Transport, Re

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a).	s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)			c22(1)(a)(ii)
	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		Yarrabah	QLD		\$5,212,543	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	s22(1)(a)(ii)
			Gympie	QLD		\$3,000,000	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
			Port Hedland	WA		\$1,021,254	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
			Dubbo	NSW		\$972,000	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
			Meridan Plains	QLD		\$5,043,296	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	r the Freedom of Informatio

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
			Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	
			Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		NT \$2,151,786 Not l	Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Supplied to the supplied of the supplied to the sup
		WA \$15,000,000 Not I	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(ii)			of I
		Lismore NSW \$750,000 Not I	Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit

	_	s22(1)(a)(ii)	ı						1 Of 24-401 - Boodmont 2 - Attachme	
s22(1)(a)(ii)	-s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		Mount Stirling		s22(1)(a)(ii)	\$1,350,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	:22(1)(a)(ii)	
			Coonamble	NSW		\$8,360,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
			Bungendore	NSW		\$4,840,069	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		Department of tions and the Arts
			Murrumburrah	nsw		\$5,750,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		Act 1982 by the nt, Communica
			Quirindi	NSW		\$2,475,453	Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit		ed under the Freedom of Information ture, Transport, Regional Developme

s22(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a),	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)
s22(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	Mudgeeraba QLI		,380 Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit	
	Hawker SA	\$1,522,5	,542 Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit	
	Edgehill QLI	\$800,00	000 Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
5+1 U(1)(a), 3+1 L(u)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$623,76	763 Not Recommended	lot recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	2 by the Department of munications and the Arts
	N	\$2,413,1		Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	m of Information Act 198 ional Development, Com
s22(1)(a)(ii)	Falls Creek NS	\$2,326,2	Not Recommended	lot recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	Released under the Freedc frastructure, Transport, Reg

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	WA	\$10,821,384 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s22(1)(a)(II)		
	hyalla Stuari SA	\$981,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Borderotwn SA	\$1,122,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Muttama NSW	\$963,193 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) Other	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		eleased unde

l)(a)(ii) 4	7G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	harlestown NS	\$2,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended or	a merit
(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	S1,251,000 Not Recommended - S100m funding in	xceeds
1)(a)(ii)		\$1,251,000 Not Recommended \$300m funding in	nit.
	annockburn VI	\$675,790 Not Recommended Not recommended or	ient of the Arts
	Boorowa Jugiong Galong Wombat	W \$2,963,500 Not Recommended Not recommended or	n Act 1982 by the Department, Communications and
	yermay Park VI	\$650,000 Not Recommended of	Preedom of Information
			ased under the

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)-s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)	
		Shepparton VIC		\$1,511,910	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
		West Albury NSW		\$615,909	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
		arbour City C NSW		\$1,000,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	nt of se Arts
		Kingaroy QLD		\$1,350,584	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	n Act 1982 by the Department of nent, Communications and the Arts
		Murchison VIC		\$535,727	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	nder the Freedom of Information Transport, Regional Developme

(1)(a)(ii) 47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Charlestown NSW	\$2,225,204 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
7G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$5,218,234 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
(1)(a)(ii)	Pejar NSW	\$4,994,677 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	Birchip VIC	\$2,892,192 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	Newstead TAS	\$3,734,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Young NSW	\$3,750,650 Not	t Recommended Not recommended on merit
541 O(1)(a), 541 E(u)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)		
\$22(1)(a)(ii)		WA	\$8,505,000 Not.	t Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
		Berserker Frenchville QLD	\$5,064,363 Not	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Straightful to the Advance of the
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)		82 by the Departr
		NSW	\$9,727,335 Not.	t Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Percommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
				dom of

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)				s22(1)(a)(ii)	
	s47E(d)		Cessnock NSW		\$11,000,000	Not Recommended			
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)			47G(1 (a), 47E(d)		\$2,151,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
		a	roochydore QLD		\$5,147,921	Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit		
			Bellbird NSW		\$12,295,245	Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit		
		N	tacksville NSW		\$4,474,008	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	Jeased under the Freedom of I	

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)		1		s22(1)(a)(ii)	
			Forbes	NSW	\$859,523	Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit		
			Spalding	WA	\$663,870	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
			Wahgunyah Rutherglen Browns Plains	VIC	\$3,191,029	Not Recommended	Not recommended on merit		2 by the Department of nunications and the Arts
			atemans Bay	NSW	\$1,300,000	Not Recommended	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		Freedom of Information Act 1982 by ort, Regional Development, Commu

2(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Walgett NSW		\$648,000 Not Recommended No	t recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Denmark WA		\$1,363,750 Not Recommended N	ot recommended on merit
	Eugowra NSW		\$5,906,979 Not Recommended No.	t recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Stream funding limit
/G(1)(a), s4/E(d)	Fletcher NSW		\$9,000,000 Not Recommended No	ticon Act 1982 by the Destanding limit and the Destanding limit communications and the Destanding limit and the Destandin
	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)		\$6,672,825 Not Recommended N	treedom of Informa ansport, Regional Develo
				eased under

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) _{s22(1)(a)(ii)}			s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)	
		Wonthella	WA		\$647,327	Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit		
		Albury	NSW		\$5,830,999	Not recommended on merit Not Recommended Project also applied for rPPP funding and was found meritorious.		
		Leongatha	VIC		\$8,250,000	Not Recommended Not recommended on merit		
		Finley	NSW		\$1,600,000	Not Recommended Not recommended on merit		
		Walcha	NSW		\$770,000	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	ased under the Freedom of Information Act	2

s22(1)(a)(ii) s47	G(1)(a), s47E(d) 322(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii	()
		ippy Downs QL		\$15,000,000	Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
		Southport QL		\$505,000	Not Recommended Not recommended on merit	
		wcastle Wes NS		\$4,972,373	Not Recommended Not recommended on merit	under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 by the Department of e, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		47G(1) a), 47E(d)		\$699,183	Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	eleased under the Freedom of Informatior astructure, Transport, Regional Developm

2(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Texas QLD	\$2,250,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	Falls Creek VIC	\$1,950,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
÷ (-)(-1)(-α), ->4 / ⊏(-α)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$2,475,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
2(1)(a)(ii)		the Department of ications and the Arts
	Huskisson NSW	\$592,850 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Winton QLD	Transport, Regional Deve
- Ag		Seleased u astructure

2(1)(a)(ii) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Riverton SA	\$680,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	Binna Burra Bangalow NSW	\$606,879 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
17G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$630,000 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
v4VaVii)	3 QLD	**************************************
(1)(a)(ii)	rescent Heac NSW	\$2,419,822 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit. Not recommend Not recommend Not recommend on merit.
		Freedom ort, Region

\$22(1)(a)(ii) \$47G(1)(a), \$22(1)(a)(iii) \$22(1)(a)(
Twin Waters QLD S712,540 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	
Devonport TAS \$3,000,000 Not Recommended on merit	Department of tions and the Arts
Balmsdale VIC S1,170,000 Not Recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit	formation Act 1982 by the Departn evelopment, Communications and

7G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	NT	\$1,186,473 Not Recommended Not recommended - exceeds \$300m funding limit
	WA	\$858,346 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
2(1)(a)(ii)	anctuary Poin NSW	2 by the Department of munications and the Arts
	Geraldton WA	om of Information Act 198 Not Recommended Not recommended to menit Not Recommend Not
S(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d) wa	\$1,500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	NSW	\$1,080,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
s22(1)(a)(ii)		
	Rutherford NSW	\$1,534,102 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	thent of nd the Arts
	WA	\$1,328,400 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
s22(1)(a)(ii)		formation Act 19 evelopment, Co
	Miles QLD	\$1,492,822 Not Recommended on merit
		d under the ure, Transpo

1)(a), s47E(d) ;47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	QLD	\$3,061,800 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
a)(ii)		
	Warwick QLD	\$1,636,657 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$10,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
(ii)		982 by the Departr
	Echuca VIC.	\$1,500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
		Freedom of Regional

047C(4)(a) 047E(d)

2(1)(a)(ii) 47G(1)(a), s47E(d) -s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Rutherford NSW	\$1,048,139 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
G(1)(a), S4/E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$2,790,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
1)(a)(ii)		
	Warragul VIC	*Not Recommended on merit. Not recommended on merit.
	Griffith NSW	Not Recommended Not recommended Not recommended on merit Not Population A Ct 1982 by the Communication and Communicatio
	Port Lincoln SA	Not the Freedom of It. Not Recommended Not recommended on merit Not Regional II.
		Released u frastructure

s22(1)(a)(ii) ;47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	Morgan Park QLD	\$500,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	nt Augusta W∈ SA	\$1,000,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
SHI O(1)(a), SHIL(U)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	\$990,000 Not Recommended on merit Not recommended on merit
	NT-	Mation Act 1982 by the Depart. Solution Act 1982 by the Depart. Communications are all the properties of the Depart of the Depart.
		e Freedom of Informational Deve

s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)		s22(1)(a)(ii)			s22(1)(a)(ii)
		Smithton TA	s.	\$500,000	Not Recommended Not recommended on me	
		Shell Cove NS	w \$8	\$8,103,865	Not Recommended Not recommended on mer	it.
		Walcha NS		\$530,000	Not Recommended Not recommended on me	
s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)		s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	51	\$1,790,435	Not Recommended Not recommended on med	4ct 1982 by the Department of nt, Communications and the Arts
s22(1)(a)(ii)		ort Augusta We S	51	\$1,350,000	Not Recommended Not recommended on me	Freedom of Information ort, Regional Developmen
						under the

7G(1)(a), s47E(d) ;47G(1)(a), s47E(d) ?2(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	arb	\$1,485,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
\$22(1)(a)(ii)	Wurtuila QLD	\$3,263,983 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	Scone NSW	Standard Not recommended on merit
	Warialda NSW	Popularion Act 1982 by the Depth of the Dept
		dom of Informal Develo

G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	NT NT	\$2,695,424 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
)(a)(ii)		
	Xingston SE SA	\$1,040,000 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
	Taree NSW	y the Department of Stations and the Arts
	Warilla Barrack Heights	Not Becommended Not recommended Not recommended Not the Sgional Development, Communicating
	Tamworth NSW	\$1,118,929 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit Not recommended on merit Not recommended on merit
		Relegant

s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) s47G(1)(a), s47E(d) ^22(1)(a)(ii)	s47G(1) s22(1)(a)(ii) (a), s47E(d)	s22(1)(a)(ii)
	s47E(d)	
	NSW	\$631,170 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
s22(1)(a)(ii)		
	Scone NSW	\$787,538 Not Recommended Not recommended on merit
-s47G(1)(a), s47E(d)	s47G(1) (a), s47E(d)	
	QLD	\$2,265,905 Not Recommended Ineligible Not Recommended Ineligible State Auto
		the Depar
		1982 by t.
s22(1)(a)(ii)		tion Act
		of Informs
	Annandale QLD	\$522,602 Not Recommended Ineligible
		Jer the Fi
		ased und
		Refe