

My name is John Kneen.

I live in [REDACTED], about 35 kilometers from the airport and am affected by noisy aircraft early mornings, all through the day, evenings, and through the night.

Firstly I fully agree with the submission of Brisbane Flight Path Community Alliance (BFPCA)

Now my position is :-

1) CASA needs to change the wind speed back to 7 knots as it was before.

The speed of 5 knots make it harder for aircraft to fly over the bay for take off and landing therefore causing more noise pollution for Brisbane residents.

2) There needs to be new flight paths made for today's massive population increase, from when they were first designed.

The flight paths should be **OVER WATER, NOT POPULATED LAND**, as much as possible.

(not sure what idiot thought it would be good idea to have flight paths over Brisbane suburbs, instead of flying over water, and therefore no noise pollution for residents)

On 19/11/22 a flight from Vancouver to Sydney flew over the water to Brisbane, then without landing, flew the same flight path as Brisbane to Sydney planes.

What a joke. (How is that fuel efficient ??)

Surely it would be more fuel efficient, less greenhouse gas, & quicker to fly to Sydney direct, instead of causing Brisbane residents more unnecessary noise pollution.

3) I believe when aircraft take off over water they should reach their cruising altitude, then cross over the land, where the population is low, and continue on their normal flight paths, so **NO** noise pollution for Brisbane residents.

Not like now they take off over the water then do a U turn and fly over Brisbane suburbs, defeating the the purpose of taking off over water. The same applies to landing aircraft.

I'm sure this change would not use too much more fuel or increase greenhouse gas, **but save many residents from this horrendous noise pollution**

4) When we have respite on the weekends, why cant aircraft use the same flight paths during the week, if it works on weekends, why not during the week. (as an interim measure until this is all sorted out to give us some relief from noise pollution)

5) I believe we need a curfew at Brisbane Airport, like Sydney.
The Sydney curfew has not stopped it being to main gateway to Australia.

6) I read in the Sunday Mail weeks ago about the expected soaring number of passengers, and they want to build another (third) terminal, so aircraft noise pollution will increase for all Brisbane and suburbs residents.

7) Before the second runway was proposed, Brisbane Airport spruiked the advantage of less noise as the aircraft would take off & land over the water, but never mentioned that they can only take off over water if all the wind and weather conditions allowed it.

The public was never informed about those conditions that would stop water take off's & landings. Otherwise all Brisbane residents would have opposed the second runway before it was built if we were told the TRUTH.

- 8) Older, noisier aircraft should be charged a LOT more in landing fees, to encourage them to use more modern, quieter and fuel efficient aircraft, to fly to Brisbane.
- 9) As usual all the answers from Brisbane Airport and Air Services, are about the Mighty Dollar, and no concern for the residents of Brisbane and suburbs, who are effected by this horrendous noise pollution DAY & NIGHT, only to get worse in the future.
- 10) The flight paths need revising for the military flight zone, around Enoggera, to allow more commercial aircraft to use this zone, to spare residents of noise pollution.
- 11) Where I live at [REDACTED] there are NOISY light planes flying to and from Archerfield airport, causing even more noise pollution.

Maybe because it's where poor people live, therefore we have a double whammy of noise from both Brisbane & Archerfield airports.

Again new flight paths need to be made, as Archerfield is now expanding, with no concern for residents.

Regards

John Kneen