

# My submission re misinformation / disinformation

---

**From:** Susan Roberts [REDACTED]  
**To:** Information Integrity <information.integrity@infrastructure.gov.au>  
**Date:** Sun, 20 Aug 2023 22:09:59 +1000

---

To whom it may concern

I am against censorship of opinions because:-

We see our debating floors of Parliament constantly misused.

A Member asks a legitimate question of Government, representing their electorate.

The question does not get answered

It is taken on notice and more commonly now does not get responded to.

Government wastes time, ridicules the Member, goes off topic and fails to answer.

Withholding the truth is as good as lying.

Truth, no matter how unpalatable, is truth!

You don't solve problems by using mistruths to make decisions.

European Parliament questioned a Pfizer representative.

She admitted Pfizer DID NOT test their vaccine would stop transmission.

Yet our Government still tells us to get boosted to protect ourselves and those around us.

Fact is, the official narrative has intentionally or unintentionally been misleading and has cost many lives.

Meanwhile, many accurate and qualified opinions were wrongly suppressed.

Pfizer's own documents were initially sealed for 70 years.

A U.S. Freedom of information request saw the Pfizer document released.

Those documents showed approximately 1400 deaths in the U.S. in the first 10 weeks from Pfizer's vaccine!

The TGA's Database of Adverse Event Notifications for Astra Zenica, Pfizer and Moderna vaccines shows masses of serious side effects, including death, yet the public is being told otherwise.

The TGA has recently released a warning about Curcumin and Turmeric over just ONE death and about 10 reported serious complications. The Covid vaccines have been officially shown to be far more dangerous, yet Australians were forced out of jobs and treated unjustly for refusing those vaccines.

About 10 months ago, Dr Kerryn Phelps stated she and her partner had serious side effects from the vaccines -also, that Doctors were being prevented from sharing what they had witnessed from the vaccines.

First line Health Workers were also being prevented from talking of what they witnessed.

I saw one interview where an Adelaide Nurse stated they had 38 people in ICU -33 of them were fully vaccinated. At that time, South Australia had closed borders and virtually no Covid!

Strongly implicated, were the vaccines!

Suppressing the truth is as good as lying.

If we don't listen to ALL opinions, we will miss solutions and the "mistakes" made will be covered up to save face, hide corrupt behaviour or deliberately mislead Australians into wrong, even deadly decisions.

Government has shown they don't deal in facts or truth very well.

They cannot be trusted to decide what is truth and what is not.

We have rules about misleading Parliament.

That is because experience shows Politicians may be compromised and cover up dishonesty.

Yet they want to be the ones to censor facts -they cannot always be trusted.

In the 1930s, Walter Froggett was the one lone voice speaking against the release of cane toads in Australia. All the know it all "experts" opposed him.

Look at the problem we have today -he was right and was ignored - look at the consequences today!

I am against people who refuse to hear others' points of view.

I am against silencing what may well turn out to be truth.

Censorship is a very dangerous power in the wrong hands. How qualified and in what field are the "board members" who are making the decisions of whether information is misinformation or disinformation or is it factual scientific evidence? Is the truth a threat to the decisions already made??

**AUSTRALIANS CANNOT AFFORD THE RISK OF HAVING UNQUALIFIED AND UNEXPERIENCED LESS EDUCATED PEOPLE FOLLOWING A RHETORIC TO FOLLOW ORDERS THAT MAY VERY WELL BE TOTALLY INACCURATE, UNSCIENTIFIC FACTUAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY VERY WELL CONTINUE TO HARM OUR POPULATION!**

The Act to Control - Misinformation and Disinformation in an effort to Silencing and would potentially obtain variable results.

Silencing is also a technique of long-term mental abuse whether it is in the family, school, work/employment or governance.

Totalitarian and draconian measures suppress people and certainly is this what the government is perceived to pursue

The question is: what is the definition of Misinformation and Disinformation and who ultimately decides who is right and who is wrong when one can be wrong being right and right being wrong or is it wrong being right and right being wrong or both can be wrong and right. Who is the dictator that also can be maligned to blindly portraying misinformation or disinformation that may not be in the best interests of the people concerned?

It is quite ironic that the Government is portraying the voice for Indigenous Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders and silencing the Australian citizens who need to know and understand and work together as equals. Fundamental what is misinformation or disinformation and a lack of information and a need to understand therefore to comprehend and make sense of the situation.

For example, the Government may **with intent provide a lack of information** that can also be misinterpreted as misinformation or disinformation with an outcome of misunderstanding. This would lead to an outcome in not able to voice or defend oneself with a government using totalitarian tactics. Thereby one could be apprehended without ever committing a crime.

Although the language of equal opportunity is only perceived, the ideals of being in a democratic society may however operate as a potent form of *silencing* with variable results: So in an event or situation in which the Government is challenged by an alternative truth will be condemned

***"The further that society drifts away from the truth, the more it hates for those that speak out " -George Orwell***

*"There is no such thing as "disinformation" or "misinformation" or "malinformation". There is only information. There is information you accept and information you do not accept. You were not born with a requirement to believe everything you are told; rather, you were born with a brain that allows you to process the information you receive and make independent decisions.*

*When you accept the terms "disinformation", "misinformation" or the newest lingo, "malinformation," you are beginning to categorize truth and lies in various shades. You are "merging black and white, right and wrong, into various shades of grey," - from Endless Pursuit.*

So one must question what is the fate of Law in our society with the Governments that portray a monopoly on people's lives through deprivation and fear using tactics of confusion and mind control. In addition unbeknown to the population, the dependency of Technology, pathways of monitoring and surveillance to control without consent. All people have an obligation to help protect the people who cannot help themselves to our best ability.

It can be interpreted that this bill is one step closer to Communism and Totalitarian control. A point that one is removed from standing up and having a voice and silenced, by grooming with mental and physical tactics without informed consent agreeing to the terms of enslavement.

What is so often seen is that People can be brain washed in being used and obeying or being bought by institutions Governments or Billionaires or unelected bureaucrats that have a vested interest in any situation. By obeying, one is made to feel important but in the next breath spat out, deleted and no longer needed. Your voice will not be heard Then/When at what point does one speak up that is the question. Where there are fundamental areas that the government have not considered as their duty to stand up for the people that have put them into their position to protect the people? It is not about enslavement. It is not about silencing. It is about being open and transparent It is about information. It is about facts, honesty and integrity.

Susan Roberts