

Two conflicting systems of truth

The Federal Government's ACMA legislation seeks to censor 'misinformation' and 'disinformation', pretending that there could never be any legitimate debate over what might fit those definitions, all political biases aside.

Most important is that the inescapable premise of censorship is that there is a 'right' and a 'wrong' within information, which only has validity within an OBJECTIVE (modernist) truth-system (e.g. all sciences are objective in nature). Yet this entirely contradicts the favoured truth-system of current Left-leaning governments, (post-modernism), with a SUBJECTIVE approach to truth (e.g. the LGBTQI+ ideology with its many genders - where truth is what feels right to each individual). This is the very opposite of a scientific approach to gender which discovers only two.

There is a glaring hypocrisy in a government holding two irreconcilable systems of truth together, using one as a political cudgel for the sake of embracing the other. This is a major obstacle to the credibility of censorship legislation put forward by this government.

Unresolvable dilemma for the sciences

Again, conflicting with its subjectively-founded agendas, governments answering to the political Left and Globalists champion Climate Change, a quintessentially modernist science. Embracing both demonstrates philosophical naivete and incoherence.

Yet science is a precarious pursuit, vulnerable as it is to the problem of 'induction', which philosophers over the centuries have agreed robs science of absolute certainty, making it always susceptible to wildcard observations which can collapse long-held scientific theories with a single observation. Climate Change is a science that, in fact, collapses under the weight of a single contradicting observation - more than 30 years of global cooling in the midst of steadily rising CO2 emissions. There is clearly a confounder powerful enough to reverse warming, and as with confounders, it may well be that it (the sun or some other unknown vector) is responsible for the warming AND the cooling. This illustrates well the problem with science - it is always open to debate and falsification.

Any government that wishes to censor on the basis of a fixed and unchanging science has to explain why centuries of philosophy has warned of its achilles heel, and why they think science should no longer be subject to change and debate which censorship destroys.

The other truth problem for a censoring government is values, like whether marriage should include something other than a human male and female. This is not a scientific question. Values are influenced by each individual's personality and predisposition in an interplay with survey and scientific data. If governments want to censor aspects of the latter, it must be considered a mechanism of the social control of values and thereby the anti-democratic control of people.

The US is the world's most focused country on democracy and freedom, which comprehends all of the above points thus made. On 4 July 2023, a US court shot down as unconstitutional the Biden government's activity of the last two years where they have directed media censorship of political speech they don't like. There is no difference between what our Federal Government is proposing and what has just been condemned in defense of democracy in the United States. Quite evidently our proposed legislation shows utter contempt for free speech, the foundation of democracy.

Government as source of mis/disinformation

The Federal Government's ACMA legislation operates on the assumption that governments can ably divine the truth in any field of inquiry.

Unfortunately, over the last three years Western governments have provided irrefutable evidence demonstrating that they indeed are the most guilty of peddling misinformation and disinformation. This puts them in the invidious position of wanting to censor the very type of information that will establish their guilt. This leads to a rank and dangerous hypocrisy.

This document will take three lines of evidence on such government misinformation and disinformation, fourthly discussing how media censorship extant in Australia leads to the loss of many Australian lives.

Specific government misinformation

The two areas of government misinformation are:

1. Gross misinformation about COVID and vaccines

Rigorous evidence is given against the following government misinformation assertions:

- COVID is a 'novel' coronavirus
- It is a terrifying and deadly virus
- There are enormous death tolls
- The 'vaccines' are actual vaccines
- The vaccines are safe.
- The vaccines are effective
- It's a pandemic of the unvaccinated
- There are no viable therapies
- Lockdowns are necessary
- Masks will stop the spread
- People with no symptoms are a threat
- We have to censor dissenting voices

Rigorous evidence is also given to demonstrate that this government misinformation has had deadly consequences:

- Australian excess deaths are running at 15% above the historical average
- It's the vaccinated filling our hospitals • Excess deaths are more amongst the vaccinated

2. Misinformation and censorship regarding the 2020 US election

Rigorous evidence includes:

- Axiomatic (absolute) certainty of a stolen election from the numerical relationship between voter rolls and voter turnout
- Mathematical certainty of vote manipulation by analysing voter machine Cast Vote Records
- 32 terabytes of recorded computer traffic in packet captures covering almost all US counties on the 2020 election night
- Other weighty verifications such as extensive video footage from government cameras of 2,000 Democrat ballot mules illegally stuffing multiple ballots in drop-boxes in multiple locations

This has led to an illegitimate government taking power, cancelling any semblance of democracy.

The likelihood of various US vote rigging strategies being operative in our Australian elections is likewise possible and demands investigation.

Specific government disinformation

Rigorous evidence demonstrates that the Biden government directly persuaded 51 intel-agency high- ranking officials to lie about Hunter Biden's laptop e-mails, which demonstrate that he and his father, President of the United States Joe Biden, were taking millions of dollars from China, Ukraine and Romania, profiteering from influence-peddling activities since Joe Biden's days as US Vice President.

16% of Democrat voters say they would not have voted for Biden if the lies had been exposed and the truth not censored, indicating that the US has an illegitimate President. This clearly dispels democracy.

Censored Australia health data

Australian media is overtly censoring the latest studies on the harms of cannabis which science has recently shown to be causal in:

- 33 cancer types with Cannabidiol (CBD) the most cancer-causing at 12 cancers (versus 14 for tobacco)
- 89 of 95 birth defects
- 70% of pediatric cancer types Aging users by 30% by age 30

Censorship will clearly lead to deadly consequences.

Conclusion

The Federal Government shows no competency or credibility in dealing with the very concept of truth, taking an incoherent, opportunistic approach that bends it to its ideological objectives. For this kind of government, censorship is not about truth but is rather the plaything of the powerful to silence dissent and political diversity. It is vehemently anti-democratic and has a sordid history of leading to tyranny.

Over the last three years Western governments have modeled incompetence and partisanship in regards to mis/ disinformation, being its worst perpetrators. Governments conclusively cannot be trusted with the truth and freedom of speech must be guarded at all costs. In light of all of the above, the ACMA legislation is entirely indefensible.