

## Submission to F3 to M7 Review

Glenorie Progress Association  
PO Box 240, Glenorie, NSW 2157

12 April 2007

Members of the Association discussed the study and review at 3 recent meetings. Concerns were raised about some of the basic assumptions that led to the recommendation of a type "A" corridor alone. These were:

- The assumption that widening Pennant Hills Rd should not be evaluated;
- The assumption that all construction near built-up areas, national parks, and wilderness areas, should be by way of tunnels rather than surface roads;
- The assumption that the size of Sydney would continue to be restricted by a policy of urban consolidation.

Accordingly, the Association asks that the review considers the following additional points:

1. The costs and benefits of widening & grade separating Pennant Hills Rd;
2. The wider economic and social benefits of any new northern corridor;
3. The difference in cost between tunnelling and surface road construction;
4. Population projections and cost/benefit evaluations based on the city spreading into areas opened up by any new northern corridor.

Generally members felt that while a type A corridor would be welcome in that it would help relieve congestion along Pennant Hills Rd, alone it would do little to increase traffic capacity to and from Sydney's north, and as such, may not be the best way of spending funds designated for national road building.

Members felt that the project should look further into the future, and give more attention to the potential benefits of an additional northern traffic corridor.